AMPERE Protocol

Advanced Metering Program for Energy Reliability & Efficiency

Version 3.2

Comprehensive National Framework Edition

National Framework for:

- Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)
- Smart Grid Modernization
- Utility Digital Transformation
- Consumer-Centric Energy Modernization
- Secure and Scalable Utility Modernization Initiatives

Developed Through the AMPERE Framework Initiative

In Collaboration with Utility Modernization and Smart Grid Stakeholders

Framework Development Led By:

Metro Power Corporation

Date of Release

May 25, 2026

Document Classification

National Technical and Operational Guidance Framework

Foreword....25
Executive Summary....26
1. Disclaimer and Limitation of Authority....28
2. Purpose and Objectives....30
2.1 Promote Structured and Phased Modernization....31
2.2 Support National Regulatory Alignment....31
2.3 Strengthen Operational Efficiency and Utility Visibility....31
2.4 Promote Cybersecurity, Data Governance, and System Resilience....32
2.5 Safeguard Consumer Rights and Transparency....32
2.6 Support Smart Grid and Future Energy Integration....32
2.7 Provide Practical Implementation Guidance....33
2.8 Promote Long-Term National Energy Modernization....33
3. Scope and Applicability....33
3.1 Scope of Coverage....34
3.2 Applicability Across Utility Types....35
3.3 Technology Neutrality and Interoperability....36
3.4 Relationship to Regulatory and Utility Frameworks....37
3.5 Long-Term Modernization Perspective....37
4. Governance and Regulatory Alignment....38
Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC)....38
ERC Amended Rules to Govern the Implementation of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) 38
Department of Energy (DOE)....38
DOE Smart Grid Roadmap....38
National Electrification Administration (NEA)....39
National Privacy Commission (NPC)....39
Republic Act No. 10173....39
Data Privacy Act of 2012....39
Department of Information and Communications Technology (DICT)....40
4.3 International Standards and Interoperability Alignment....40
4.4 Utility Governance Responsibilities....41
5. Advanced Metering Infrastructure and Smart Grid Overview....42
5.1 Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)....42

5.2 Smart Meters and Data Visibility....43
5.3 Communication Networks and System Integration....44
5.4 Smart Grid Modernization....45
5.5 Operational and Consumer Benefits....45
5.6 Modernization as a Long-Term Transformation....46
6. AMPERE Framework....48
6.1 AMPERE Framework Implementation Philosophy....49
Phased Modernization....49
Pilot-Based Validation....49
Evidence-Based Scaling....49
Interoperability and Long-Term Sustainability....49
Operational Transformation....49
Continuous Improvement....50
6.2 AMPERE Framework Phases....50
Phase 1 - Readiness Assessment....50
Phase 2 - Smart Grid Maturity Survey....50
Phase 3 - Baseline Metering Assessment....51
Phase 4 - Communication Feasibility Study....51
Phase 5 - Cybersecurity and Privacy Readiness....52
Phase 6 - Pilot Planning and Design....52
Phase 7 - Pilot Execution and Acceptance Testing....53
Phase 8 - Validation, Estimation, and Editing (VEE) Operations....53
Phase 9 - Consumer Education and Engagement....54
Phase 10 - Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution....54
Phase 11 - Performance Monitoring and Reporting....54
Phase 12 - Evaluation and Scaling....55
Phase 13 - Business Case and CAPEX Development....55
6.3 Framework Integration and Continuous Evolution....55
7. Roles and Responsibilities....57
7.1 Governance Structure Overview....57
7.2 Project Sponsor / Steering Committee....58
7.3 Project Manager....59
7.4 Technical Working Group (TWG)....59

7.5 Data Protection Officer (DPO)..... 60
7.6 Cybersecurity Team..... 60
7.7 Field Operations Team..... 61
7.8 Billing and Customer Service Teams..... 61
7.9 Stakeholder Engagement Team..... 62
7.10 Quality Assurance and Audit Functions..... 62
7.11 Cross-Functional Coordination and Organizational Integration..... 63
8. Data Management and Cybersecurity..... 63
8.1 Data Governance Principles..... 64
8.2 Lawful Processing and Consumer Privacy..... 64
8.3 Data Classification and Information Management..... 65
8.4 Access Control and Identity Management..... 66
8.5 Encryption and Secure Communication..... 67
8.6 Cybersecurity Monitoring and Vulnerability Management..... 67
8.7 Incident Response and Business Continuity..... 68
8.8 Third-Party Security and Vendor Management..... 69
8.9 Workforce Training and Security Awareness..... 69
8.10 Privacy Impact Assessments and Risk Evaluation..... 70
8.11 Cybersecurity as a Continuous Operational Responsibility..... 71
9. Interoperability and Standards..... 71
9.1 Interoperability Principles..... 72
Open and Standards-Based Architecture..... 72
Scalability and Future Readiness..... 72
Vendor Flexibility..... 72
Cross-System Integration..... 72
Operational Sustainability..... 72
Technology Neutrality..... 72
9.2 Importance of Interoperability in AMI Modernization..... 72
9.3 International Standards and Frameworks..... 73
IEC 61968 / IEC 61970 Common Information Model (CIM)..... 73
IEEE 2030 Smart Grid Interoperability Standards..... 73
ANSI C12 Standards..... 73
DLMS / COSEM..... 74

ISO / IEC 27001 74
Other Applicable Utility and Communication Standards 74
9.4 Interoperable System Architecture 74
9.5 Integration with Utility Operational Systems 75
9.6 Consumer Data Access and Digital Platforms 75
9.7 Future Smart Grid Integration 76
9.8 Vendor and Procurement Considerations 77
9.9 Interoperability as a Long-Term Strategic Requirement 77
10. Consumer Protection and Transparency 78
10.1 Consumer-Centric Modernization Principles 79
Transparency 79
Fairness 79
Accessibility 79
Accountability 79
Privacy and Data Protection 79
Consumer Empowerment 79
10.2 Clear and Accessible Consumer Communication 79
10.3 Billing Accuracy and Validation, Estimation, and Editing (VEE) 80
10.4 Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution 81
10.5 Consumer Access to Usage Information 82
10.6 Tariff Programs and Future Consumer Participation 83
10.7 Privacy Controls and Consumer Data Protection 83
10.8 Support for Vulnerable and High-Risk Consumers 84
10.9 Consumer Education and Public Awareness 84
10.10 Consumer Trust as a Strategic Modernization Requirement 85
11. Implementation Guidance and Best Practices 86
11.1 Stakeholder Engagement and Coordination 86
11.2 Organizational Change Management 87
11.3 Pilot-First Modernization Strategy 88
11.4 Continuous Monitoring and Iterative Improvement 89
11.5 Vendor and Contractor Management 90
11.6 Regulatory Coordination and Compliance Management 91
11.7 Workforce Development and Capacity Building 92

11.8 Sustainability, Resilience, and Future Innovation..... 92
11.9 Documentation, Knowledge Management, and Institutional Learning..... 93
11.10 Modernization as a Long-Term Strategic Journey..... 94
12. CAPEX and Investment Planning..... 94
12.1 Governance and Investment Oversight..... 95
12.2 Technical and Functional Justification..... 96
12.3 Financial and Economic Evaluation..... 97
12.4 Risk Assessment and Mitigation Planning..... 98
12.5 Cybersecurity and Data Governance Investment..... 98
12.6 Workforce Development and Organizational Readiness..... 99
12.7 Consumer Protection and Public Interest Considerations..... 99
12.8 Regulatory Submission and Documentation..... 100
12.9 Phased and Scalable Investment Strategy..... 101
12.10 Long-Term Modernization Sustainability..... 102
13. Reporting and Continuous Improvement..... 102
13.1 Operational Reporting Framework..... 103
13.2 Pilot Evaluation and Post-Deployment Reporting..... 104
13.3 Compliance Monitoring and Audit Support..... 105
13.4 Documentation and Record Management..... 105
13.5 Performance Review and Operational Improvement..... 106
13.6 Stakeholder Feedback and Institutional Learning..... 107
13.7 Innovation and Technology Evolution..... 108
13.8 Protocol Review and Framework Evolution..... 109
13.9 Continuous Improvement as a Strategic Modernization Principle..... 109
14. Conclusion..... 110
ANNEX A..... 112
AMI Readiness Assessment Framework..... 112
A.1 Purpose..... 112
A.2 Assessment Objectives..... 113
A.3 Assessment Methodology..... 113
A.3.1 Readiness Scoring and Interpretation..... 114
A.3.2 Recommended Overall Readiness Classification..... 114
A.4 Overall Readiness Summary..... 114

A.5 Governance Readiness Assessment..... 115
Objective..... 115
Governance Readiness Checklist..... 115
Governance Risk Assessment..... 115
A.6 Organizational Readiness Assessment..... 115
Objective..... 115
Workforce Capability Matrix..... 115
Organizational Readiness Checklist..... 115
A.7 Technology Readiness Assessment..... 116
Objective..... 116
Existing Infrastructure Inventory..... 116
Meter Functionality Assessment..... 116
A.8 Communication Readiness Assessment..... 116
Objective..... 116
Communication Technology Assessment..... 116
Communication Risk Assessment..... 116
A.9 Cybersecurity and Privacy Readiness Assessment..... 117
Objective..... 117
Cybersecurity Readiness Checklist..... 117
Cybersecurity Maturity Assessment..... 117
A.10 Operational Readiness Assessment..... 117
Objective..... 117
Operational Readiness Checklist..... 117
A.11 Consumer Engagement Readiness Assessment..... 118
Objective..... 118
Consumer Engagement Checklist..... 118
A.12 Pilot and Financial Readiness Assessment..... 118
Objective..... 118
Pilot Area Assessment..... 118
Financial Readiness Checklist..... 118
Risk Register..... 118
A.13 Assessment Findings and Recommendations..... 118
Summary of Key Findings..... 118

A.14 Recommended Next Steps..... 119
ANNEX B..... 119
Smart Grid Maturity Survey Framework..... 119
B.1 Purpose..... 119
B.2 Survey Objectives..... 120
B.3 Smart Grid Maturity Levels..... 120
B.3.1 Smart Grid Maturity Interpretation..... 120
Recommended Smart Grid Maturity Interpretation..... 121
B.4 Overall Smart Grid Maturity Summary..... 121
B.5 Customer Service Modernization Assessment..... 121
Objective..... 121
Customer Service Capability Assessment..... 122
Consumer Program Assessment..... 122
B.6 Network Automation Assessment..... 122
Objective..... 122
Network Automation Capability Assessment..... 122
Operational System Integration Assessment..... 122
B.7 Data Analytics and Decision Support Assessment..... 123
Objective..... 123
Analytics Capability Assessment..... 123
System Integration and Data Visibility Assessment..... 123
B.8 Workforce Capability Assessment..... 123
Objective..... 123
Workforce Readiness Assessment..... 123
Workforce Modernization Checklist..... 123
B.9 Modernization Readiness Assessment..... 124
Objective..... 124
Future Readiness Assessment..... 124
Emerging Technology Assessment..... 124
B.10 Industry Participation and Standards Alignment..... 124
Objective..... 124
Industry Participation Assessment..... 124
B.11 Smart Grid Maturity Findings Summary..... 124

B.12 Recommended Modernization Priorities....125
B.13 Continuous Maturity Improvement....125
ANNEX C....126
Baseline Metering Assessment Framework....126
C.1 Purpose....126
C.2 Assessment Objectives....126
C.3 Assessment Methodology....127
C.3.1 Recommended Baseline Operational Reference Metrics....127
Recommended Baseline Operational Metrics....128
C.4 Baseline Assessment Summary....128
C.5 Meter Inventory Assessment....129
Objective....129
Existing Meter Inventory....129
Meter Functional Capability Assessment....129
Meter Condition Assessment....129
C.6 Billing and Data Accuracy Assessment....129
Objective....129
Meter Reading Method Assessment....129
Billing Performance Assessment....130
Energy Balancing Assessment....130
C.7 System Loss Assessment....130
Objective....130
System Loss Assessment Matrix....130
Loss Monitoring Capability Assessment....130
C.7.1 Recommended Loss Monitoring Reference Indicators....131
C.8 Power Quality and Reliability Assessment....131
Objective....131
Power Quality Assessment....131
Reliability Performance Assessment....131
C.9 IT and Integration Readiness Assessment....132
Objective....132
Existing System Assessment....132
Data Integration Readiness Checklist....132

C.9.1 Integration Readiness Considerations....132
C.10 Field Validation and Mapping Assessment....133
Objective....133
Field Validation Assessment....133
C.11 Baseline Findings and Operational Gaps....133
C.12 Recommended Improvement Priorities....133
C.13 Continuous Baseline Monitoring....134
ANNEX D....134
Communication Feasibility Study Framework....134
D.1 Purpose....134
D.2 Study Objectives....135
D.3 Communication Technology Overview....136
D.4 Power Line Carrier (PLC) Assessment....136
Technology Overview....136
Potential Advantages....136
Potential Limitations....137
PLC Assessment Matrix....137
D.5 Radio Frequency (RF) Mesh Assessment....137
Technology Overview....137
Potential Advantages....137
Potential Limitations....137
RF Mesh Assessment Matrix....138
D.6 Cellular Communication Assessment....138
Technology Overview....138
Potential Advantages....138
Potential Limitations....138
Cellular Assessment Matrix....139
D.7 Fiber Optic Communication Assessment....139
Technology Overview....139
Potential Advantages....139
Potential Limitations....139
Fiber Communication Assessment Matrix....140
D.8 Hybrid Communication Architecture Assessment....140

Objective..... 140
Hybrid Architecture Assessment Matrix..... 140
D.9 Communication Evaluation Criteria..... 140
Objective..... 140
Communication Technology Evaluation Matrix..... 140
D.9.1 Recommended Communication Technology Deployment Considerations..... 141
Recommended Communication Technology Considerations..... 141
Hybrid Communication Architecture Considerations..... 141
D.10 Cybersecurity and Communication Security Assessment..... 142
Objective..... 142
Communication Security Checklist..... 142
D.11 Deployment Feasibility and Operational Planning..... 142
Objective..... 142
Deployment Planning Assessment..... 142
D.12 Communication Feasibility Findings Summary..... 143
D.13 Recommended Communication Strategy..... 143
D.14 Continuous Communication Network Evaluation..... 143
ANNEX E..... 144
Cybersecurity and Privacy Readiness Framework..... 144
E.1 Purpose..... 144
E.2 Assessment Objectives..... 145
E.3 Cybersecurity Maturity Levels..... 145
E.4 Overall Cybersecurity Readiness Summary..... 146
E.5 Policy and Governance Assessment..... 146
Objective..... 146
Cybersecurity Governance Checklist..... 146
Governance Risk Assessment..... 146
E.6 Access Control and Identity Management Assessment..... 146
Objective..... 146
Access Control Assessment..... 147
Identity Management Checklist..... 147
E.7 Data Protection Assessment..... 147
Objective..... 147

Data Protection Capability Assessment..... 147
Data Protection Checklist..... 147
E.8 Network Security Assessment..... 148
Objective..... 148
Network Security Assessment Matrix..... 148
Network Security Checklist..... 148
E.9 Monitoring and Incident Response Assessment..... 148
Objective..... 148
Security Monitoring Assessment..... 148
Incident Response Checklist..... 148
E.9.1 Recommended Cybersecurity Incident Severity Classification..... 149
Recommended Incident Severity Classification..... 149
Recommended Incident Response Considerations..... 149
E.10 Vendor and Supply Chain Security Assessment..... 150
Objective..... 150
Vendor Security Assessment Matrix..... 150
Vendor Security Checklist..... 150
E.11 Training and Awareness Assessment..... 150
Objective..... 150
Workforce Cybersecurity Readiness Assessment..... 150
Workforce Awareness Checklist..... 151
E.12 Cybersecurity Risk Register..... 151
E.13 Cybersecurity Findings and Recommendations..... 151
E.14 Recommended Cybersecurity Improvement Priorities..... 151
E.15 Continuous Cybersecurity Improvement..... 152
ANNEX F..... 152
Pilot Planning and Design Framework..... 152
F.1 Purpose..... 152
F.2 Pilot Planning Objectives..... 153
F.3 Pilot Governance Structure..... 153
Objective..... 153
Recommended Pilot Governance Structure..... 154
F.4 Pilot Objectives and Scope Definition..... 154

Objective....154
Pilot Objective Assessment....154
Functional Scope Definition....154
Pilot Scope Summary....154
F.5 Site Selection and Sampling Framework....155
Objective....155
Pilot Area Selection Criteria....155
Consumer Sampling Matrix....155
Community Coordination Checklist....155
F.6 Technical Planning and System Design....155
Objective....155
Technical Architecture Assessment....155
Technical Readiness Checklist....156
F.7 Project Management and Risk Mitigation....156
Objective....156
Pilot Project Plan....156
Pilot Risk Register....156
F.8 Stakeholder Engagement and Communication Plan....156
Objective....156
Stakeholder Communication Matrix....157
Consumer Support Readiness Checklist....157
F.9 Budget and Procurement Planning....157
Objective....157
Pilot Budget Framework....157
Procurement Readiness Checklist....157
F.10 Monitoring and Evaluation Framework....158
Objective....158
F.10.1 Technical Performance Indicators....158
F.10.2 Consumer Experience Indicators....158
F.10.3 Operational and Financial Indicators....159
F.10.4 Cybersecurity and Data Governance Indicators....159
F.10.5 Pilot Evaluation Summary....159
F.11 Pilot Evaluation and Lessons Learned....159

Objective..... 159
Pilot Findings Summary..... 160
Lessons Learned Assessment..... 160
F.12 Scaling Readiness Assessment..... 160
Objective..... 160
Scaling Readiness Checklist..... 160
F.12.1 Pilot Evaluation and Deployment Decision Guidance..... 160
Recommended Pilot Evaluation Areas..... 161
Recommended Pilot Deployment Decision Classification..... 161
Regulatory and Governance Considerations..... 161
F.13 Continuous Pilot Improvement..... 162
ANNEX G..... 162
Acceptance Testing and Validation Framework..... 162
G.1 Purpose..... 162
G.2 Acceptance Testing Objectives..... 163
G.3 Acceptance Testing Governance..... 164
Objective..... 164
Recommended Testing Governance Structure..... 164
G.4 Meter Testing and Validation..... 164
Objective..... 164
Meter Accuracy Testing Matrix..... 164
Tamper Detection Validation..... 164
Firmware and Functional Testing..... 164
G.5 Communication Network Testing..... 165
Objective..... 165
Communication Performance Testing..... 165
Coverage Validation Assessment..... 165
G.6 Head-End System (HES) and MDMS Testing..... 165
Objective..... 165
System Integration Testing Matrix..... 165
Data Integrity Validation..... 165
Scalability Testing..... 166
G.7 Security and Privacy Testing..... 166

Objective....166
Security Validation Checklist....166
Vulnerability and Penetration Testing....166
G.8 VEE and Billing Validation Testing....166
Objective....166
VEE Validation Assessment....167
Billing Validation Testing....167
G.9 User Acceptance Testing (UAT)....167
Objective....167
User Readiness Assessment....167
End-to-End Process Validation....167
Consumer Portal and Mobile Application Testing....167
G.10 Acceptance Testing Findings Summary....168
G.11 Corrective Action and Retesting....168
Objective....168
Corrective Action Tracking....168
G.12 Final Acceptance Recommendation....168
Objective....168
Acceptance Recommendation Summary....168
Final Recommendation....168
G.12.1 Recommended Deployment Acceptance Classification....168
Recommended Acceptance Classification Framework....169
Recommended Acceptance Review Considerations....169
G.13 Continuous Validation and Operational Monitoring....170
ANNEX H....170
VEE Operations and Billing Accuracy Framework....170
H.1 Purpose....170
H.2 VEE Operational Objectives....171
H.3 VEE Governance and Operational Responsibility....171
Objective....171
Recommended VEE Governance Structure....172
H.4 Data Validation Framework....172
Objective....172

Data Validation Assessment....172
Anomaly Detection Assessment....172
H.5 Estimation Operations Framework....172
Objective....172
Recommended Estimation Methods....172
Estimation Governance Checklist....173
Recommended Estimation Operational Controls....173
H.6 Editing and Manual Correction Procedures....173
Objective....173
Editing Governance Assessment....173
Manual Editing Checklist....173
Recommended Editing Operational Controls....174
H.7 Billing Integration and Data Transfer Framework....174
Objective....174
Billing Integration Assessment....174
Billing Accuracy Reference Indicators....174
H.8 Consumer Billing Transparency....175
Objective....175
Consumer Billing Information Assessment....175
Recommended Consumer Billing Practices....175
H.9 VEE Operational Risk Assessment....175
Objective....175
VEE Risk Register....175
H.10 VEE Findings and Operational Recommendations....175
H.11 Recommended VEE Operational Improvement Priorities....176
H.12 Continuous VEE Improvement....176
ANNEX I....177
Consumer Education and Engagement Framework....177
I.1 Purpose....177
I.2 Consumer Education and Engagement Objectives....177
I.3 Consumer Communication Strategy....178
Objective....178
Consumer Communication Channels Assessment....178

Communication Capability Assessment..... 178
I.4 Consumer Education Materials Framework..... 178
Objective..... 178
Recommended Education Materials..... 179
Education Material Readiness Checklist..... 179
I.5 Public Awareness and Community Engagement..... 179
Objective..... 179
Community Engagement Activities..... 179
Stakeholder Coordination Checklist..... 179
I.6 Consumer Engagement Programs..... 180
Objective..... 180
Consumer Program Assessment..... 180
Special Consumer Support Programs..... 180
I.7 Consumer Support and Complaint Handling..... 180
Objective..... 180
Consumer Support Capability Assessment..... 180
Recommended Consumer Service Reference Indicators..... 180
I.8 Consumer Education and Engagement Monitoring..... 181
Objective..... 181
Consumer Engagement Monitoring Indicators..... 181
I.9 Consumer Education and Engagement Risk Assessment..... 181
Objective..... 181
Consumer Engagement Risk Register..... 181
I.10 Consumer Engagement Findings and Recommendations..... 181
I.11 Recommended Consumer Engagement Improvement Priorities..... 181
I.12 Continuous Consumer Engagement Improvement..... 182
ANNEX J..... 182
Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution Framework..... 182
J.1 Purpose..... 183
J.2 Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution Objectives..... 183
J.3 Complaint Handling Governance Structure..... 184
Objective..... 184
Recommended Complaint Handling Governance Structure..... 184

J.4 Complaint Intake and Workflow Design....184
Objective....184
Complaint Intake Channel Assessment....184
Complaint Handling Workflow....185
J.5 Service Level Agreements (SLAs)....185
Objective....185
Recommended Complaint Handling SLA Targets....185
Escalation Criteria Assessment....185
J.6 Complaint Documentation and Reporting....185
Objective....185
Complaint Documentation Checklist....185
Complaint Monitoring and Reporting Indicators....186
Complaint Trend Analysis....186
J.7 Consumer Communication and Transparency....186
Objective....186
Consumer Communication Assessment....186
Recommended Consumer Communication Practices....186
J.8 Mediation and Escalation Procedures....187
Objective....187
Escalation and Mediation Assessment....187
Recommended Escalation Procedures....187
J.9 Complaint Handling Risk Assessment....187
Objective....187
Complaint Handling Risk Register....187
J.10 Complaint Handling Findings and Recommendations....188
J.11 Recommended Complaint Handling Improvement Priorities....188
J.12 Continuous Complaint Handling Improvement....188
ANNEX K....189
Performance Monitoring and Reporting Framework....189
K.1 Purpose....189
K.2 Performance Monitoring Objectives....190
K.3 Enterprise Performance Monitoring Structure....190
Objective....190

Recommended Performance Monitoring Areas....190
K.4 KPI Governance and Ownership....190
Objective....190
Recommended KPI Ownership Structure....191
KPI Governance Checklist....191
K.5 Data Collection and Quality Assurance....191
Objective....191
Data Collection Assessment....191
Data Quality Assurance Checklist....191
K.6 Reporting and Dashboard Framework....192
Objective....192
Recommended Reporting Structure....192
Dashboard and Visualization Assessment....192
K.7 Performance Review and Corrective Action Management....192
Objective....192
Recommended Performance Review Process....192
Corrective Action Tracking....192
K.8 Trend Analysis and Operational Insights....193
Objective....193
Recommended Trend Analysis Areas....193
K.9 Regulatory and Compliance Reporting....193
Objective....193
Regulatory Reporting Assessment....193
Recommended Regulatory Reporting Practices....193
K.10 Performance Monitoring Findings and Recommendations....194
K.11 Recommended Performance Improvement Priorities....194
K.12 Continuous Performance Improvement....194
ANNEX L....195
Post-Deployment Evaluation and Scaling Framework....195
L.1 Purpose....195
L.2 Post-Deployment Evaluation Objectives....196
L.3 Pilot Evaluation Framework....196
Objective....196

Pilot Evaluation Assessment....196
Recommended Pilot Evaluation Areas....197
L.4 Technical and Operational Performance Review....197
Objective....197
Technical Performance Assessment....197
Operational Performance Assessment....197
L.5 Financial and Commercial Evaluation....197
Objective....197
Financial Performance Assessment....197
Recommended Financial Evaluation Considerations....198
L.6 Lessons Learned and Continuous Improvement....198
Objective....198
Lessons Learned Assessment....198
Recommended Improvement Areas....198
L.7 Scaling Readiness Assessment....199
Objective....199
Scaling Readiness Checklist....199
Recommended Scaling Evaluation Areas....199
L.8 Deployment Prioritization and Rollout Planning....199
Objective....199
Recommended Deployment Prioritization Factors....199
Phased Deployment Planning Assessment....200
L.9 Regulatory Submission and Coordination....200
Objective....200
Regulatory Submission Checklist....200
Recommended Regulatory Coordination Practices....200
L.10 Post-Deployment Findings and Recommendations....200
L.11 Recommended Post-Deployment Improvement Priorities....200
L.12 Continuous Modernization and Scaling Improvement....201
ANNEX M....202
Business Case and CAPEX Development Framework....202
M.1 Purpose....202
M.2 Business Case and CAPEX Development Objectives....203

M.3 Investment Scope and Modernization Planning....203
Objective....203
Recommended Investment Planning Areas....203
M.4 Cost Component Assessment....203
Objective....203
CAPEX Cost Component Assessment....204
Recommended CAPEX Planning Considerations....204
M.5 Benefits and Operational Value Assessment....204
Objective....204
Benefits Assessment Matrix....204
Recommended Operational Benefit Considerations....205
M.6 Financial Analysis Framework....205
Objective....205
Recommended Financial Evaluation Areas....205
Financial Sensitivity Analysis....205
Financing Assessment....206
M.7 Risk Management Framework....206
Objective....206
Risk Assessment Matrix....206
Recommended Risk Management Considerations....206
M.8 Consumer and Public Interest Assessment....207
Objective....207
Consumer Impact Assessment....207
Recommended Consumer Protection Considerations....207
M.9 CAPEX Proposal Development....207
Objective....207
CAPEX Proposal Checklist....207
Recommended CAPEX Proposal Components....208
M.10 Regulatory Submission and Coordination....208
Objective....208
Regulatory Coordination Assessment....208
Recommended Regulatory Coordination Practices....208
M.11 Business Case Findings and Recommendations....208

M.12 Recommended Investment and Modernization Priorities....209
M.13 Continuous Investment and Modernization Planning....209
ANNEX N....210
Technical Requirements and Compliance Checklist....210
N.1 Purpose....210
N.2 Smart Meter Technical Requirements....210
Objective....210
Smart Meter Compliance Checklist....210
N.3 Communication Module Technical Requirements....211
Objective....211
Communication Module Compliance Checklist....211
N.4 Head-End System (HES) Technical Requirements....211
Objective....211
HES Compliance Checklist....211
N.5 Meter Data Management System (MDMS) Requirements....212
Objective....212
MDMS Compliance Checklist....212
N.6 VEE and Billing Integration Requirements....212
Objective....212
VEE and Billing Integration Checklist....212
N.7 Outage Detection and Management Requirements....212
Objective....212
Outage Management Compliance Checklist....212
N.8 Cybersecurity and Privacy Technical Requirements....213
Objective....213
Cybersecurity Compliance Checklist....213
N.9 Interoperability and Scalability Verification....213
Objective....213
Interoperability and Scalability Checklist....213
N.10 Technical Compliance Summary....213
N.11 Recommended Technical Compliance Review Practices....213
ANNEX O....214
CAPEX and Investment Planning Submission Template....214

O.1 Purpose....214
O.2 Project Identification Summary....214
O.3 Project Objectives....215
Operational Objectives....215
Strategic Alignment....215
O.4 Current Operational Challenges Assessment....215
O.5 Proposed Project Scope....215
O.6 CAPEX Cost Summary....216
O.7 Financing Plan Summary....216
O.8 Risk Assessment Summary....216
O.9 Implementation Schedule Summary....216
O.10 Expected Benefits Summary....217
O.11 Regulatory and Governance Approval Summary....217
O.12 Supporting Attachments Checklist....217
ANNEX P....218
Cybersecurity and Data Governance Audit Checklist....218
P.1 Purpose....218
P.2 Data Classification and Governance Verification....218
Objective....218
Data Governance Checklist....218
P.3 Access Management Verification....219
Objective....219
Access Management Checklist....219
P.4 Encryption and Key Management Verification....219
Objective....219
Encryption and Key Management Checklist....219
P.5 Security Monitoring and Logging Verification....219
Objective....219
Monitoring and Logging Checklist....219
P.6 Incident Response and Notification Verification....220
Objective....220
Incident Response Checklist....220
P.7 Vendor and Third-Party Security Verification....220

Objective.....220
Vendor Security Checklist.....220
P.8 Cybersecurity Training and Awareness Verification.....220
Objective.....220
Training and Awareness Checklist.....220
P.9 Cybersecurity Audit Findings Summary.....220
P.10 Recommended Cybersecurity Review Practices.....221
ANNEX Q.....221
Glossary and Acronyms.....221
Q.1 Purpose.....221
Q.2 Acronyms and Definitions.....222
Q.3 Glossary Maintenance and Updates.....223
END OF DOCUMENT.....223

Foreword

The Philippine power sector is entering a critical period of transformation.

As Distribution Utilities (DUs) and Electric Cooperatives (ECs) face increasing operational complexity, rising consumer expectations, growing integration of renewable energy resources, electric vehicles, distributed energy systems, and the accelerating demand for digital utility operations, the need for modernization has become more urgent than ever.

Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid technologies are no longer optional future initiatives. They are becoming essential foundations for building a more resilient, efficient, transparent, secure, and consumer-centric energy sector.

The AMPERE Protocol was developed to provide a structured and comprehensive framework that will guide utilities, regulators, policymakers, system integrators, and stakeholders in the planning, implementation, evaluation, governance, and long-term scaling of AMI and Smart Grid modernization initiatives within the Philippines.

This protocol was created not only as a technical reference, but as a national-level operational and governance framework designed to support the evolving modernization requirements of the Philippine energy sector.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that successful AMI deployment requires more than the installation of smart meters alone. True modernization involves the integration of communication infrastructure, data management systems, cybersecurity, operational transformation, consumer engagement, regulatory alignment, workforce readiness, and long-term strategic planning.

Without proper structure and governance, utilities risk fragmented implementation, interoperability challenges, operational inefficiencies, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and investments that may be difficult to scale or sustain over the long term.

This protocol therefore promotes a phased, measurable, and standards-based approach to modernization through proper readiness assessment, pilot implementation, technical validation, operational evaluation, performance monitoring, and continuous improvement.

The AMPERE Protocol also emphasizes the importance of consumer protection, transparency, cybersecurity, data privacy, interoperability, and responsible technology adoption. As utilities become increasingly digital, the protection of consumer information and operational systems must remain a fundamental priority alongside innovation and modernization.

This edition incorporates evolving industry practices, international standards, regulatory developments, and modernization principles relevant to the Philippine power sector. It is intended to support alignment among Distribution Utilities, Electric Cooperatives, regulators, technology providers, system integrators, and other stakeholders involved in the national transition toward smarter and more intelligent utility operations.

Ultimately, the AMPERE Protocol seeks to help establish a stronger foundation for the future of Philippine energy modernization by promoting structured implementation, operational sustainability, regulatory readiness, and continuous innovation across the utility sector.

As technologies, regulations, and energy systems continue to evolve, this protocol should likewise continue to evolve to ensure that it remains relevant, practical, and aligned with the long-term modernization goals of the country.

Executive Summary

The AMPERE Protocol was developed to provide a structured, comprehensive, and nationally aligned framework for the planning, implementation, governance, operation, evaluation, and long-term scaling of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives within the Philippine power sector.

As Distribution Utilities (DUs) and Electric Cooperatives (ECs) continue facing increasing operational demands, aging infrastructure challenges, system losses, rising customer expectations, integration of renewable energy resources, electric vehicle adoption, distributed energy systems, and growing digitalization requirements, the modernization of utility operations has become increasingly critical to ensuring long-term energy reliability, operational efficiency, sustainability, and consumer empowerment.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI deployment is not merely a meter replacement initiative, but rather a foundational transformation of utility operations, data management, customer engagement, network visibility, and future Smart Grid capability development.

This protocol establishes a phased and standards-based framework designed to guide utilities through all major stages of modernization, including:

- Utility readiness assessment
- Smart Grid maturity evaluation
- Baseline operational assessment
- Communication feasibility analysis
- Cybersecurity and data governance preparation
- Pilot planning and implementation
- Technical validation and acceptance testing
- Validation, Estimation, and Editing (VEE) operations
- Consumer education and engagement
- Complaint handling and dispute resolution
- Performance monitoring and reporting
- Post-pilot evaluation and scaling
- Business case development and CAPEX planning

The protocol further promotes alignment with:

- Department of Energy (DOE) modernization initiatives
- Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC) AMI regulations
- National Electrification Administration (NEA) modernization programs
- National Privacy Commission (NPC) data privacy requirements

- Department of Information and Communications Technology (DICT) cybersecurity frameworks
- Relevant international standards and interoperability frameworks

This document is intended to serve as both a governance framework and an operational reference for regulators, utilities, system integrators, technology providers, consultants, policymakers, and other stakeholders involved in the modernization of the Philippine energy sector.

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that successful AMI and Smart Grid deployment requires coordinated integration across multiple areas, including:

- Metering infrastructure
- Communication systems
- Head-End Systems (HES)
- Meter Data Management Systems (MDMS)
- Billing integration
- Cybersecurity
- Data governance
- Consumer engagement
- Operational transformation
- Workforce readiness
- Regulatory compliance
- Long-term scalability

The protocol also recognizes the importance of balancing modernization with strong consumer protection, transparency, cybersecurity, interoperability, operational sustainability, and responsible technology deployment.

To minimize implementation risk, the AMPERE Protocol advocates for a phased and measurable deployment methodology centered around pilot validation, operational testing, performance evaluation, continuous improvement, and evidence-based scaling strategies.

This edition incorporates evolving industry practices, international modernization principles, emerging Smart Grid concepts, and lessons learned from AMI deployments both locally and internationally.

Ultimately, the AMPERE Protocol seeks to help establish a stronger foundation for a more intelligent, resilient, efficient, secure, and consumer-centric Philippine power sector by promoting structured modernization, operational readiness, regulatory alignment, and long-term sustainability across the utility industry.

1. Disclaimer and Limitation of Authority

The AMPERE Protocol is intended to serve as a national-level technical, operational, governance, and modernization guidance framework for Distribution Utilities (DUs), Electric Cooperatives (ECs), regulators, policymakers, system integrators, technology providers, consultants, and other stakeholders involved in the planning, implementation, operation, evaluation, and long-term scaling of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives within the Philippine power sector.

This protocol was developed to provide structured guidance and recommended practices covering utility readiness assessment, pilot deployment, operational integration, cybersecurity, data governance, interoperability, consumer engagement, performance evaluation, and long-term modernization planning associated with AMI and Smart Grid implementation.

The AMPERE Protocol is intended to support the development of a more resilient, efficient, transparent, secure, interoperable, and consumer-centric energy ecosystem through a phased and standards-based modernization approach.

This document does not supersede, replace, amend, reinterpret, or override any existing law, regulation, memorandum, circular, code, franchise obligation, technical standard, or regulatory issuance of the Republic of the Philippines.

All regulatory approvals, compliance determinations, rate applications, capital expenditure approvals, cybersecurity obligations, privacy compliance requirements, procurement decisions, and operational authorizations shall remain under the jurisdiction and authority of the appropriate government agencies and regulatory bodies, including but not limited to:

- Department of Energy (DOE)
- Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC)
- National Electrification Administration (NEA)
- National Privacy Commission (NPC)
- Department of Information and Communications Technology (DICT)
- National Telecommunications Commission (NTC)
- Other applicable national and local government agencies

Utilities implementing AMI and Smart Grid systems remain fully responsible for ensuring compliance with all applicable laws, regulations, standards, franchise obligations, procurement rules, cybersecurity requirements, data privacy obligations, operational requirements, and consumer protection policies relevant to their respective operations.

The AMPERE Protocol shall not be interpreted as:

- A mandatory regulatory issuance
- A replacement for utility engineering judgment

- A substitute for regulatory approvals
- A procurement specification
- A certification standard
- A guarantee of project performance
- A replacement for utility-specific operational procedures
- A limitation on technology innovation or alternative implementation methodologies

This protocol promotes technology-neutral modernization principles and recognizes that utilities may adopt different technological architectures, communication systems, deployment methodologies, operational structures, and implementation strategies depending on utility size, geographic conditions, consumer mix, financial capability, network characteristics, and long-term modernization objectives.

The guidance contained in this document incorporates relevant modernization principles derived from:

- DOE Smart Grid modernization initiatives
- ERC AMI regulations and related issuances
- NEA modernization programs and utility development initiatives
- National cybersecurity and data privacy frameworks
- International interoperability and Smart Grid standards
- Global AMI deployment experiences and industry best practices

As technologies, regulatory environments, cybersecurity threats, utility operational requirements, and Smart Grid capabilities continue to evolve, the AMPERE Protocol should likewise be treated as a living framework subject to future revisions, enhancements, refinements, and updates.

Future revisions of this protocol may incorporate:

- Emerging Smart Grid technologies
- Advanced cybersecurity frameworks
- Artificial intelligence and analytics applications
- Distributed Energy Resource (DER) integration
- Electric vehicle infrastructure integration
- Advanced communication technologies
- Updated regulatory requirements
- Additional operational best practices
- Lessons learned from local and international AMI deployments

The AMPERE Protocol is ultimately intended to serve as a collaborative modernization reference framework that supports responsible, sustainable, scalable, and regulator-aligned transformation of the Philippine power sector toward more intelligent and digitally enabled utility operations.

2. Purpose and Objectives

The AMPERE Protocol was developed to establish a structured, comprehensive, and nationally aligned framework that will guide the Philippine power sector in the responsible planning, implementation, governance, operation, evaluation, and long-term scaling of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

The protocol is intended to support Distribution Utilities (DUs), Electric Cooperatives (ECs), regulators, policymakers, system integrators, technology providers, consultants, and other stakeholders involved in the modernization of utility operations and energy infrastructure throughout the country.

As the Philippine energy sector continues transitioning toward increasingly digital, data-driven, and consumer-centric operations, utilities are facing growing challenges associated with:

- Aging infrastructure
- Rising operational costs
- System losses
- Increasing energy demand
- Renewable energy integration
- Electric vehicle adoption
- Distributed Energy Resources (DER)
- Cybersecurity risks
- Data privacy obligations
- Consumer expectations for transparency and reliability
- Long-term sustainability and resilience requirements

The AMPERE Protocol was developed to help address these challenges through a phased, standards-based, interoperable, secure, and operationally sustainable modernization framework.

This protocol recognizes that AMI implementation is not simply a metering project, but rather a transformational modernization initiative that affects utility operations, data management, customer engagement, engineering practices, operational visibility, planning capabilities, and long-term Smart Grid readiness.

The primary purpose of this protocol is to provide utilities and stakeholders with a practical and scalable implementation framework that promotes structured modernization while minimizing operational, financial, technical, regulatory, and cybersecurity risks.

The objectives of the AMPERE Protocol include the following:

2.1 Promote Structured and Phased Modernization

To promote a phased and measurable approach for the planning, assessment, pilot implementation, evaluation, deployment, optimization, and long-term scaling of AMI and Smart Grid technologies across Distribution Utilities and Electric Cooperatives.

This includes encouraging utilities to adopt evidence-based deployment methodologies supported by pilot validation, operational testing, performance monitoring, and continuous improvement.

2.2 Support National Regulatory Alignment

To support alignment with applicable Philippine laws, modernization initiatives, and regulatory frameworks, including:

- Department of Energy (DOE) Smart Grid modernization initiatives
- Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC) AMI rules and related issuances
- National Electrification Administration (NEA) modernization programs
- National Privacy Commission (NPC) data privacy requirements
- Department of Information and Communications Technology (DICT) cybersecurity frameworks
- Other applicable national standards and regulatory requirements

The protocol aims to help utilities prepare for modernization while maintaining proper regulatory compliance, governance, transparency, and accountability.

2.3 Strengthen Operational Efficiency and Utility Visibility

To help utilities improve operational efficiency, network visibility, and decision-making capabilities through the responsible implementation of AMI and Smart Grid technologies.

This includes support for:

- Automated meter reading and monitoring
- Improved billing accuracy
- Enhanced outage visibility
- Faster operational response
- Improved system monitoring
- Better energy data analytics
- Reduced manual operational processes
- Improved asset and demand visibility
- Improved planning and forecasting capabilities

2.4 Promote Cybersecurity, Data Governance, and System Resilience

To strengthen utility cybersecurity posture, operational resilience, data governance, and consumer data protection through the adoption of structured cybersecurity controls, interoperability standards, access management policies, secure communication frameworks, and industry best practices.

The protocol promotes the protection of critical utility infrastructure and emphasizes the importance of cybersecurity and data privacy as core foundations of digital utility modernization.

2.5 Safeguard Consumer Rights and Transparency

To support the protection of consumer rights through:

- Billing transparency
- Accurate energy measurement
- Responsible data handling
- Consumer access to usage information
- Structured complaint handling
- Clear dispute resolution processes
- Consumer education and engagement

The protocol recognizes that consumer trust and transparency are essential to the successful adoption of AMI and Smart Grid technologies.

2.6 Support Smart Grid and Future Energy Integration

To help prepare utilities for future Smart Grid capabilities and integration of emerging energy technologies, including:

- Distributed Energy Resources (DER)
- Renewable energy systems
- Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS)
- Electric vehicle infrastructure
- Demand response programs
- Dynamic pricing mechanisms
- Advanced distribution automation
- Future intelligent utility operations

The protocol encourages long-term interoperability, scalability, and modernization readiness across utility systems and infrastructure.

2.7 Provide Practical Implementation Guidance

To provide utilities and stakeholders with practical implementation tools, operational guidance, assessment frameworks, and reference templates covering:

- Utility readiness assessments
- Smart Grid maturity evaluations
- Baseline operational surveys
- Communication feasibility studies
- Pilot planning methodologies
- Technical validation procedures
- VEE operations
- Performance monitoring
- Reporting frameworks
- Business case development
- CAPEX planning
- Post-deployment evaluation and scaling strategies

These tools are intended to help utilities implement modernization initiatives in a more organized, measurable, scalable, and operationally sustainable manner.

2.8 Promote Long-Term National Energy Modernization

Ultimately, the AMPERE Protocol seeks to support the long-term modernization of the Philippine power sector by promoting:

- Operational efficiency
- System reliability
- Grid resilience
- Consumer empowerment
- Regulatory readiness
- Digital transformation
- Interoperability
- Sustainable infrastructure development
- Continuous innovation

The protocol aims to help establish a stronger foundation for the future evolution of intelligent, secure, resilient, and consumer-centric utility operations across the Philippines.

3. Scope and Applicability

The AMPERE Protocol applies to Distribution Utilities (DUs), Electric Cooperatives (ECs), regulators, policymakers, system integrators, technology providers, consultants, engineering groups, project

developers, and other stakeholders involved in the planning, implementation, operation, evaluation, governance, and long-term modernization of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid systems within the Philippine power sector.

This protocol is intended to serve as a national-level modernization framework applicable to utilities of varying:

- Operational scale
- Geographic coverage
- Consumer mix
- Network complexity
- Financial capability
- Technology maturity
- Smart Grid readiness

The AMPERE Protocol is designed to support both:

- Initial AMI modernization initiatives
- Long-term Smart Grid transformation programs

across urban, suburban, rural, island, and geographically challenging utility environments throughout the Philippines.

3.1 Scope of Coverage

This protocol covers the full lifecycle of AMI and Smart Grid modernization initiatives, including but not limited to:

- Utility readiness assessment
- Smart Grid maturity evaluation
- Baseline operational and metering assessment
- Communication feasibility analysis
- Cybersecurity and data governance preparation
- Pilot planning and implementation
- Technical validation and acceptance testing
- Validation, Estimation, and Editing (VEE) operations
- Consumer education and engagement
- Complaint handling and dispute resolution
- Performance monitoring and reporting
- Business case development
- CAPEX planning
- Post-pilot evaluation

- Phased deployment and scaling
- Long-term operational optimization
- Continuous modernization and system improvement

The protocol further supports the structured integration of:

- Smart metering systems
- Communication infrastructure
- Head-End Systems (HES)
- Meter Data Management Systems (MDMS)
- Billing systems
- Geographic Information Systems (GIS)
- Outage Management Systems (OMS)
- SCADA and distribution automation systems
- Cybersecurity frameworks
- Data governance systems
- Consumer information platforms
- Smart Grid operational technologies

3.2 Applicability Across Utility Types

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that utilities within the Philippine power sector operate under different:

- Organizational structures
- Franchise conditions
- Consumer densities
- Terrain and geographic limitations
- Operational capabilities
- Financial resources
- Existing infrastructure conditions

Accordingly, this protocol is designed to be scalable and adaptable across:

- Large investor-owned utilities
- Medium-sized distribution utilities
- Electric cooperatives
- Island utilities
- Missionary electrification areas
- Developing and emerging Smart Grid environments

Utilities may adopt implementation approaches appropriate to their operational realities while still maintaining alignment with the modernization principles, governance standards, interoperability requirements, cybersecurity practices, and consumer protection objectives promoted within this protocol.

3.3 Technology Neutrality and Interoperability

The AMPERE Protocol promotes technology-neutral modernization principles and does not mandate the use of any specific:

- Manufacturer
- Vendor
- Communication platform
- Software provider
- Metering technology
- Network architecture
- Deployment methodology

Utilities may adopt different technological solutions based on:

- Technical feasibility
- Geographic conditions
- Operational requirements
- Financial considerations
- Existing infrastructure
- Scalability objectives
- Long-term modernization strategy

However, all modernization initiatives are strongly encouraged to prioritize:

- Interoperability
- Scalability
- Cybersecurity
- Open standards
- Long-term sustainability
- Future Smart Grid integration capability

The protocol further encourages alignment with internationally recognized standards and best practices to support:

- Vendor flexibility
- Future system expansion
- Cross-platform integration

- Operational compatibility
- Long-term modernization readiness

3.4 Relationship to Regulatory and Utility Frameworks

This protocol is intended to complement existing:

- Regulatory requirements
- Utility operational procedures
- Engineering standards
- Cybersecurity policies
- Data privacy obligations
- Procurement frameworks
- Technical specifications
- Consumer protection programs

The AMPERE Protocol should be used as a guiding modernization framework and reference document to support utilities in developing more structured, measurable, scalable, and regulator-aligned AMI and Smart Grid implementation programs.

Utilities remain responsible for adapting implementation strategies according to:

- Applicable laws and regulations
- Franchise obligations
- Internal governance requirements
- Technical operating conditions
- Utility-specific modernization objectives

3.5 Long-Term Modernization Perspective

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that Smart Grid modernization is an ongoing and evolving process rather than a one-time deployment initiative.

Accordingly, this protocol is intended not only to support current AMI deployment activities, but also to help establish long-term foundations for:

- Intelligent utility operations
- Digital transformation
- Renewable energy integration
- Distributed Energy Resource (DER) management
- Electric vehicle infrastructure integration
- Advanced analytics and automation

- Grid resilience and operational sustainability
- Future Smart Grid innovation

The protocol therefore promotes modernization approaches that are scalable, adaptable, interoperable, and capable of evolving alongside future utility and energy sector requirements.

4. Governance and Regulatory Alignment

The AMPERE Protocol aligns with relevant Philippine laws, modernization initiatives, regulatory issuances, and international industry standards associated with AMI and Smart Grid deployment.

The protocol is intended to complement existing national modernization efforts while helping utilities implement more structured and regulator-aligned deployment programs.

Key regulatory and policy references include the following:

Energy Regulatory Commission (ERC)

The protocol supports alignment with the:

ERC Amended Rules to Govern the Implementation of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)

including amendments and related issuances governing:

- AMI deployment requirements
- Metering modernization
- Consumer access to usage information
- Cybersecurity obligations
- Data governance
- Operational transparency
- Billing integrity
- Capital expenditure evaluation
- Technical compliance
- Utility reporting obligations

The protocol also recognizes the importance of the Consumer Meter Data Channel (CMDC) and related initiatives promoting near real-time consumer access to energy usage information.

Department of Energy (DOE)

The AMPERE Protocol supports the broader modernization objectives of the:

DOE Smart Grid Roadmap

including initiatives related to:

- Energy sector digitalization

- Smart Grid modernization
- Renewable energy integration
- Energy resilience
- Distributed Energy Resources (DER)
- Demand-side management
- Energy efficiency
- Grid modernization
- Electric vehicle infrastructure readiness

The protocol further acknowledges related market modernization initiatives such as:

- Retail Competition and Open Access (RCOA)
- Green Energy Option Program (GEOP)
- Net Metering
- Future distributed energy programs

National Electrification Administration (NEA)

The protocol recognizes the critical role of the National Electrification Administration (NEA) in supporting:

- Electric Cooperative modernization
- Rural electrification development
- Operational improvement initiatives
- Utility sustainability
- Technology modernization
- Capacity development
- Infrastructure resilience

The AMPERE Protocol is intended to help Electric Cooperatives adopt more structured and scalable modernization strategies while considering the operational realities and financial limitations of different cooperative environments.

National Privacy Commission (NPC)

The protocol supports compliance with:

Republic Act No. 10173

Data Privacy Act of 2012

including associated National Privacy Commission (NPC) issuances covering:

- Lawful data processing

- Consumer privacy rights
- Data security safeguards
- Data breach notification
- Consent management
- Data retention
- Data governance
- Appointment of Data Protection Officers
- Protection of personally identifiable information

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI modernization significantly increases utility data collection and therefore requires strong privacy governance and responsible data management practices.

Department of Information and Communications Technology (DICT)

The protocol aligns with relevant DICT cybersecurity initiatives and national cybersecurity frameworks associated with:

- Critical infrastructure protection
- Cybersecurity governance
- Incident response
- Digital resilience
- Information security management
- Operational technology protection
- National cybersecurity preparedness

The protocol also promotes alignment with internationally recognized cybersecurity frameworks such as the:

- NIST Cybersecurity Framework (NIST CSF)
- ISO/IEC 27001
- Other recognized operational technology cybersecurity standards

4.3 International Standards and Interoperability Alignment

To promote interoperability, scalability, and long-term modernization readiness, the AMPERE Protocol encourages utilities to align with internationally recognized technical and interoperability standards where applicable.

These may include:

- IEC 61968 / IEC 61970 Common Information Model (CIM)
- IEEE 2030 Smart Grid interoperability standards

- ANSI C12 standards
- DLMS/COSEM communication protocols
- IEC cybersecurity and communication standards
- ISO/IEC information security standards
- Other internationally recognized Smart Grid and utility modernization standards

The use of interoperable and standards-based systems helps support:

- Vendor flexibility
- Long-term scalability
- Cross-platform integration
- Future Smart Grid functionality
- Reduced technology fragmentation
- Sustainable modernization planning

4.4 Utility Governance Responsibilities

Utilities implementing AMI and Smart Grid modernization initiatives should establish clear governance structures covering:

- Project ownership
- Technical leadership
- Regulatory coordination
- Cybersecurity oversight
- Data governance
- Consumer protection
- Vendor management
- Operational accountability
- Performance monitoring
- Long-term modernization planning

Utilities are encouraged to establish dedicated Technical Working Groups (TWGs), modernization committees, or governance structures capable of managing cross-functional coordination among:

- Engineering
- Metering
- IT
- Billing
- Customer service
- Operations

- Finance
- Regulatory affairs
- Cybersecurity teams
- External stakeholders

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that modernization success depends not only on technology deployment, but also on effective governance, organizational readiness, regulatory coordination, operational discipline, and long-term strategic planning.

5. Advanced Metering Infrastructure and Smart Grid Overview

The modernization of utility operations through Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid technologies represents one of the most significant transformations currently occurring within the global energy sector.

As power systems become increasingly interconnected, data-driven, decentralized, and consumer-focused, utilities are transitioning from traditional reactive operational models toward more intelligent, automated, resilient, and digitally enabled utility ecosystems.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes AMI and Smart Grid modernization as foundational components of the long-term evolution of the Philippine power sector.

5.1 Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)

Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) is an integrated ecosystem composed of:

- Smart meters
- Communication networks
- Data collection systems
- Head-End Systems (HES)
- Meter Data Management Systems (MDMS)
- Utility operational platforms
- Consumer information interfaces
- Cybersecurity and data governance systems

working together to enable secure two-way communication and near real-time data exchange between utilities and consumers.

Unlike traditional metering systems that primarily support one-way and periodic manual meter reading, AMI enables continuous digital communication and operational visibility across utility networks.

AMI systems allow utilities to remotely:

- Read and monitor meters
- Detect outages and restoration events
- Identify tampering and abnormal conditions

- Perform remote connection and disconnection
- Monitor voltage and power quality conditions
- Analyze consumption behavior
- Support time-based pricing programs
- Improve operational decision-making
- Enhance billing accuracy
- Improve demand visibility and forecasting

At the consumer level, AMI enables improved access to energy usage information, helping consumers better understand consumption patterns, manage energy costs, and participate more actively in future energy programs and Smart Grid initiatives.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI deployment is not simply a meter replacement activity. Rather, it is the establishment of a digital operational foundation capable of supporting future Smart Grid functionality, utility automation, advanced analytics, and modern energy management systems.

5.2 Smart Meters and Data Visibility

Smart meters serve as the primary field devices within the AMI ecosystem.

These devices are capable of measuring and transmitting a wide range of operational and electrical parameters, including:

- Energy consumption (kWh)
- Voltage
- Current
- Power factor
- Demand
- Event logs
- Outage conditions
- Tamper conditions
- Power quality indicators
- Load profile data
- Other operational diagnostics depending on meter capability

Smart meters are typically integrated with communication modules that enable secure transmission of data between field devices and utility systems through various communication technologies such as:

- Radio Frequency (RF)
- Power Line Carrier (PLC)
- Cellular networks
- Fiber communication

- Hybrid communication architectures

The level of functionality available may vary depending on utility requirements, system architecture, operational objectives, and deployment strategy.

5.3 Communication Networks and System Integration

AMI systems rely on communication infrastructure to enable secure and reliable exchange of operational data between field devices and utility platforms.

Communication systems may include:

- RF Mesh networks
- PLC systems
- Cellular communication
- Fiber backhaul
- Ethernet infrastructure
- Hybrid communication architectures

These communication systems are typically integrated with:

- Head-End Systems (HES)
- Meter Data Management Systems (MDMS)
- Billing systems
- Geographic Information Systems (GIS)
- Outage Management Systems (OMS)
- SCADA systems
- Distribution management platforms
- Analytics and reporting systems

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that successful AMI deployment depends heavily on proper integration between metering infrastructure, communication systems, operational systems, and utility business processes.

Poorly integrated systems may result in:

- Operational inefficiencies
- Data inconsistency
- Billing issues
- Limited scalability
- Reduced system visibility
- Increased cybersecurity risks
- Higher long-term operational costs

5.4 Smart Grid Modernization

Smart Grid modernization extends beyond metering infrastructure alone.

A Smart Grid is a digitally enabled electricity network capable of:

- Real-time monitoring
- Automated control
- Advanced analytics
- Intelligent decision-making
- Distributed energy integration
- Operational optimization
- Enhanced reliability and resilience
- Improved consumer participation

Smart Grid technologies integrate communication systems, sensors, automation platforms, analytics, operational technologies, and digital infrastructure to improve the efficiency, reliability, flexibility, and sustainability of power systems.

The Smart Grid environment supports the transition from traditional centralized utility operations toward more adaptive and intelligent network management.

This includes support for:

- Renewable energy integration
- Distributed Energy Resources (DER)
- Electric vehicle infrastructure
- Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS)
- Demand response programs
- Dynamic pricing mechanisms
- Distribution automation
- Predictive maintenance
- Advanced outage management
- Intelligent load balancing
- Future digital utility services

5.5 Operational and Consumer Benefits

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI and Smart Grid modernization can provide significant operational, financial, and consumer-related benefits when implemented properly.

Potential utility benefits include:

- Improved billing accuracy
- Reduction in manual meter reading

- Improved outage visibility
- Faster operational response
- Reduced field operational costs
- Improved system monitoring
- Better demand forecasting
- Improved asset visibility
- Enhanced planning and engineering capability
- Reduction of non-technical losses
- Improved operational analytics

Potential consumer benefits include:

- Improved billing transparency
- Access to near real-time usage information
- Better energy consumption awareness
- Faster service response
- Improved outage communication
- More flexible future energy programs
- Better participation in demand-side management initiatives

The protocol also recognizes that the successful realization of these benefits depends heavily on:

- Proper governance
- System interoperability
- Utility readiness
- Cybersecurity
- Data governance
- Consumer education
- Operational discipline
- Long-term sustainability planning

5.6 Modernization as a Long-Term Transformation

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that AMI and Smart Grid modernization should not be viewed as isolated technology projects.

Rather, modernization should be approached as a long-term operational transformation initiative involving:

- Technology modernization
- Organizational transformation

- Workforce readiness
- Operational process improvement
- Regulatory coordination
- Cybersecurity enhancement
- Consumer engagement
- Long-term infrastructure planning

Utilities implementing AMI systems today are effectively establishing the digital foundations for the future evolution of intelligent utility operations within the Philippine power sector.

Accordingly, modernization initiatives should be planned with long-term scalability, interoperability, sustainability, resilience, and future Smart Grid integration capabilities in mind.

The AMPERE Framework establishes the core implementation structure of the AMPERE Protocol and serves as the primary modernization roadmap for utilities undertaking Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid initiatives within the Philippine power sector.

The framework was developed to guide utilities through a phased, measurable, scalable, and operationally sustainable modernization process that reduces implementation risk while improving long-term deployment readiness, operational visibility, interoperability, cybersecurity, consumer protection, and regulatory alignment.

The AMPERE Framework recognizes that successful AMI modernization cannot be achieved through isolated technology deployment alone.

Rather, effective modernization requires coordinated development across:

- Governance
- Operations
- Engineering
- Metering
- Communication infrastructure
- Cybersecurity
- Data governance
- Consumer engagement
- Utility workforce readiness
- Financial planning
- Regulatory coordination
- Long-term operational sustainability

Accordingly, the framework promotes a structured progression from readiness assessment and pilot validation toward scalable and long-term Smart Grid modernization.

The AMPERE Framework is designed to help utilities:

- Reduce modernization risk
- Improve implementation structure

- Enhance operational readiness
- Strengthen regulatory preparedness
- Improve deployment scalability
- Minimize technology fragmentation
- Improve interoperability
- Establish measurable deployment methodologies
- Support long-term Smart Grid evolution

The framework further recognizes that utilities throughout the Philippines operate under varying operational conditions, financial limitations, geographic challenges, and technology maturity levels.

Accordingly, the AMPERE Framework promotes a flexible and scalable implementation methodology that can be adapted according to utility-specific operational realities while maintaining alignment with national modernization principles and governance objectives.

6. AMPERE Framework

The AMPERE Framework establishes the core implementation structure of the AMPERE Protocol and serves as the primary modernization roadmap for utilities undertaking Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid initiatives within the Philippine power sector.

The framework was developed to guide utilities through a phased, measurable, scalable, and operationally sustainable modernization process that reduces implementation risk while improving long-term deployment readiness, operational visibility, interoperability, cybersecurity, consumer protection, and regulatory alignment.

The AMPERE Framework recognizes that successful AMI modernization cannot be achieved through isolated technology deployment alone.

Rather, effective modernization requires coordinated development across:

- Governance
- Operations
- Engineering
- Metering
- Communication infrastructure
- Cybersecurity
- Data governance
- Consumer engagement
- Utility workforce readiness
- Financial planning
- Regulatory coordination
- Long-term operational sustainability

Accordingly, the framework promotes a structured progression from readiness assessment and pilot validation toward scalable and long-term Smart Grid modernization.

The AMPERE Framework is designed to help utilities:

- Reduce modernization risk
- Improve implementation structure
- Enhance operational readiness
- Strengthen regulatory preparedness
- Improve deployment scalability
- Minimize technology fragmentation
- Improve interoperability
- Establish measurable deployment methodologies
- Support long-term Smart Grid evolution

The framework further recognizes that utilities throughout the Philippines operate under varying operational conditions, financial limitations, geographic challenges, and technology maturity levels.

Accordingly, the AMPERE Framework promotes a flexible and scalable implementation methodology that can be adapted according to utility-specific operational realities while maintaining alignment with national modernization principles and governance objectives.

6.1 AMPERE Framework Implementation Philosophy

The AMPERE Framework is built around several core implementation principles:

Phased Modernization

Utilities should modernize through structured and measurable phases rather than attempting uncontrolled or large-scale deployment without proper readiness validation.

Pilot-Based Validation

Pilot implementation should serve as a technical, operational, financial, and organizational validation mechanism prior to broader scaling.

Evidence-Based Scaling

Deployment scaling decisions should be based on measurable operational performance, technical validation, consumer feedback, and financial evaluation rather than assumptions alone.

Interoperability and Long-Term Sustainability

Utilities should prioritize scalable, interoperable, and future-ready architectures capable of supporting long-term Smart Grid evolution.

Operational Transformation

AMI modernization should be treated as an operational transformation initiative rather than solely a technology procurement project.

Continuous Improvement

Modernization programs should continuously evolve based on operational experience, emerging technologies, regulatory developments, cybersecurity requirements, and lessons learned from implementation.

6.2 AMPERE Framework Phases

The AMPERE Framework consists of interconnected modernization phases that collectively guide utilities from initial assessment through long-term Smart Grid readiness and operational optimization.

Each phase contains specific objectives, deliverables, operational considerations, governance requirements, and expected outcomes.

Detailed operational guidance, templates, assessment tools, and implementation references supporting each phase are provided in the annexes of this protocol.

Phase 1 - Readiness Assessment

The Readiness Assessment phase evaluates the utility's preparedness to undertake AMI and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

This includes assessment of:

- Governance readiness
- Organizational readiness
- Technical capability
- Communication infrastructure
- Cybersecurity readiness
- Operational capability
- Consumer engagement readiness
- Financial readiness
- Project management capability

The objective of this phase is to identify operational gaps, modernization risks, implementation limitations, and organizational requirements prior to pilot planning and deployment.

Phase 2 - Smart Grid Maturity Survey

This phase evaluates the utility's Smart Grid maturity level across multiple operational and technological areas.

Assessment areas may include:

- Customer service modernization
- Network automation

- Operational analytics
- Workforce capability
- Digital infrastructure
- Utility modernization readiness
- Long-term Smart Grid capability

The objective of this phase is to help utilities better understand their current modernization status and identify priority areas for development.

Phase 3 - Baseline Metering Assessment

This phase establishes the operational and technical baseline of the utility's existing metering environment.

This includes evaluation of:

- Existing metering infrastructure
- Billing practices
- Data accuracy
- Meter reading methodologies
- System losses
- Operational visibility
- Existing utility processes
- Consumer-related operational concerns

The baseline assessment serves as a reference point for measuring modernization impact and deployment effectiveness.

Phase 4 - Communication Feasibility Study

This phase evaluates communication technologies and network architectures capable of supporting AMI deployment.

Technologies evaluated may include:

- Radio Frequency (RF)
- Power Line Carrier (PLC)
- Cellular communication
- Fiber communication
- Hybrid communication architectures

Assessment areas include:

- Coverage
- Signal quality

- Reliability
- Latency
- Scalability
- Operational suitability
- Cost considerations
- Cybersecurity implications

The objective of this phase is to establish a communication strategy aligned with utility operational requirements and geographic realities.

Phase 5 - Cybersecurity and Privacy Readiness

This phase evaluates the utility's cybersecurity posture and data governance readiness for digital utility operations.

Assessment areas include:

- Access control
- Data governance
- Encryption
- Monitoring capability
- Incident response
- Vendor security management
- Operational technology security
- Data privacy compliance

The objective of this phase is to strengthen cybersecurity resilience and consumer data protection prior to large-scale digital integration.

Phase 6 - Pilot Planning and Design

This phase establishes the operational structure and implementation strategy for AMI pilot deployment.

Key areas include:

- Pilot objectives
- Deployment scope
- Area selection
- Consumer segmentation
- Functional requirements
- Technical architecture
- Procurement planning
- Budget planning

- Risk management
- Stakeholder coordination
- Implementation scheduling

The objective of this phase is to create a controlled and measurable pilot implementation environment.

Phase 7 - Pilot Execution and Acceptance Testing

This phase covers the actual implementation and operational validation of the AMI pilot environment.

Activities may include:

- Smart meter installation
- Communication infrastructure deployment
- HES and MDMS integration
- Billing integration
- Communication validation
- Functional testing
- Acceptance testing
- VEE validation
- Operational monitoring
- Initial consumer support activities

The objective of this phase is to validate technical performance, operational readiness, and deployment feasibility under actual utility operating conditions.

Phase 8 - Validation, Estimation, and Editing (VEE) Operations

This phase establishes structured processes for maintaining data quality and billing integrity.

This includes:

- Data validation
- Data estimation
- Data editing
- Exception management
- Billing reconciliation
- Audit trail management

The objective of this phase is to ensure operational data integrity, billing transparency, and consumer confidence.

Phase 9 - Consumer Education and Engagement

This phase focuses on preparing consumers for AMI deployment and Smart Grid participation.

Activities may include:

- Consumer awareness programs
- Educational campaigns
- Communication planning
- Digital engagement
- Consumer support channels
- Transparency initiatives
- Usage information accessibility

The objective of this phase is to strengthen consumer understanding, trust, and participation in modernization initiatives.

Phase 10 - Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution

This phase establishes structured processes for handling:

- Billing disputes
- Meter concerns
- Data-related complaints
- Consumer inquiries
- Operational concerns
- Service-related issues

The objective of this phase is to improve accountability, transparency, consumer protection, and operational responsiveness.

Phase 11 - Performance Monitoring and Reporting

This phase establishes structured monitoring and reporting mechanisms for evaluating modernization performance.

Areas monitored may include:

- Billing accuracy
- Communication reliability
- System losses
- Operational efficiency
- Consumer satisfaction
- Cybersecurity incidents
- Financial performance

- Deployment effectiveness

The objective of this phase is to support evidence-based evaluation, continuous improvement, and informed scaling decisions.

Phase 12 - Evaluation and Scaling

This phase evaluates pilot results and determines readiness for broader deployment.

This includes:

- Technical evaluation
- Operational assessment
- Financial analysis
- Consumer feedback evaluation
- Risk reassessment
- Scalability planning
- Deployment refinement

The objective of this phase is to support controlled and sustainable modernization expansion.

Phase 13 - Business Case and CAPEX Development

This phase supports the development of long-term modernization investment strategies and regulatory submissions.

Activities may include:

- CAPEX planning
- Cost-benefit analysis
- Financial modeling
- Risk analysis
- Consumer impact analysis
- Regulatory submission preparation
- Long-term deployment planning

The objective of this phase is to help utilities develop financially sustainable and regulator-aligned modernization programs.

6.3 Framework Integration and Continuous Evolution

The AMPERE Framework is intended to function as a continuous modernization cycle rather than a one-time implementation sequence.

Utilities are encouraged to continuously:

- Reassess operational readiness

- Improve deployment methodologies
- Enhance cybersecurity posture
- Refine consumer engagement strategies
- Improve interoperability
- Integrate emerging technologies
- Strengthen operational analytics
- Expand Smart Grid capabilities

As technologies, regulations, cybersecurity risks, and utility operational requirements continue evolving, the AMPERE Framework should likewise evolve to support the long-term modernization and digital transformation of the Philippine power sector.

Successful implementation of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives requires clear governance structures, defined accountability, cross-functional coordination, operational discipline, and long-term organizational commitment.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI modernization is not solely a technical or engineering initiative. It is a multi-departmental operational transformation program involving coordination across utility management, engineering, operations, billing, information technology, cybersecurity, finance, customer service, regulatory affairs, and other organizational functions.

Without clearly defined responsibilities and governance structures, utilities may encounter:

- Operational fragmentation
- Delayed implementation
- Poor system integration
- Weak accountability
- Cybersecurity gaps
- Billing inconsistencies
- Consumer dissatisfaction
- Scalability limitations
- Long-term operational inefficiencies

Accordingly, utilities implementing AMI and Smart Grid initiatives are encouraged to establish formal governance structures capable of managing modernization activities throughout all phases of implementation.

The structure and composition of modernization teams may vary depending on utility size, organizational structure, operational complexity, and deployment scale.

However, utilities are strongly encouraged to establish clear ownership, reporting lines, decision-making authority, coordination procedures, and accountability mechanisms across all modernization activities.

7. Roles and Responsibilities

Successful implementation of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives requires clear governance structures, defined accountability, cross-functional coordination, operational discipline, and long-term organizational commitment.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI modernization is not solely a technical or engineering initiative. It is a multi-departmental operational transformation program involving coordination across utility management, engineering, operations, billing, information technology, cybersecurity, finance, customer service, regulatory affairs, and other organizational functions.

Without clearly defined responsibilities and governance structures, utilities may encounter:

- Operational fragmentation
- Delayed implementation
- Poor system integration
- Weak accountability
- Cybersecurity gaps
- Billing inconsistencies
- Consumer dissatisfaction
- Scalability limitations
- Long-term operational inefficiencies

Accordingly, utilities implementing AMI and Smart Grid initiatives are encouraged to establish formal governance structures capable of managing modernization activities throughout all phases of implementation.

The structure and composition of modernization teams may vary depending on utility size, organizational structure, operational complexity, and deployment scale.

However, utilities are strongly encouraged to establish clear ownership, reporting lines, decision-making authority, coordination procedures, and accountability mechanisms across all modernization activities.

7.1 Governance Structure Overview

Utilities implementing AMI modernization initiatives are encouraged to establish a governance structure that may include:

- Executive leadership oversight
- Steering or modernization committees
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Operational implementation teams
- Cybersecurity and data governance oversight
- Consumer engagement and support teams
- Quality assurance and audit functions

The governance structure should support:

- Strategic alignment
- Operational coordination
- Technical oversight
- Regulatory compliance
- Risk management
- Consumer protection
- Long-term modernization sustainability

7.2 Project Sponsor / Steering Committee

The Project Sponsor or Steering Committee provides executive-level leadership and strategic oversight for AMI modernization initiatives.

Responsibilities may include:

- Establishing modernization direction and priorities
- Approving project scope and implementation strategy
- Approving budgets and investment plans
- Supporting regulatory coordination
- Monitoring modernization progress
- Reviewing major project risks and mitigation plans
- Supporting organizational alignment across departments
- Ensuring long-term modernization sustainability

The Steering Committee should help ensure that modernization initiatives remain aligned with:

- Utility operational objectives
- National modernization goals
- Regulatory requirements
- Consumer protection principles
- Long-term Smart Grid strategy

Depending on utility structure, the Steering Committee may include:

- General Manager
- Executive management
- Department heads
- Technical leadership
- Regulatory affairs representatives
- Finance representatives
- IT and cybersecurity leadership

7.3 Project Manager

The Project Manager is responsible for overseeing the day-to-day coordination and execution of modernization activities.

Responsibilities may include:

- Managing implementation schedules and timelines
- Coordinating cross-functional activities
- Monitoring project progress
- Managing implementation risks and issues
- Coordinating vendors and contractors
- Supporting regulatory reporting
- Facilitating stakeholder coordination
- Monitoring budget implementation
- Escalating critical operational concerns

The Project Manager serves as the primary coordination point between:

- Utility departments
- Vendors and integrators
- Contractors
- Regulators
- Management teams
- External stakeholders

Strong project management is critical to maintaining implementation discipline, operational coordination, and deployment consistency.

7.4 Technical Working Group (TWG)

The Technical Working Group (TWG) provides technical leadership and implementation oversight throughout AMI deployment and Smart Grid modernization activities.

Responsibilities may include:

- Developing technical requirements and specifications
- Reviewing system architecture and interoperability
- Supporting communication feasibility analysis
- Evaluating technology compatibility
- Overseeing testing and validation activities
- Supporting cybersecurity and operational integration

- Monitoring technical deployment performance
- Reviewing pilot results and operational findings
- Supporting deployment refinement and scaling decisions

The TWG should include representatives from relevant technical and operational departments such as:

- Engineering
- Metering
- Information Technology
- Communications
- SCADA or automation teams
- Billing systems
- Operations
- Cybersecurity
- Data management

The protocol encourages utilities to maintain active TWG involvement throughout all major modernization phases rather than limiting participation to procurement or pilot deployment alone.

7.5 Data Protection Officer (DPO)

The Data Protection Officer (DPO) supports compliance with applicable data privacy laws and data governance obligations associated with AMI modernization.

Responsibilities may include:

- Monitoring compliance with the Data Privacy Act of 2012
- Supporting privacy governance initiatives
- Conducting privacy impact assessments
- Reviewing consumer data handling procedures
- Supporting breach response coordination
- Monitoring lawful processing of consumer information
- Supporting consumer privacy rights management
- Coordinating with the National Privacy Commission when necessary

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI systems significantly increase utility data collection and therefore require strong privacy governance and responsible information management practices.

7.6 Cybersecurity Team

The Cybersecurity Team is responsible for supporting the protection of utility operational systems, communication infrastructure, and digital assets associated with AMI modernization.

Responsibilities may include:

- Implementing cybersecurity controls
- Monitoring cybersecurity threats and vulnerabilities
- Managing access control and authentication
- Supporting incident response activities
- Monitoring operational technology security
- Supporting network segmentation and monitoring
- Reviewing vendor cybersecurity compliance
- Conducting vulnerability assessments
- Supporting cybersecurity awareness initiatives

The protocol encourages utilities to treat cybersecurity as a continuous operational responsibility rather than a one-time implementation requirement.

7.7 Field Operations Team

The Field Operations Team manages physical deployment and operational field activities associated with AMI implementation.

Responsibilities may include:

- Meter installation and replacement
- Communication device deployment
- Site inspection and validation
- Troubleshooting and maintenance
- Field testing and commissioning
- Operational verification
- Consumer coordination during installation
- Field safety compliance
- Inventory and logistics coordination

The operational readiness and training of field personnel are critical to deployment quality, safety, and long-term operational sustainability.

7.8 Billing and Customer Service Teams

Billing and Customer Service teams support consumer-facing operational functions associated with AMI deployment and Smart Grid modernization.

Responsibilities may include:

- Billing integration validation

- Consumer billing support
- Meter data processing coordination
- Consumer inquiry handling
- Complaint and dispute resolution
- Consumer education support
- Customer communication activities
- Usage information accessibility support

The protocol recognizes that consumer trust and billing transparency are among the most critical factors affecting AMI deployment acceptance.

7.9 Stakeholder Engagement Team

The Stakeholder Engagement Team supports coordination and communication among internal and external stakeholders involved in modernization initiatives.

Responsibilities may include:

- Regulatory coordination
- Public communication support
- Community engagement
- Coordination with local government units
- Consumer awareness campaigns
- Stakeholder consultation activities
- Public information dissemination
- Coordination with industry partners and consultants

Strong stakeholder engagement helps improve modernization transparency, public trust, and implementation coordination.

7.10 Quality Assurance and Audit Functions

Quality Assurance and Audit teams support implementation quality, compliance monitoring, documentation integrity, and operational accountability.

Responsibilities may include:

- Conducting quality inspections and reviews
- Monitoring implementation compliance
- Reviewing operational documentation
- Supporting audit activities
- Monitoring corrective actions
- Reviewing operational performance metrics

- Supporting process improvement initiatives
- Maintaining implementation records and traceability

Quality assurance mechanisms help ensure that modernization initiatives remain structured, measurable, and operationally sustainable.

7.11 Cross-Functional Coordination and Organizational Integration

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that successful modernization requires continuous coordination among:

- Technical teams
- Operational departments
- Management
- Cybersecurity personnel
- Consumer support teams
- Regulators
- Vendors
- External stakeholders

Utilities are encouraged to avoid isolated or department-specific modernization implementation approaches.

AMI and Smart Grid modernization initiatives should instead be treated as integrated utility transformation programs requiring long-term organizational coordination, leadership support, operational discipline, and continuous collaboration across the entire utility environment.

8. Data Management and Cybersecurity

Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives significantly increase the volume, frequency, sensitivity, and operational importance of utility data within the power sector.

Unlike traditional utility environments where data collection may be periodic and operationally isolated, AMI systems generate continuous streams of operational, consumer, metering, communication, and system-related information across interconnected digital platforms.

These modernization initiatives therefore introduce new operational dependencies on:

- Data integrity
- System availability
- Secure communication
- Cybersecurity resilience
- Privacy governance
- Access management
- Incident response capability

- Long-term information management

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that cybersecurity and data governance are no longer secondary support functions within modern utility operations.

Rather, they are now core operational requirements essential to:

- Consumer trust
- Billing integrity
- Operational reliability
- Grid resilience
- Regulatory compliance
- Infrastructure protection
- Long-term modernization sustainability

Utilities implementing AMI and Smart Grid technologies are therefore strongly encouraged to establish comprehensive data governance and cybersecurity programs capable of protecting both consumer information and critical operational infrastructure.

8.1 Data Governance Principles

Utilities should establish structured data governance frameworks governing the collection, storage, processing, transmission, retention, access, protection, and disposal of data generated by AMI and Smart Grid systems.

Data governance policies should clearly define:

- Data ownership
- Data classification
- Access authority
- Data retention requirements
- Data handling procedures
- Data sharing limitations
- Security responsibilities
- Audit and accountability mechanisms

The AMPERE Protocol encourages utilities to treat utility operational data and consumer information as critical assets requiring continuous protection and governance oversight.

8.2 Lawful Processing and Consumer Privacy

Utilities implementing AMI systems must ensure that the collection and processing of consumer-related information complies with applicable Philippine data privacy laws and regulatory requirements.

Utilities should ensure that personal information is:

- Collected lawfully
- Processed transparently
- Used only for legitimate operational purposes
- Properly secured
- Retained only for appropriate periods
- Protected against unauthorized access or disclosure

Utilities are encouraged to establish clear privacy notices informing consumers regarding:

- Types of data collected
- Purpose of data processing
- Data retention practices
- Data sharing practices
- Consumer privacy rights
- Security measures
- Complaint and inquiry channels

The protocol recognizes that maintaining consumer trust is essential to the successful implementation of AMI modernization initiatives.

8.3 Data Classification and Information Management

Utilities are encouraged to establish data classification frameworks that categorize information based on operational sensitivity, confidentiality, criticality, and regulatory requirements.

Data categories may include:

- Consumer information
- Billing information
- Meter data
- Operational system data
- Network information
- Cybersecurity logs
- Configuration files
- Engineering and infrastructure data
- Regulatory reporting information

Proper classification helps utilities apply appropriate:

- Access controls
- Encryption requirements
- Monitoring procedures

- Retention policies
- Backup strategies
- Incident response priorities

Utilities should also establish structured information lifecycle management processes covering:

- Data creation
- Storage
- Usage
- Archiving
- Retention
- Secure disposal

8.4 Access Control and Identity Management

AMI and Smart Grid environments typically involve access across multiple systems, departments, vendors, contractors, and operational platforms.

Utilities should therefore implement structured access control and identity management frameworks designed to minimize unauthorized access and operational risk.

This may include:

- Role-based access control
- Least privilege principles
- Multi-factor authentication
- Access logging and monitoring
- User account management
- Privileged access management
- Periodic access review and validation
- Segregation of duties

Access to sensitive systems and operational data should be limited only to authorized personnel with legitimate operational responsibilities.

The AMPERE Protocol further encourages utilities to maintain detailed audit trails for:

- System access
- Data modifications
- Administrative activities
- Configuration changes
- Security events
- Operational overrides

8.5 Encryption and Secure Communication

AMI systems rely heavily on continuous communication between field devices, communication infrastructure, operational systems, and utility platforms.

Utilities are therefore encouraged to implement secure communication frameworks capable of protecting data confidentiality and integrity during transmission and storage.

Security measures may include:

- Encryption of data at rest
- Encryption of data in transit
- Secure key management
- Certificate management
- Secure communication protocols
- Device authentication
- Firmware integrity verification
- Secure remote access controls

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that communication security should extend across the entire AMI ecosystem, including:

- Smart meters
- Communication networks
- Head-End Systems (HES)
- Meter Data Management Systems (MDMS)
- Billing systems
- Cloud environments
- Remote access infrastructure
- Third-party integration platforms

8.6 Cybersecurity Monitoring and Vulnerability Management

Utilities implementing digital utility systems should establish continuous cybersecurity monitoring and vulnerability management programs.

Cybersecurity monitoring activities may include:

- Network monitoring
- Threat detection
- Log analysis
- Security event monitoring
- Intrusion detection
- Vulnerability assessment

- Penetration testing
- Firmware and software patch management
- Operational anomaly detection

The protocol encourages utilities to establish proactive cybersecurity programs rather than relying solely on reactive incident response approaches.

Utilities should regularly assess:

- System vulnerabilities
- Configuration weaknesses
- Vendor security risks
- Communication security gaps
- Third-party access exposure
- Operational technology risks

Cybersecurity monitoring should be treated as an ongoing operational function throughout the lifecycle of modernization initiatives.

8.7 Incident Response and Business Continuity

Utilities should establish structured incident response and business continuity procedures capable of supporting operational recovery during:

- Cybersecurity incidents
- Data breaches
- Communication failures
- System outages
- Operational disruptions
- Infrastructure failures
- Disaster events

Incident response frameworks should define:

- Escalation procedures
- Reporting responsibilities
- Response coordination
- Recovery processes
- Communication protocols
- Regulatory notification requirements
- Consumer communication procedures
- Post-incident review activities

Utilities are encouraged to regularly test:

- Backup systems
- Disaster recovery procedures
- Incident response workflows
- Operational recovery capability
- System restoration procedures

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that operational resilience is essential to maintaining consumer trust and utility continuity during increasingly digital utility operations.

8.8 Third-Party Security and Vendor Management

AMI modernization initiatives commonly involve external:

- Vendors
- System integrators
- Contractors
- Communication providers
- Cloud providers
- Software providers
- Managed service providers

Utilities should therefore establish structured third-party security and vendor governance programs.

This may include:

- Vendor cybersecurity evaluation
- Security requirements in procurement documents
- Data protection obligations
- Access management controls
- Security audit rights
- Incident reporting obligations
- Compliance monitoring
- Contractual cybersecurity requirements

Utilities should ensure that third-party access to utility systems and data remains controlled, monitored, and aligned with utility cybersecurity policies.

8.9 Workforce Training and Security Awareness

Cybersecurity and data governance effectiveness depend heavily on workforce awareness and operational discipline.

Utilities are encouraged to establish continuous training and awareness programs covering:

- Data privacy obligations
- Cybersecurity awareness
- Phishing and social engineering risks
- Password management
- Secure operational practices
- Incident reporting procedures
- Consumer data protection
- Operational technology security awareness

Specialized technical training may also be required for:

- IT personnel
- Cybersecurity teams
- Field operations teams
- Metering personnel
- System administrators
- Data management personnel

The protocol recognizes that human error remains one of the most significant cybersecurity risks affecting digital infrastructure environments.

8.10 Privacy Impact Assessments and Risk Evaluation

Utilities are encouraged to conduct Privacy Impact Assessments (PIAs) and cybersecurity risk evaluations prior to large-scale deployment of AMI systems and major Smart Grid integration initiatives.

These assessments may help utilities:

- Identify operational risks
- Evaluate privacy exposure
- Assess cybersecurity vulnerabilities
- Review data handling procedures
- Evaluate third-party risks
- Improve governance controls
- Strengthen mitigation strategies

The AMPERE Protocol promotes risk-based modernization approaches that prioritize operational resilience, consumer protection, and long-term sustainability throughout the modernization lifecycle.

8.11 Cybersecurity as a Continuous Operational Responsibility

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that cybersecurity and data governance should not be treated as one-time compliance activities or isolated technical requirements.

Rather, cybersecurity must become a continuous operational responsibility integrated into:

- Utility governance
- System architecture
- Procurement
- Deployment
- Operations
- Maintenance
- Vendor management
- Workforce training
- Long-term modernization planning

As utilities continue transitioning toward increasingly digital and interconnected operations, strong cybersecurity governance and responsible data management will remain fundamental to maintaining resilient, trustworthy, secure, and sustainable Smart Grid environments across the Philippine power sector.

9. Interoperability and Standards

Interoperability is one of the most critical foundations of successful Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization.

As utilities increasingly integrate digital metering systems, communication platforms, operational technologies, analytics platforms, distributed energy systems, and consumer-facing applications, the ability of systems to securely exchange, interpret, process, and utilize information across multiple platforms becomes essential to long-term modernization success.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that poorly integrated or proprietary system environments may result in:

- Vendor lock-in
- Limited scalability
- Operational fragmentation
- Increased long-term costs
- Integration difficulties
- Reduced flexibility
- Technology incompatibility
- Cybersecurity challenges
- Restricted future modernization capability

Accordingly, utilities implementing AMI and Smart Grid initiatives are strongly encouraged to prioritize interoperable, standards-based, scalable, and technology-neutral architectures capable of supporting long-term operational sustainability and future Smart Grid evolution.

9.1 Interoperability Principles

The AMPERE Protocol promotes the following interoperability principles:

Open and Standards-Based Architecture

Utilities should prioritize systems and technologies that support recognized international standards, open interfaces, and long-term compatibility across operational environments.

Scalability and Future Readiness

Modernization architectures should support future expansion, technology upgrades, integration of emerging technologies, and evolving Smart Grid capabilities.

Vendor Flexibility

Utilities should avoid excessive dependence on proprietary or closed ecosystems that may limit operational flexibility or future procurement options.

Cross-System Integration

AMI systems should support secure and reliable integration with existing and future utility operational systems and enterprise platforms.

Operational Sustainability

Interoperability strategies should support maintainability, operational continuity, cybersecurity management, and long-term infrastructure sustainability.

Technology Neutrality

Utilities should retain flexibility to adopt different communication technologies, metering platforms, software systems, and operational architectures appropriate to their operational environment and modernization objectives.

9.2 Importance of Interoperability in AMI Modernization

AMI and Smart Grid systems involve multiple interconnected operational and digital platforms working simultaneously across utility environments.

These may include:

- Smart meters
- Communication infrastructure
- Head-End Systems (HES)
- Meter Data Management Systems (MDMS)
- Billing systems
- Geographic Information Systems (GIS)

- Outage Management Systems (OMS)
- SCADA systems
- Distribution Management Systems (DMS)
- Consumer portals
- Mobile applications
- Analytics platforms
- Distributed Energy Resource (DER) management systems
- Electric vehicle infrastructure platforms
- Cybersecurity systems

Without proper interoperability, utilities may experience:

- Inconsistent operational data
- Duplicate processes
- Limited automation capability
- Reduced visibility
- Integration failures
- Increased manual intervention
- Reduced operational efficiency
- Long-term modernization limitations

The AMPERE Protocol therefore encourages utilities to approach interoperability as a strategic modernization requirement rather than merely a technical integration consideration.

9.3 International Standards and Frameworks

Utilities are encouraged to align modernization initiatives with internationally recognized standards and interoperability frameworks where operationally appropriate.

These may include, but are not limited to:

IEC 61968 / IEC 61970 Common Information Model (CIM)

Supports standardized information exchange across utility enterprise systems and operational platforms.

IEEE 2030 Smart Grid Interoperability Standards

Provides guidance for Smart Grid interoperability, communication, and integration across modern utility environments.

ANSI C12 Standards

Supports standardized metering functions, communication structures, and data exchange requirements associated with smart metering systems.

DLMS / COSEM

Supports standardized meter communication protocols, data structures, and interoperability between metering devices and operational platforms.

ISO / IEC 27001

Provides structured information security management principles supporting cybersecurity governance and operational resilience.

Other Applicable Utility and Communication Standards

Utilities may also adopt additional standards applicable to:

- Operational technology
- Communication systems
- Cybersecurity
- Cloud integration
- Data management
- Distributed Energy Resource integration
- Electric vehicle infrastructure
- Smart Grid automation

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that utilities may implement different standards depending on operational requirements, deployment strategy, system architecture, and modernization maturity.

9.4 Interoperable System Architecture

Utilities are encouraged to develop modernization architectures that support interoperability across both operational technology (OT) and information technology (IT) environments.

Interoperable architectures should support integration between:

- Field devices
- Communication infrastructure
- Utility operational systems
- Consumer information systems
- Enterprise applications
- Analytics platforms
- Future Smart Grid technologies

Utilities should prioritize systems capable of supporting:

- Secure API integration
- Data exchange compatibility
- Standardized communication interfaces

- Expandable architecture
- Modular deployment capability
- Cross-platform interoperability

The protocol further encourages utilities to evaluate interoperability requirements during:

- Procurement
- Pilot planning
- System design
- Technical evaluation
- Acceptance testing
- Deployment scaling

Interoperability considerations should not be delayed until post-deployment integration phases.

9.5 Integration with Utility Operational Systems

AMI modernization should support integration with broader utility operational and enterprise environments.

This may include integration with:

- Billing systems
- Customer Information Systems (CIS)
- GIS platforms
- OMS platforms
- SCADA systems
- Distribution automation systems
- Demand response platforms
- Energy analytics platforms
- Asset management systems
- Cybersecurity monitoring systems
- Regulatory reporting systems

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that the operational value of AMI systems increases significantly when meter data and operational intelligence are effectively integrated into broader utility decision-making environments.

9.6 Consumer Data Access and Digital Platforms

Utilities are encouraged to support modernization initiatives that improve consumer access to energy usage information and digital utility services.

Where applicable, utilities should support integration with:

- Consumer portals
- Mobile applications
- Digital billing systems
- Usage analytics platforms
- Notification systems
- Customer engagement tools

The protocol also recognizes the importance of supporting applicable regulatory initiatives related to consumer access to near real-time usage information, including frameworks associated with the Consumer Meter Data Channel (CMDC).

Consumer-facing systems should prioritize:

- Accessibility
- Reliability
- Data accuracy
- Security
- Transparency
- Ease of use

9.7 Future Smart Grid Integration

Interoperability planning should consider future Smart Grid evolution and long-term modernization objectives beyond initial AMI deployment.

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate interoperability readiness for future integration of:

- Distributed Energy Resources (DER)
- Renewable energy systems
- Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS)
- Electric vehicle charging infrastructure
- Demand response systems
- Dynamic pricing programs
- Microgrids
- Distribution automation
- Advanced analytics
- Artificial intelligence applications
- Future digital utility platforms

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that modernization architectures implemented today should remain adaptable to future operational requirements and technological evolution.

9.8 Vendor and Procurement Considerations

Utilities should incorporate interoperability requirements into:

- Procurement specifications
- Technical evaluations
- Vendor qualification processes
- Pilot validation activities
- Acceptance testing procedures

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate:

- Open standard support
- API availability
- Data portability
- Integration flexibility
- Expandability
- Cybersecurity compatibility
- Long-term maintainability
- Multi-vendor compatibility

The protocol discourages modernization approaches that create excessive dependence on proprietary ecosystems without long-term interoperability flexibility.

9.9 Interoperability as a Long-Term Strategic Requirement

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that interoperability is not a one-time technical requirement limited to initial system integration activities.

Rather, interoperability should be treated as a long-term strategic modernization principle supporting:

- Sustainable deployment
- Operational flexibility
- Technology evolution
- Regulatory adaptability
- Smart Grid readiness
- Future innovation capability

Utilities implementing interoperable and standards-based modernization architectures today will be better positioned to support the future evolution of intelligent, scalable, resilient, and digitally integrated utility operations across the Philippine power sector.

10. Consumer Protection and Transparency

Consumer trust, transparency, and accountability are fundamental to the successful implementation of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI modernization directly affects consumers through changes in:

- Metering systems
- Billing processes
- Data collection
- Service visibility
- Digital interaction
- Utility communication
- Access to energy information

Accordingly, utilities implementing AMI systems must ensure that modernization initiatives are carried out in a manner that promotes:

- Transparency
- Fairness
- Consumer awareness
- Data privacy
- Billing integrity
- Accessibility
- Accountability
- Responsible data handling
- Consumer participation

The protocol further recognizes that public acceptance and long-term modernization success depend heavily on the ability of utilities to establish and maintain consumer confidence throughout all stages of implementation.

Without adequate consumer protection mechanisms and public communication programs, utilities may encounter:

- Consumer resistance
- Billing disputes
- Misinformation
- Reduced trust
- Public concern regarding privacy and monitoring
- Increased complaints
- Operational disruption
- Delays in deployment acceptance

Utilities are therefore strongly encouraged to integrate consumer protection and transparency principles into all phases of AMI modernization planning, implementation, operation, and long-term management.

10.1 Consumer-Centric Modernization Principles

The AMPERE Protocol promotes the following consumer protection principles:

Transparency

Consumers should receive clear, accurate, timely, and understandable information regarding modernization initiatives, billing practices, data usage, and utility services.

Fairness

Modernization programs should be implemented fairly and consistently without creating unreasonable disadvantages or barriers for consumers.

Accessibility

Consumers should have accessible channels for obtaining information, raising concerns, accessing usage data, and receiving assistance.

Accountability

Utilities should establish structured mechanisms for addressing complaints, correcting operational issues, and maintaining public trust.

Privacy and Data Protection

Consumer information should be handled responsibly, securely, and in compliance with applicable data privacy regulations.

Consumer Empowerment

AMI modernization should help consumers better understand energy usage, improve energy awareness, and support participation in future Smart Grid programs.

10.2 Clear and Accessible Consumer Communication

Utilities are encouraged to establish structured communication programs before, during, and after AMI deployment activities.

Consumer communication programs should provide information regarding:

- Purpose of AMI modernization
- Expected consumer benefits
- Meter replacement activities
- Data collection practices
- Privacy and cybersecurity protections
- Billing changes or adjustments

- Available digital services
- Consumer rights and responsibilities
- Complaint handling procedures
- Available support channels

Utilities should avoid overly technical explanations that may create confusion or misinformation among consumers.

Communication materials should instead prioritize:

- Clarity
- Simplicity
- Accuracy
- Accessibility
- Consistency
- Public transparency

Utilities are encouraged to utilize multiple communication channels such as:

- Consumer portals
- Mobile applications
- SMS notifications
- Call centers
- Social media platforms
- Printed advisories
- Public meetings
- Community engagement programs
- Utility websites
- Educational campaigns

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that proactive communication significantly reduces consumer resistance and misinformation during modernization activities.

10.3 Billing Accuracy and Validation, Estimation, and Editing (VEE)

Billing integrity is one of the most critical consumer protection requirements associated with AMI modernization.

Utilities should establish structured Validation, Estimation, and Editing (VEE) procedures capable of maintaining:

- Accurate billing
- Data integrity
- Consumption transparency

- Auditability
- Operational consistency

Utilities are encouraged to minimize estimated billing practices and prioritize actual validated meter data whenever operationally possible.

Where estimation becomes necessary due to communication failure, device malfunction, operational interruption, or other exceptional conditions, utilities should:

- Apply transparent estimation procedures
- Maintain audit trails
- Clearly document estimation logic
- Establish review and correction mechanisms
- Ensure consumer transparency regarding estimated billing conditions

Utilities should also ensure that tariff structures, billing calculations, and rate adjustments are communicated clearly and transparently to consumers.

The protocol recognizes that billing disputes are among the most sensitive operational issues affecting AMI deployment acceptance and consumer trust.

10.4 Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution

Utilities implementing AMI systems should establish transparent and structured complaint handling and dispute resolution mechanisms.

Complaint handling frameworks should support:

- Accessible complaint submission channels
- Defined response timelines
- Escalation procedures
- Investigation processes
- Resolution tracking
- Documentation and auditability
- Consumer communication and feedback

Consumer concerns may include:

- Billing disputes
- Meter accuracy concerns
- Privacy-related concerns
- Installation-related issues
- Communication service issues
- Data access concerns
- Operational complaints

Utilities are encouraged to maintain documented procedures for:

- Complaint intake
- Investigation
- Technical validation
- Resolution approval
- Consumer notification
- Corrective action implementation

The AMPERE Protocol further encourages utilities to periodically analyze complaint trends and operational findings to support continuous improvement and improved consumer service quality.

10.5 Consumer Access to Usage Information

AMI modernization enables significantly improved consumer access to energy usage information.

Utilities are encouraged to support secure consumer access to:

- Consumption history
- Usage trends
- Billing information
- Outage notifications
- Service alerts
- Energy usage analytics
- Payment information
- Other applicable utility services

Where operationally feasible and regulatorily applicable, utilities are encouraged to support near real-time access to usage information through digital platforms and consumer information systems.

Consumer-facing platforms should prioritize:

- Accessibility
- Ease of use
- Data accuracy
- Reliability
- Security
- Consumer privacy protection

The protocol recognizes that improved access to information helps strengthen:

- Consumer awareness
- Billing transparency
- Energy management capability

- Consumer participation in future Smart Grid programs

10.6 Tariff Programs and Future Consumer Participation

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI modernization may support future implementation of:

- Time-of-Use (TOU) pricing
- Demand response programs
- Dynamic pricing mechanisms
- Distributed Energy Resource participation
- Net metering
- Smart energy management programs

Utilities implementing such programs should ensure that consumers receive:

- Clear program explanations
- Transparent pricing structures
- Participation requirements
- Potential risks and benefits
- Usage impact information
- Consumer support resources

The protocol encourages utilities to coordinate closely with regulators regarding future tariff modernization initiatives affecting consumers.

10.7 Privacy Controls and Consumer Data Protection

Utilities should establish mechanisms that help consumers understand how their information is:

- Collected
- Processed
- Stored
- Protected
- Shared
- Retained

Consumers should have access to clear information regarding:

- Data privacy rights
- Security safeguards
- Authorized data usage
- Complaint channels

- Privacy-related inquiries

Where applicable and operationally feasible, utilities may also consider providing consumers with options regarding:

- Data sharing permissions
- Digital participation preferences
- Communication preferences
- Participation in optional modernization programs

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that responsible data governance and privacy protection are essential to maintaining long-term consumer confidence in digital utility operations.

10.8 Support for Vulnerable and High-Risk Consumers

Utilities are encouraged to identify and support consumers who may require additional assistance during modernization activities.

This may include:

- Low-income consumers
- Elderly consumers
- Persons with disabilities
- Medically dependent consumers
- Consumers with limited digital access
- Consumers located in geographically isolated areas

Utilities should consider implementing:

- Additional communication support
- Targeted education programs
- Priority assistance channels
- Simplified educational materials
- Community outreach initiatives
- Accessible consumer service mechanisms

The protocol recognizes that inclusive modernization approaches help improve fairness, accessibility, and long-term public acceptance.

10.9 Consumer Education and Public Awareness

AMI modernization should be supported by sustained and continuous public education initiatives.

Consumer education programs should aim to:

- Improve understanding of AMI systems

- Explain modernization benefits and limitations
- Address misinformation and public concerns
- Improve digital literacy
- Promote energy awareness
- Build public confidence

Utilities are encouraged to conduct education activities:

- Prior to deployment
- During pilot implementation
- During scaling phases
- Throughout long-term operations

Education activities may include:

- Public consultations
- Demonstration events
- Educational campaigns
- Printed educational materials
- Community engagement activities
- Media coordination
- Online educational content
- Consumer orientation programs

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that consumer education is not a one-time deployment activity, but rather a continuous modernization support function.

10.10 Consumer Trust as a Strategic Modernization Requirement

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that consumer trust is one of the most important long-term success factors affecting AMI and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

Utilities that maintain:

- Transparent communication
- Accurate billing
- Responsible data handling
- Strong consumer support
- Effective complaint resolution
- Consistent public engagement

will be better positioned to achieve sustainable modernization adoption and long-term operational success.

Consumer protection and transparency should therefore remain central operational and governance priorities throughout all phases of utility modernization within the Philippine power sector.

11. Implementation Guidance and Best Practices

Successful Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization requires more than the deployment of technology alone.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that long-term modernization success depends on the ability of utilities to effectively manage:

- Organizational transformation
- Operational integration
- Workforce readiness
- Consumer engagement
- Vendor coordination
- Regulatory alignment
- Continuous improvement
- Long-term sustainability

Utilities implementing AMI systems may possess technically capable solutions yet still encounter modernization challenges due to:

- Weak governance
- Poor stakeholder coordination
- Limited organizational readiness
- Inadequate workforce preparation
- Weak operational integration
- Poor communication planning
- Insufficient change management
- Inconsistent deployment methodologies

Accordingly, the AMPERE Protocol encourages utilities to adopt structured implementation practices that support operational stability, scalability, regulatory readiness, and long-term modernization sustainability throughout all deployment phases.

This section outlines key cross-functional implementation practices that should be integrated across the entire modernization lifecycle.

11.1 Stakeholder Engagement and Coordination

AMI modernization initiatives affect multiple internal and external stakeholders across the utility environment.

Utilities are encouraged to establish structured stakeholder engagement programs that support early coordination, transparency, operational alignment, and long-term collaboration.

Stakeholders may include:

- Regulators
- Local government units
- Consumers
- Consumer advocacy groups
- Technology providers
- System integrators
- Communication providers
- Contractors
- Utility employees
- Industry organizations
- Community representatives

Stakeholder engagement activities may include:

- Consultation meetings
- Technical workshops
- Consumer education programs
- Public information campaigns
- Coordination sessions
- Pilot demonstrations
- Progress briefings
- Feedback collection mechanisms

Utilities are encouraged to document stakeholder concerns, recommendations, operational findings, and implementation feedback throughout all modernization phases.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that early stakeholder engagement significantly improves:

- Project coordination
- Public acceptance
- Regulatory alignment
- Deployment transparency
- Consumer trust
- Operational readiness

11.2 Organizational Change Management

AMI modernization should be treated as an organizational transformation initiative rather than solely a technology implementation project.

The transition toward digital utility operations may affect:

- Operational workflows
- Workforce responsibilities
- Billing procedures
- Consumer engagement practices
- Field operations
- Data management processes
- Decision-making structures
- Performance monitoring systems

Utilities are encouraged to establish structured change management programs that support workforce adaptation and long-term operational integration.

Change management activities may include:

- Workforce readiness assessment
- Organizational impact evaluation
- Role and responsibility review
- Skills development programs
- Technical training
- Leadership engagement
- Performance metric updates
- Internal communication programs
- Operational transition planning

Utilities should also consider updating:

- Job descriptions
- Operational procedures
- Training frameworks
- Performance evaluation mechanisms
- Incentive and accountability structures

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that modernization success depends heavily on workforce readiness and organizational adaptability.

11.3 Pilot-First Modernization Strategy

The AMPERE Protocol strongly encourages utilities to adopt pilot-based implementation methodologies prior to large-scale deployment.

Pilot programs provide utilities with opportunities to:

- Validate technology performance
- Evaluate communication reliability
- Test operational integration
- Assess billing processes
- Evaluate cybersecurity readiness
- Measure consumer response
- Validate vendor capability
- Assess operational sustainability
- Identify deployment risks and limitations

Pilot deployments should be treated as controlled operational validation environments rather than purely demonstration projects.

Utilities are encouraged to use pilot results to:

- Refine technical specifications
- Improve deployment methodologies
- Adjust operational workflows
- Improve communication planning
- Refine financial projections
- Strengthen consumer engagement strategies
- Improve risk management approaches

The protocol recognizes that properly executed pilot programs significantly reduce large-scale modernization risk.

11.4 Continuous Monitoring and Iterative Improvement

AMI modernization should be approached as a continuous improvement process rather than a fixed or static deployment activity.

Utilities are encouraged to establish structured performance monitoring and operational feedback mechanisms capable of supporting:

- Operational optimization
- Issue identification
- Corrective action implementation
- Process refinement
- Long-term modernization improvement

Monitoring activities may include:

- Communication performance tracking
- Billing accuracy monitoring

- Outage visibility analysis
- Consumer satisfaction monitoring
- Cybersecurity monitoring
- Field operational evaluation
- Vendor performance evaluation
- Data quality analysis
- System reliability assessment

Utilities are encouraged to establish feedback loops involving:

- Field personnel
- Technical teams
- Consumers
- Customer service teams
- Vendors
- Operational analytics teams
- Cybersecurity teams

Lessons learned from operational experience should be integrated into future deployment planning, scaling strategies, and long-term modernization programs.

11.5 Vendor and Contractor Management

AMI modernization initiatives often involve multiple vendors, contractors, system integrators, communication providers, and technology partners.

Utilities are encouraged to establish structured vendor governance and contractor management frameworks that support:

- Technical quality
- Operational accountability
- Cybersecurity compliance
- Performance consistency
- Long-term maintainability
- Service reliability

Procurement and evaluation processes should clearly define:

- Technical requirements
- Interoperability expectations
- Cybersecurity obligations
- Data privacy requirements
- Service level expectations

- Warranty requirements
- Maintenance responsibilities
- Documentation requirements
- Training obligations
- Acceptance testing criteria

Utilities are also encouraged to monitor vendor performance throughout:

- Pilot implementation
- Deployment activities
- Operational support periods
- Maintenance cycles
- Post-deployment evaluation phases

The protocol discourages modernization approaches that rely solely on procurement cost considerations without evaluating long-term operational sustainability and integration capability.

11.6 Regulatory Coordination and Compliance Management

Utilities implementing modernization initiatives should maintain continuous coordination with applicable regulatory and oversight bodies throughout all implementation phases.

Regulatory coordination activities may include:

- CAPEX submission preparation
- Technical documentation support
- Pilot reporting
- Consumer protection coordination
- Cybersecurity compliance reporting
- Data privacy coordination
- Operational justification submissions
- Performance reporting
- Deployment evaluation updates

Utilities are encouraged to align implementation schedules and deployment milestones with:

- Regulatory review cycles
- Approval processes
- Reporting obligations
- Consumer protection requirements
- Cybersecurity compliance obligations

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that early and transparent regulatory coordination helps improve modernization alignment, reduce implementation delays, and strengthen long-term governance accountability.

11.7 Workforce Development and Capacity Building

Long-term modernization sustainability requires continuous workforce development and operational capability enhancement.

Utilities are encouraged to invest in:

- Technical training
- Cybersecurity awareness
- Data analytics capability
- Smart Grid operational knowledge
- Digital utility skills development
- Field deployment competency
- Consumer support readiness
- Operational technology management capability

Specialized training may be necessary for:

- Engineering personnel
- Metering teams
- IT personnel
- Cybersecurity teams
- Billing personnel
- Field crews
- Customer service teams
- Regulatory and compliance personnel

The protocol recognizes that workforce capability development is a long-term operational requirement rather than a one-time deployment activity.

11.8 Sustainability, Resilience, and Future Innovation

AMI modernization initiatives should be designed with long-term sustainability, operational resilience, and future technology evolution in mind.

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate:

- Climate resilience
- Infrastructure durability
- Communication redundancy

- Operational continuity
- Expandability
- Future integration capability
- Energy efficiency
- Environmental impact
- Long-term operational sustainability

Utilities should also consider future integration readiness for:

- Artificial intelligence and advanced analytics
- Distributed Energy Resources (DER)
- Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS)
- Electric vehicle infrastructure
- Demand response systems
- Distribution automation
- Smart city integration
- Advanced grid intelligence platforms
- Emerging digital utility technologies

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that modernization strategies implemented today should remain adaptable to future utility operational requirements and evolving energy sector technologies.

11.9 Documentation, Knowledge Management, and Institutional Learning

Utilities are encouraged to maintain comprehensive documentation throughout all modernization phases.

Documentation may include:

- Technical specifications
- Deployment records
- Operational procedures
- Testing results
- Cybersecurity assessments
- Consumer communication records
- Incident reports
- Lessons learned
- Performance evaluations
- Regulatory submissions

Utilities should also establish knowledge management mechanisms capable of preserving operational experience and institutional learning across future modernization phases.

This helps support:

- Operational continuity
- Organizational learning
- Deployment consistency
- Future scaling
- Workforce transition
- Continuous improvement

11.10 Modernization as a Long-Term Strategic Journey

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that AMI and Smart Grid modernization should be viewed as a long-term strategic transformation journey rather than a short-term technology deployment project.

Successful modernization requires continuous:

- Leadership commitment
- Organizational adaptation
- Operational refinement
- Workforce development
- Cybersecurity improvement
- Consumer engagement
- Regulatory coordination
- Infrastructure evolution

Utilities that adopt structured, scalable, interoperable, consumer-focused, and operationally sustainable modernization practices will be better positioned to support the long-term evolution of intelligent, resilient, and digitally enabled utility operations across the Philippine power sector.

12. CAPEX and Investment Planning

Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives require significant long-term investment commitments involving not only metering infrastructure, but also communication systems, operational integration, cybersecurity, workforce development, consumer engagement, and long-term digital transformation capabilities.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that modernization investments must be approached through structured, evidence-based, and financially sustainable planning methodologies capable of supporting:

- Regulatory approval
- Operational sustainability
- Long-term scalability
- Consumer protection

- Infrastructure resilience
- Organizational readiness
- Technology evolution

Utilities are therefore encouraged to establish comprehensive CAPEX and investment planning frameworks that integrate technical, operational, financial, cybersecurity, governance, and consumer-related considerations throughout all modernization phases.

The protocol further recognizes that successful modernization programs require investment strategies that balance:

- Operational improvement
- Financial sustainability
- Regulatory compliance
- Consumer impact
- Long-term modernization readiness
- Infrastructure resilience
- Future Smart Grid capability

12.1 Governance and Investment Oversight

Utilities are encouraged to establish formal governance mechanisms supporting strategic oversight of AMI and Smart Grid investment programs.

Investment governance frameworks may include:

- Board-level oversight
- Executive review processes
- CAPEX evaluation committees
- Technical review groups
- Financial assessment mechanisms
- Risk evaluation procedures
- Regulatory coordination processes
- Internal audit and compliance review

Governance structures should support:

- Investment accountability
- Strategic alignment
- Financial discipline
- Operational sustainability
- Regulatory readiness
- Long-term modernization planning

Utilities are encouraged to ensure that modernization investments remain aligned with:

- Utility operational objectives
- National modernization goals
- Consumer protection principles
- Long-term Smart Grid strategies
- Regulatory requirements

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that modernization programs require sustained executive commitment and long-term institutional support.

12.2 Technical and Functional Justification

CAPEX proposals should clearly define the technical and operational requirements supporting modernization investments.

Utilities are encouraged to establish structured technical justification frameworks covering:

- Meter functionality requirements
- Communication reliability requirements
- Head-End System (HES) capability
- Meter Data Management System (MDMS) functionality
- Billing integration requirements
- Validation, Estimation, and Editing (VEE) operations
- Outage visibility capability
- Cybersecurity requirements
- Interoperability requirements
- Scalability requirements
- Consumer information access capability
- Future Smart Grid integration readiness

Technical justification should explain how proposed investments support:

- Operational improvement
- Billing integrity
- Consumer transparency
- Grid modernization
- System visibility
- Long-term infrastructure sustainability

Utilities are encouraged to avoid modernization proposals that focus solely on equipment acquisition without demonstrating broader operational and strategic value.

12.3 Financial and Economic Evaluation

Utilities should conduct structured financial and economic analysis covering the full lifecycle of modernization initiatives.

Economic evaluation may include:

- Capital investment requirements
- Operational expenditure impact
- Lifecycle cost analysis
- Return on investment evaluation
- Operational savings projections
- Revenue improvement analysis
- System loss reduction impact
- Productivity improvements
- Consumer service improvements
- Maintenance cost considerations
- Technology replacement planning
- Long-term sustainability analysis

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate both direct and indirect modernization benefits, including:

- Reduced manual meter reading costs
- Improved operational visibility
- Faster outage response capability
- Improved billing accuracy
- Improved data availability
- Improved planning capability
- Improved consumer engagement
- Reduced field operational activities
- Long-term Smart Grid readiness

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that modernization investments should be evaluated using long-term operational and strategic perspectives rather than short-term procurement considerations alone.

12.4 Risk Assessment and Mitigation Planning

Utilities should establish structured risk assessment and mitigation frameworks as part of modernization investment planning.

Risk categories may include:

- Technical risks

- Operational risks
- Financial risks
- Cybersecurity risks
- Regulatory risks
- Vendor risks
- Communication infrastructure risks
- Consumer acceptance risks
- Organizational readiness risks
- Reputational risks
- Deployment scalability risks

Utilities are encouraged to develop mitigation strategies that clearly define:

- Risk ownership
- Mitigation actions
- Monitoring responsibilities
- Escalation procedures
- Recovery plans
- Timeline expectations

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that modernization risks cannot be fully eliminated but should be actively managed through structured governance, operational planning, and continuous monitoring.

12.5 Cybersecurity and Data Governance Investment

Cybersecurity and data governance investments should be treated as essential modernization components rather than optional support functions.

Utilities are encouraged to allocate sufficient investment toward:

- Cybersecurity infrastructure
- Monitoring systems
- Access management systems
- Encryption capability
- Incident response capability
- Backup and recovery systems
- Security assessment activities
- Operational technology protection
- Data governance systems
- Workforce cybersecurity training
- Compliance management capability

The protocol recognizes that digital utility modernization significantly increases cybersecurity exposure and therefore requires sustained investment in operational resilience and information security management.

12.6 Workforce Development and Organizational Readiness

Long-term modernization sustainability requires investment not only in technology, but also in workforce capability and organizational readiness.

Utilities are encouraged to plan investments supporting:

- Workforce training
- Technical certification
- Smart Grid operational capability
- Cybersecurity awareness
- Data analytics capability
- Digital operations readiness
- Field operations modernization
- Consumer support readiness
- Change management initiatives

Utilities should also evaluate potential organizational changes associated with:

- Digital utility operations
- Automated workflows
- Data-driven decision-making
- Cross-functional operational integration
- Long-term Smart Grid management

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that workforce capability is one of the most important long-term success factors affecting modernization sustainability.

12.7 Consumer Protection and Public Interest Considerations

CAPEX and modernization investment plans should incorporate consumer protection and public interest considerations throughout all deployment phases.

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate:

- Consumer affordability impact
- Billing transparency
- Consumer access to information
- Data privacy protections
- Accessibility of consumer services

- Support for vulnerable consumers
- Public communication requirements
- Consumer education programs
- Complaint handling capability

Special consideration should be given to:

- Low-income consumers
- Elderly consumers
- Consumers with limited digital access
- Medically dependent consumers
- Consumers in geographically isolated areas

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that modernization programs should promote long-term public benefit while maintaining fairness, transparency, and responsible implementation practices.

12.8 Regulatory Submission and Documentation

Utilities are encouraged to prepare structured and well-documented CAPEX submissions supporting regulatory review and modernization evaluation.

CAPEX documentation may include:

- Modernization objectives
- Technical specifications
- Deployment methodologies
- Pilot results and findings
- Financial models
- Cost-benefit analysis
- Risk assessment
- Cybersecurity strategy
- Consumer protection measures
- Interoperability considerations
- Workforce development plans
- Implementation schedules
- Operational sustainability planning

Utilities should ensure that modernization proposals provide clear justification regarding:

- Operational necessity
- Consumer benefit
- Financial sustainability

- Long-term modernization value
- Regulatory alignment
- Infrastructure resilience

The protocol recognizes that strong documentation and evidence-based justification improve regulatory transparency and modernization accountability.

12.9 Phased and Scalable Investment Strategy

The AMPERE Protocol encourages utilities to adopt phased and scalable investment approaches rather than uncontrolled large-scale deployment strategies.

Phased investment approaches may include:

- Pilot implementation
- Limited deployment expansion
- Targeted operational rollout
- Progressive infrastructure scaling
- Long-term modernization sequencing

This approach allows utilities to:

- Validate operational assumptions
- Improve deployment methodologies
- Refine technical standards
- Improve financial planning
- Reduce modernization risk
- Improve scalability readiness

The protocol recognizes that phased modernization strategies generally provide stronger long-term operational sustainability and reduced implementation risk.

12.10 Long-Term Modernization Sustainability

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that AMI and Smart Grid investments should be evaluated not only as infrastructure projects, but as foundational investments supporting the future evolution of intelligent utility operations.

Utilities are encouraged to develop modernization investment strategies capable of supporting:

- Long-term operational efficiency
- Grid resilience
- Digital transformation
- Renewable energy integration
- Distributed Energy Resource (DER) management

- Electric vehicle infrastructure integration
- Advanced analytics capability
- Future Smart Grid innovation

Utilities implementing structured, scalable, and sustainable modernization investment programs today will be better positioned to support the long-term transformation of the Philippine power sector toward more intelligent, resilient, secure, and consumer-centric utility operations.

13. Reporting and Continuous Improvement

Effective reporting, performance evaluation, compliance monitoring, and continuous improvement are essential to ensuring that Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives remain accountable, measurable, operationally sustainable, and aligned with long-term modernization objectives.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that modernization initiatives should not end after pilot deployment or initial system implementation.

Rather, utilities should establish structured monitoring, reporting, evaluation, and improvement mechanisms capable of supporting:

- Operational transparency
- Regulatory accountability
- Performance optimization
- Risk management
- Consumer protection
- Organizational learning
- Long-term modernization sustainability

Utilities implementing AMI systems are encouraged to adopt evidence-based operational management approaches supported by measurable performance indicators, structured reporting frameworks, auditability, and continuous operational refinement.

The protocol further recognizes that modernization environments will continue evolving due to:

- Technological advancement
- Regulatory developments
- Cybersecurity risks
- Operational experience
- Consumer expectations
- Emerging Smart Grid requirements

Accordingly, utilities should maintain modernization programs that remain adaptable, measurable, and continuously improving over time.

13.1 Operational Reporting Framework

Utilities are encouraged to establish structured operational reporting frameworks covering technical, operational, financial, cybersecurity, and consumer-related performance indicators.

Reporting frameworks should support:

- Management visibility
- Regulatory coordination
- Operational monitoring
- Deployment evaluation
- Risk identification
- Corrective action tracking
- Long-term planning

Operational reporting may include monitoring of:

- Communication reliability
- Meter reading success rates
- Billing accuracy
- System loss reduction
- Outage visibility and response
- Consumer satisfaction
- Complaint volumes and resolution trends
- Cybersecurity incidents
- Data quality performance
- Vendor performance
- Deployment progress
- Operational efficiency indicators

Utilities are encouraged to establish:

- Reporting frequency
- Escalation thresholds
- Review procedures
- Accountability mechanisms
- Performance targets
- Corrective action tracking procedures

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that measurable reporting improves modernization accountability and operational decision-making.

13.2 Pilot Evaluation and Post-Deployment Reporting

Pilot implementation and deployment activities should be followed by structured evaluation and reporting processes.

Utilities are encouraged to prepare pilot evaluation and post-deployment reports covering:

- Modernization objectives
- Technical performance results
- Communication performance
- Billing impact
- Operational findings
- Consumer feedback
- Cybersecurity observations
- Deployment challenges
- Lessons learned
- Corrective actions implemented
- Recommendations for scaling or refinement

Evaluation reports should support:

- Evidence-based decision-making
- Regulatory coordination
- Future deployment planning
- Operational refinement
- Financial evaluation
- Long-term modernization strategy development

Utilities are encouraged to document both successful outcomes and operational challenges to support institutional learning and continuous improvement.

13.3 Compliance Monitoring and Audit Support

Utilities implementing AMI modernization initiatives should establish structured compliance monitoring mechanisms aligned with applicable:

- ERC requirements
- NEA modernization policies
- DOE modernization initiatives
- NPC data privacy requirements
- Cybersecurity obligations
- Internal governance policies
- Procurement and contractual obligations

Compliance monitoring activities may include:

- Internal audit reviews
- Operational inspections
- Cybersecurity assessments
- Documentation verification
- Performance audits
- Data governance reviews
- Vendor compliance monitoring
- Consumer protection evaluation

Utilities are encouraged to establish corrective action processes capable of:

- Addressing audit findings
- Resolving operational deficiencies
- Improving governance controls
- Strengthening implementation discipline
- Supporting continuous regulatory alignment

The protocol recognizes that strong compliance monitoring supports transparency, operational integrity, and long-term modernization sustainability.

13.4 Documentation and Record Management

Utilities should maintain structured documentation and record retention practices throughout all modernization phases.

Documentation may include:

- Technical specifications
- Procurement records
- Deployment records
- Test results
- Acceptance reports
- Cybersecurity assessments
- Operational procedures
- Complaint records
- Consumer communication materials
- Regulatory submissions
- Financial documentation
- Incident reports

- Vendor agreements
- Audit findings
- Corrective action reports

Utilities are encouraged to establish document retention policies aligned with:

- Regulatory requirements
- Data privacy obligations
- Internal governance policies
- Contractual requirements
- Operational audit requirements

Proper documentation management supports:

- Traceability
- Accountability
- Audit readiness
- Knowledge preservation
- Operational continuity
- Regulatory transparency

13.5 Performance Review and Operational Improvement

Utilities are encouraged to establish periodic review cycles for:

- Operational procedures
- Technical standards
- Deployment methodologies
- Cybersecurity controls
- Consumer engagement programs
- Reporting frameworks
- Vendor management practices
- Workforce readiness programs

Periodic reviews should incorporate:

- Operational findings
- Pilot results
- Consumer feedback
- Regulatory developments
- Cybersecurity threat evolution

- Technology changes
- Lessons learned from deployment activities

Utilities are encouraged to establish continuous operational improvement mechanisms capable of identifying:

- Process inefficiencies
- Technical limitations
- Operational gaps
- Consumer concerns
- Integration challenges
- Emerging modernization opportunities

The protocol recognizes that continuous improvement is essential to maintaining long-term modernization effectiveness and operational adaptability.

13.6 Stakeholder Feedback and Institutional Learning

Utilities are encouraged to establish mechanisms for collecting and evaluating feedback from:

- Consumers
- Employees
- Field personnel
- Technical teams
- Regulators
- Vendors
- Community stakeholders
- Industry partners

Stakeholder feedback may help utilities:

- Improve operational processes
- Refine deployment methodologies
- Improve communication strategies
- Address implementation gaps
- Improve consumer support
- Strengthen governance frameworks

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that modernization programs benefit significantly from continuous institutional learning and collaborative improvement practices.

Utilities are encouraged to preserve operational knowledge and lessons learned to support:

- Future deployment phases

- Workforce transition
- Organizational continuity
- Long-term Smart Grid evolution

13.7 Innovation and Technology Evolution

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI and Smart Grid technologies will continue evolving over time.

Utilities are encouraged to monitor emerging developments related to:

- Communication technologies
- Cybersecurity frameworks
- Artificial intelligence and analytics
- Distributed Energy Resources (DER)
- Electric vehicle infrastructure
- Smart Grid automation
- Data management platforms
- Consumer energy applications
- Operational technology integration
- Advanced utility analytics

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate opportunities for:

- Technology enhancement
- Operational optimization
- Automation improvement
- Consumer service innovation
- Digital transformation expansion
- Long-term Smart Grid capability development

The protocol promotes modernization strategies that remain flexible, scalable, and adaptable to future technological evolution.

13.8 Protocol Review and Framework Evolution

The AMPERE Protocol should be treated as a living modernization framework subject to periodic review and refinement.

Utilities and stakeholders are encouraged to periodically evaluate:

- Protocol effectiveness
- Operational applicability

- Regulatory alignment
- Technology relevance
- Cybersecurity adequacy
- Consumer protection effectiveness
- Implementation practicality

Future revisions of the protocol may incorporate:

- Updated regulatory requirements
- Emerging technical standards
- Cybersecurity developments
- Operational best practices
- Lessons learned from deployments
- New Smart Grid technologies
- Industry modernization trends

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that modernization frameworks must continuously evolve alongside the changing operational and technological landscape of the Philippine power sector.

13.9 Continuous Improvement as a Strategic Modernization Principle

The AMPERE Protocol emphasizes that continuous improvement should become a core operational principle within all AMI and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

Utilities that continuously:

- Monitor performance
- Evaluate operational outcomes
- Improve governance
- Strengthen cybersecurity
- Enhance consumer engagement
- Refine deployment methodologies
- Adapt to emerging technologies

will be better positioned to support sustainable, resilient, intelligent, and future-ready utility operations.

Continuous improvement is therefore not merely an operational activity, but a long-term strategic requirement supporting the successful modernization of the Philippine power sector.

14. Conclusion

The modernization of the Philippine power sector is no longer a future consideration. It is now a national strategic priority.

As energy systems continue evolving due to increasing demand, renewable energy integration, distributed energy resources, electric mobility, rising consumer expectations, cybersecurity risks, and the growing need for operational efficiency and resilience, utilities must transition toward more intelligent, connected, secure, and data-driven operations.

The AMPERE Protocol was developed to provide Distribution Utilities (DUs), Electric Cooperatives (ECs), regulators, policymakers, and stakeholders with a structured and nationally aligned framework for Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization within the Philippine energy sector.

This protocol is not intended to function merely as a technical reference document. It is designed to serve as a practical modernization framework that guides utilities through the critical stages of readiness assessment, pilot implementation, governance, cybersecurity, operational integration, consumer engagement, deployment scaling, and long-term digital transformation.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that successful AMI deployment requires far more than the installation of smart meters alone.

Modernization initiatives require:

- Strong governance
- Organizational readiness
- Technical interoperability
- Cybersecurity resilience
- Reliable communication infrastructure
- Consumer transparency
- Financial sustainability
- Regulatory coordination
- Long-term operational planning

Without proper structure and oversight, utilities risk fragmented deployment, operational inefficiencies, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, integration challenges, and investments that may be difficult to sustain or scale over time.

The protocol therefore promotes a phased, measurable, interoperable, and evidence-based modernization approach centered around:

- Pilot validation
- Technical assessment
- Operational readiness
- Continuous monitoring
- Consumer protection
- Performance evaluation
- Continuous improvement

A properly implemented AMI and Smart Grid ecosystem can help utilities:

- Improve billing accuracy
- Reduce system losses
- Improve operational visibility
- Enhance outage response capability
- Strengthen decision-making
- Improve consumer transparency and trust
- Reduce operational inefficiencies
- Support renewable energy integration
- Enable future digital utility services
- Establish the foundation for intelligent utility operations

The protocol further recognizes that modernization must always remain balanced with strong consumer protection, cybersecurity governance, data privacy, transparency, accountability, and regulatory compliance.

As utilities continue transitioning toward increasingly digital environments, the protection of consumer information and critical operational infrastructure becomes equally as important as the technology itself.

The AMPERE Protocol also emphasizes that national modernization efforts cannot succeed through isolated implementation alone.

Long-term success requires sustained collaboration among:

- Utilities
- Regulators
- Government agencies
- Technology providers
- System integrators
- Consumers
- Industry stakeholders
- Utility workforce teams

through coordinated planning, operational discipline, interoperability, knowledge sharing, and continuous institutional improvement.

As technologies, regulations, cybersecurity requirements, and utility operational needs continue evolving, this protocol should likewise continue evolving to remain relevant, practical, and aligned with the long-term modernization goals of the Philippine power sector.

Future revisions may incorporate:

- Emerging Smart Grid technologies
- Advanced analytics and artificial intelligence
- Distributed Energy Resource (DER) management
- Electric vehicle infrastructure integration

- Advanced automation systems
- Evolving cybersecurity frameworks
- Future digital utility innovations

Ultimately, the AMPERE Protocol seeks to help accelerate the Philippines' transition toward a more resilient, efficient, secure, interoperable, sustainable, and consumer-centric energy ecosystem.

The successful implementation of AMI and Smart Grid modernization will not only improve utility operations, but will also help strengthen national energy resilience, support economic growth, improve infrastructure sustainability, enhance public service delivery, and prepare the Philippine power sector for the future evolution of intelligent and digitally enabled utility operations.

ANNEX A

AMI Readiness Assessment Framework

A.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured readiness assessment framework to help Distribution Utilities (DUs) and Electric Cooperatives (ECs) evaluate their preparedness for Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

The assessment framework is intended to help utilities:

- Identify operational, technical, organizational, financial, cybersecurity, and governance gaps
- Assess modernization capability and deployment readiness
- Support pilot planning and implementation strategy development
- Improve regulatory and operational preparedness
- Reduce implementation risks
- Support evidence-based modernization planning
- Establish baseline readiness prior to pilot or large-scale deployment

This annex is intended to serve as a practical implementation tool for:

- Utility management
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Project managers
- Engineering teams
- Regulatory personnel
- Consultants
- System integrators
- Internal audit and modernization teams

A.2 Assessment Objectives

The AMI Readiness Assessment aims to evaluate whether the utility possesses the necessary:

- Governance structure
- Organizational capability
- Technical infrastructure
- Communication readiness
- Cybersecurity posture
- Operational processes
- Consumer engagement capability
- Financial readiness

required to successfully implement and sustain AMI and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

The assessment should help utilities:

- Determine current modernization readiness level
- Identify operational limitations and gaps
- Prioritize improvement initiatives
- Develop phased implementation strategies
- Improve deployment planning accuracy
- Strengthen long-term modernization sustainability

A.3 Assessment Methodology

Utilities are encouraged to conduct the readiness assessment using:

- Interviews
- Technical reviews
- Operational evaluations
- Site inspections
- System assessments
- Document reviews
- Stakeholder workshops
- Existing operational records

A.3.1 Readiness Scoring and Interpretation

Utilities may assign readiness scores to each assessment category using the following recommended scoring methodology:

Score	Readiness Interpretation
1	Initial Readiness - Significant gaps exist requiring major improvement before AMI deployment.
2	Developing Readiness - Foundational capabilities exist but substantial improvements are still required.
3	Operationally Ready - Core operational and organizational capabilities are sufficiently established for pilot implementation.
4	Advanced Readiness - Strong modernization capability with scalable operational, technical, and governance readiness.

Utilities may compute overall readiness by averaging scores across all assessment categories.

A.3.2 Recommended Overall Readiness Classification

Average Score	Overall Readiness Classification	Recommended Action
1.0 - 1.9	Not Ready	Major organizational, technical, and operational improvements required before pilot implementation.
2.0 - 2.9	Partially Ready	Targeted improvements recommended prior to pilot deployment.
3.0 - 3.5	Pilot Ready	Utility is generally prepared for controlled AMI pilot implementation.
3.6 - 4.0	Deployment Ready	Utility demonstrates strong readiness for pilot scaling and broader modernization initiatives.

A.4 Overall Readiness Summary

Assessment Category	Readiness Level	Key Findings	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Governance Readiness				
Organizational Readiness				
Technology Readiness				
Communication Readiness				
Cybersecurity & Privacy Readiness				
Operational Readiness				
Consumer Engagement Readiness				
Pilot & Financial Readiness				

A.5 Governance Readiness Assessment

Objective

To evaluate whether the utility has established sufficient governance structure, executive oversight, and modernization alignment to support AMI implementation.

Governance Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Executive sponsor identified	☐	☐	☐	
Steering Committee established	☐	☐	☐	
AMI Technical Working Group established	☐	☐	☐	
Governance structure documented	☐	☐	☐	
Modernization objectives defined	☐	☐	☐	
Regulatory alignment reviewed	☐	☐	☐	
Data privacy policy established	☐	☐	☐	
Cybersecurity governance framework established	☐	☐	☐	
Procurement governance process established	☐	☐	☐	
Stakeholder engagement strategy established	☐	☐	☐	

Governance Risk Assessment

Risk Area	Current Status	Risk Level	Recommended Action	Responsible Team
Executive Oversight				
Governance Structure				
Regulatory Alignment				
Internal Coordination				

A.6 Organizational Readiness Assessment

Objective

To evaluate workforce capability, organizational structure, and change management readiness for AMI modernization.

Workforce Capability Matrix

Department	Existing Capability	Required Capability	Gap Level	Training Required
Engineering				
Metering				
IT				
Billing				
Customer Service				
Field Operations				
Cybersecurity				

Organizational Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Change management plan established	☐	☐	☐	
Workforce training plan prepared	☐	☐	☐	
Internal audit capability established	☐	☐	☐	
Quality assurance process established	☐	☐	☐	
Cross-functional coordination process established	☐	☐	☐	

A.7 Technology Readiness Assessment

Objective

To assess the readiness of the utility's technical infrastructure and system integration capability.

Existing Infrastructure Inventory

System / Infrastructure	Existing Platform	Current Capability	Upgrade Needed	Remarks
Smart Meters				
Communication Network				
HES				
MDMS				
Billing System				
GIS				
OMS				
SCADA				

Meter Functionality Assessment

Capability	Available	Not Available	Planned Upgrade	Remarks
Remote Reading	☐	☐	☐	
Remote Disconnect	☐	☐	☐	
Tamper Detection	☐	☐	☐	
Load Profile	☐	☐	☐	
Power Quality Monitoring	☐	☐	☐	

A.8 Communication Readiness Assessment

Objective

To assess communication infrastructure suitability and deployment feasibility.

Communication Technology Assessment

Technology	Coverage	Reliability	Scalability	Security	Recommendation
RF Mesh					
PLC					
Cellular					
Fiber					

Communication Risk Assessment

Risk Area	Impact	Probability	Mitigation Strategy	Responsible Team
Signal Coverage				
Network Reliability				
Communication Latency				
Cybersecurity Exposure				

A.9 Cybersecurity and Privacy Readiness Assessment

Objective

To assess the utility's cybersecurity maturity and data privacy readiness.

Cybersecurity Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Access control policy established	☐	☐	☐	
Encryption policy implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Incident response plan established	☐	☐	☐	
Vulnerability assessment process established	☐	☐	☐	
Vendor cybersecurity review process established	☐	☐	☐	
Backup and recovery procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Privacy impact assessment conducted	☐	☐	☐	

Cybersecurity Maturity Assessment

Security Area	Current Maturity	Gap Level	Recommended Improvement
Access Management			
Network Security			
Monitoring Capability			
Incident Response			
Data Privacy Governance			

A.10 Operational Readiness Assessment

Objective

To evaluate operational capability for deployment, maintenance, billing integration, and long-term support.

Operational Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Meter installation procedures documented	☐	☐	☐	
Commissioning procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Acceptance testing procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
VEE procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Billing integration plan prepared	☐	☐	☐	
Field equipment availability confirmed	☐	☐	☐	
Spare parts strategy established	☐	☐	☐	

A.11 Consumer Engagement Readiness Assessment

Objective

To assess the utility's readiness to support consumer communication, education, and engagement.

Consumer Engagement Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Consumer communication strategy prepared	☐	☐	☐	
Educational materials developed	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer support channels established	☐	☐	☐	
Complaint handling workflow established	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer portal readiness assessed	☐	☐	☐	
Vulnerable customer support program established	☐	☐	☐	

A.12 Pilot and Financial Readiness Assessment

Objective

To evaluate pilot implementation preparedness and financial sustainability planning.

Pilot Area Assessment

Assessment Area	Evaluation	Risk Level	Remarks
Consumer Mix			
Communication Coverage			

Assessment Area	Evaluation	Risk Level	Remarks
Load Characteristics			
Accessibility			
Operational Complexity			

Financial Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Pilot budget allocated	☐	☐	☐	
Funding source identified	☐	☐	☐	
Procurement plan prepared	☐	☐	☐	
CAPEX planning initiated	☐	☐	☐	
Cost-benefit analysis prepared	☐	☐	☐	

Risk Register

Risk	Category	Impact	Probability	Mitigation Strategy	Owner

A.13 Assessment Findings and Recommendations

Summary of Key Findings

Major Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

A.14 Recommended Next Steps

Based on the results of the AMI Readiness Assessment, the utility should identify:

- Critical operational gaps
- Immediate corrective actions
- Workforce development requirements
- Cybersecurity improvements
- Infrastructure upgrade requirements
- Consumer engagement priorities
- Pilot deployment readiness status
- Long-term modernization priorities

The readiness assessment should serve as a foundational reference for:

- Pilot planning

- CAPEX development
- Regulatory coordination
- Deployment strategy
- Operational improvement
- Long-term Smart Grid modernization planning

ANNEX B

Smart Grid Maturity Survey Framework

B.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured Smart Grid Maturity Survey framework to help Distribution Utilities (DUs) and Electric Cooperatives (ECs) evaluate their current Smart Grid capability, digital modernization progress, operational maturity, and long-term readiness for intelligent utility operations.

The survey framework is intended to help utilities:

- Assess current modernization capability
- Identify operational and technological gaps
- Establish modernization baselines
- Prioritize future investment areas
- Improve deployment planning
- Support long-term Smart Grid strategy development
- Evaluate organizational and operational readiness for digital utility transformation

This annex is designed to support:

- Utility management
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Engineering teams
- IT and cybersecurity teams
- Regulatory planning teams
- Modernization committees
- Strategic planning groups

B.2 Survey Objectives

The Smart Grid Maturity Survey aims to evaluate the utility's capability across key modernization areas including:

- Customer service modernization
- Digital operations
- Network automation
- Operational visibility
- Data analytics capability
- Workforce readiness
- Technology adoption
- Smart Grid integration readiness
- Innovation capability
- Long-term modernization sustainability

The survey is intended to help utilities:

- Understand their current Smart Grid maturity level
- Identify modernization priorities
- Develop phased improvement strategies
- Benchmark operational capability
- Support long-term transformation planning

B.3 Smart Grid Maturity Levels

B.3.1 Smart Grid Maturity Interpretation

The Smart Grid Maturity Survey is intended to help utilities understand their current modernization position and identify strategic priorities for future digital transformation initiatives.

The maturity classifications are not intended to serve as regulatory compliance ratings, but rather as operational guidance references for modernization planning, investment prioritization, and long-term Smart Grid development.

Utilities may use survey results to:

- Identify modernization gaps
- Prioritize operational improvements
- Develop phased Smart Grid roadmaps
- Support CAPEX planning
- Improve organizational readiness
- Evaluate technology integration priorities
- Strengthen long-term modernization strategy

Recommended Smart Grid Maturity Interpretation

Maturity Level	Utility Characteristics	Recommended Modernization Focus
Level 1 - Traditional Operations	Limited digital systems, minimal automation, largely manual operational processes.	Establish foundational digital infrastructure and operational visibility.
Level 2 - Developing Modernization	Initial modernization initiatives and partial system integration are present.	Improve interoperability, operational integration, and digital processes.
Level 3 - Integrated Smart Utility	Core Smart Grid systems and operational coordination are substantially implemented.	Expand analytics, automation, cybersecurity, and consumer-focused digital services.
Level 4 - Advanced Smart Grid Utility	Highly integrated, data-driven, automated, and scalable utility operations are established.	Focus on innovation, advanced automation, predictive analytics, DER integration, and future Smart Grid evolution.

B.4 Overall Smart Grid Maturity Summary

Assessment Category	Current Maturity Level	Key Findings	Priority Improvement Area
Customer Service Modernization			
Network Automation			
Data Analytics & Decision Support			
Workforce Capability			
Modernization Readiness			

B.5 Customer Service Modernization Assessment

Objective

To evaluate the utility's digital customer service capability and consumer engagement modernization readiness.

Customer Service Capability Assessment

Assessment Area	Current Status	Maturity Level	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Near Real-Time Usage Visibility				
Consumer Portal Availability				
Mobile Application Support				
E-Billing Capability				
Online Account Management				
SMS Notification Capability				
Digital Consumer Support Channels				

Consumer Program Assessment

Program Area	Available	Planned	Not Available	Remarks
Demand Response Programs	☐	☐	☐	
Prepaid Metering	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer Segmentation Programs	☐	☐	☐	
Energy Usage Analytics	☐	☐	☐	
Digital Consumer Engagement Programs	☐	☐	☐	

B.6 Network Automation Assessment

Objective

To evaluate the utility's operational automation capability and Smart Grid operational visibility.

Network Automation Capability Assessment

Automation Area	Current Capability	Maturity Level	Operational Gap	Recommended Action
Automated Feeder Switching				
Fault Detection Capability				
Sectionalizer Automation				
Distribution Automation				
Outage Visibility				
Remote Monitoring Capability				
Transformer Monitoring				
Substation Monitoring				

Operational System Integration Assessment

System	Integrated	Partial	Not Integrated	Remarks
OMS	☐	☐	☐	
DMS	☐	☐	☐	
SCADA	☐	☐	☐	
GIS	☐	☐	☐	
MDMS	☐	☐	☐	
Billing System	☐	☐	☐	

B.7 Data Analytics and Decision Support Assessment

Objective

To assess the utility's capability to use operational and metering data for intelligent decision-making and operational optimization.

Analytics Capability Assessment

Analytics Area	Current Capability	Maturity Level	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Load Forecasting				
Loss Analysis				
Asset Health Monitoring				
Consumer Usage Analytics				
Operational Reporting				
Regulatory Reporting				
Predictive Maintenance Analytics				

System Integration and Data Visibility Assessment

Integration Area	Available	Partial	Not Available	Remarks
GIS Integration	☐	☐	☐	
Asset Management Integration	☐	☐	☐	
Dashboard Visualization	☐	☐	☐	
Enterprise Reporting Tools	☐	☐	☐	
Operational KPI Dashboards	☐	☐	☐	

B.8 Workforce Capability Assessment

Objective

To evaluate workforce readiness, technical capability, operational adaptability, and organizational modernization culture.

Workforce Readiness Assessment

Workforce Area	Current Capability	Maturity Level	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Smart Meter Technical Skills				
Communication Network Capability				
Cybersecurity Awareness				
Data Analytics Capability				
Consumer Support Readiness				
Smart Grid Operational Knowledge				

Workforce Modernization Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Technical training programs established	☐	☐	☐	
Digital operational tools available	☐	☐	☐	
Remote diagnostics capability available	☐	☐	☐	

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Cross-functional coordination programs established	☐	☐	☐	
Innovation and continuous improvement initiatives established	☐	☐	☐	

B.9 Modernization Readiness Assessment

Objective

To evaluate long-term Smart Grid readiness and future modernization capability.

Future Readiness Assessment

Modernization Area	Current Readiness	Maturity Level	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Renewable Energy Integration				
DER Integration				
Electric Vehicle Integration				
Battery Energy Storage Integration				
Demand Response Capability				
Smart Grid Scalability				

Emerging Technology Assessment

Technology Area	Adopted	Planned	Under Evaluation	Not Considered
Cloud Technologies	☐	☐	☐	☐
Artificial Intelligence	☐	☐	☐	☐
Advanced Analytics	☐	☐	☐	☐
Blockchain Applications	☐	☐	☐	☐
Smart Grid Automation	☐	☐	☐	☐

B.10 Industry Participation and Standards Alignment

Objective

To evaluate the utility's participation in modernization initiatives, standards alignment, and industry collaboration.

Industry Participation Assessment

Participation Area	Active	Limited	Not Participating	Remarks
Industry Working Groups	☐	☐	☐	
Standards Development Activities	☐	☐	☐	
Regulatory Consultations	☐	☐	☐	
Smart Grid Knowledge Sharing	☐	☐	☐	
Technology Evaluation Programs	☐	☐	☐	

B.11 Smart Grid Maturity Findings Summary

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

B.12 Recommended Modernization Priorities

Based on the Smart Grid Maturity Survey results, the utility should identify:

- Priority modernization gaps
- Immediate operational improvement areas
- Technology upgrade priorities
- Workforce development requirements
- Integration improvement opportunities
- Long-term Smart Grid development priorities
- Future investment planning requirements

The survey results should support:

- Strategic modernization planning
- CAPEX prioritization
- Smart Grid roadmap development
- Deployment sequencing
- Organizational transformation planning
- Long-term digital utility evolution

B.13 Continuous Maturity Improvement

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that Smart Grid modernization is a continuous and evolving process.

Utilities are encouraged to periodically:

- Reassess Smart Grid maturity
- Monitor modernization progress
- Update modernization priorities
- Improve operational integration
- Strengthen workforce capability
- Expand digital infrastructure
- Evaluate emerging technologies
- Improve interoperability and cybersecurity readiness

The Smart Grid Maturity Survey should therefore be treated as a recurring strategic assessment tool supporting long-term modernization planning and continuous operational improvement.

ANNEX C

Baseline Metering Assessment Framework

C.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for assessing the utility's existing metering environment, operational baseline, billing performance, system visibility, and integration readiness prior to Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) deployment.

The Baseline Metering Assessment is intended to help utilities:

- Establish a clear understanding of current metering conditions
- Identify operational limitations and inefficiencies
- Evaluate billing and data quality performance
- Assess system loss conditions
- Determine integration readiness
- Support pilot planning and deployment strategy
- Establish measurable pre-deployment reference points

The assessment also serves as a foundational reference for:

- Performance comparison
- Pilot evaluation
- CAPEX justification
- Loss reduction analysis
- Operational improvement planning
- Long-term Smart Grid modernization strategy

C.2 Assessment Objectives

The Baseline Metering Assessment aims to evaluate:

- Existing metering infrastructure
- Meter functionality and operational condition
- Billing and meter reading practices
- Data accuracy and operational visibility
- System losses and power quality conditions
- Existing IT and system integration capability

- Operational limitations affecting modernization readiness

The assessment should help utilities identify:

- Metering gaps
- Operational inefficiencies
- High-risk areas
- Data quality concerns
- Integration limitations
- Infrastructure upgrade priorities
- Pilot deployment opportunities

C.3 Assessment Methodology

Utilities are encouraged to conduct the assessment using:

- Physical field inspections
- Meter inventory validation
- Billing data analysis
- Feeder-level energy balancing
- Consumer complaint analysis
- System loss analysis
- Power quality review
- Technical document review
- GIS and mapping validation
- IT infrastructure review
- Interviews with operational personnel

The assessment should include coordination among:

- Metering teams
- Engineering departments
- Billing personnel
- IT teams
- Field operations teams
- Consumer service personnel
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)

C.3.1 Recommended Baseline Operational Reference Metrics

The following baseline operational reference metrics are intended to provide utilities with recommended benchmarking guidance for evaluating existing metering, billing, operational, and system performance conditions prior to AMI deployment.

These reference values are not intended to serve as mandatory regulatory thresholds. Utilities may adjust evaluation criteria depending on:

- Utility size
- Geographic conditions
- Existing infrastructure maturity
- Operational realities
- Consumer density
- Communication limitations
- Regulatory requirements

The baseline metrics are intended to support:

- Readiness evaluation
- Operational gap analysis
- Pilot justification
- CAPEX planning
- Future performance comparison
- Long-term modernization planning

Recommended Baseline Operational Metrics

Operational Area	Recommended Reference Baseline
Billing Accuracy	≥ 98% billing accuracy
Estimated Billing Frequency	≤ 5% of total consumers
Meter Reading Completion Rate	≥ 95% successful monthly readings
Consumer Complaint Resolution	≤ 7 working days average resolution
Transformer-to-Meter Mapping Accuracy	≥ 95% mapping accuracy
Feeder Energy Balancing Visibility	Established and regularly monitored
Meter Tampering Detection Capability	Operational monitoring procedures established
Outage Monitoring Visibility	Feeder-level visibility available
GIS Data Accuracy	≥ 90% mapping reliability
Legacy Data Availability	Historical operational and billing data accessible for analysis
IT System Integration Capability	Existing systems capable of supporting integration planning

C.4 Baseline Assessment Summary

Assessment Area	Current Status	Major Findings	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Meter Inventory				
Billing and Data Accuracy				
System Losses				
Power Quality				
IT and Integration Readiness				

C.5 Meter Inventory Assessment

Objective

To establish a complete operational inventory and condition assessment of existing metering infrastructure.

Existing Meter Inventory

Meter Type	Accuracy Class	Manufacturer	Quantity	Installation Year	Operational Status	Remarks
Single Phase						
Three Phase						
CT Meter						
Prepaid Meter						

Meter Functional Capability Assessment

Meter Capability	Available	Partial	Not Available	Remarks
Manual Reading	☐	☐	☐	
Handheld Reading	☐	☐	☐	
AMR Capability	☐	☐	☐	
AMI Capability	☐	☐	☐	
Remote Disconnect	☐	☐	☐	
Tamper Detection	☐	☐	☐	
Load Profile Capability	☐	☐	☐	
Power Quality Monitoring	☐	☐	☐	

Meter Condition Assessment

Assessment Area	Good	Fair	Poor	Remarks
Meter Physical Condition	☐	☐	☐	
Meter Seal Integrity	☐	☐	☐	
Wiring Condition	☐	☐	☐	

Assessment Area	Good	Fair	Poor	Remarks
Installation Accessibility	☐	☐	☐	
Meter Labeling Accuracy	☐	☐	☐	

C.6 Billing and Data Accuracy Assessment

Objective

To evaluate current billing practices, data reliability, and operational visibility.

Meter Reading Method Assessment

Meter Reading Method	Current Usage	Coverage Area	Operational Limitation	Remarks
Manual Reading				
Handheld Reading				
Drive-By Reading				
Remote Reading				

Billing Performance Assessment

Assessment Area	Current Performance	Operational Concern	Recommended Action
Estimated Billing Frequency			
Billing Disputes			
Meter Reading Accuracy			
Billing Processing Delays			
Consumer Complaints			
Tamper Incident Frequency			

Energy Balancing Assessment

Assessment Area	Current Status	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Feeder Energy Delivered			
Billed Consumption			
Energy Variance			
Transformer-Level Balancing			
Meter-to-Transformer Mapping Accuracy			

C.7 System Loss Assessment

Objective

To evaluate technical and non-technical loss conditions affecting operational efficiency and modernization priorities.

System Loss Assessment Matrix

Assessment Area	Current Status	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Technical Losses			
Non-Technical Losses			
Tampering Incidents			
Illegal Connections			
Unmetered Consumption			
Feeder-Level Loss Monitoring			

Loss Monitoring Capability Assessment

Capability	Available	Partial	Not Available	Remarks
Feeder Monitoring	☐	☐	☐	
Transformer Monitoring	☐	☐	☐	
Energy Balancing	☐	☐	☐	
Loss Analytics	☐	☐	☐	
Tamper Analytics	☐	☐	☐	

C.7.1 Recommended Loss Monitoring Reference Indicators

Utilities are encouraged to establish baseline monitoring indicators for both technical and non-technical losses prior to AMI pilot implementation.

The following reference indicators may assist utilities in evaluating operational visibility and loss monitoring capability:

Loss Monitoring Indicator	Recommended Baseline Practice
Feeder-Level Energy Monitoring	Implemented and regularly reviewed
Transformer-Level Energy Monitoring	Available for pilot areas whenever feasible
Energy Balancing Capability	Monthly feeder balancing conducted
Tamper Incident Monitoring	Incident recording and reporting procedures established
Unbilled Consumption Monitoring	Investigative procedures established
High-Loss Area Identification	Operationally documented and monitored
Loss Trend Analysis	Periodic analysis conducted
Consumer-to-Transformer Mapping	Verified prior to pilot implementation

The purpose of these indicators is to help utilities:

- Improve operational visibility
- Strengthen loss reduction planning
- Improve pilot area selection
- Support post-pilot performance comparison
- Strengthen long-term Smart Grid operational analytics

C.8 Power Quality and Reliability Assessment

Objective

To assess power quality visibility and operational reliability conditions.

Power Quality Assessment

Assessment Area	Current Status	Operational Impact	Recommended Action
Voltage Fluctuation Incidents			
Power Interruptions			
Harmonic Distortion			
Frequency Disturbances			
Voltage Imbalance			

Reliability Performance Assessment

Reliability Indicator	Current Performance	Operational Concern	Remarks
SAIDI			
SAIFI			
Outage Frequency			
Outage Duration			
Restoration Visibility			

C.9 IT and Integration Readiness Assessment

Objective

To evaluate system integration capability and IT infrastructure readiness for AMI deployment.

Existing System Assessment

System	Existing Platform	Integration Capability	Upgrade Required	Remarks
Billing System				
Customer Information System				
GIS				
OMS				

System	Existing Platform	Integration Capability	Upgrade Required	Remarks
SCADA				
Data Warehouse				
Analytics Platform				

Data Integration Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
API capability available	☐	☐	☐	
Middleware integration capability available	☐	☐	☐	
Legacy data migration strategy prepared	☐	☐	☐	
Data mapping structure documented	☐	☐	☐	
System interoperability assessment conducted	☐	☐	☐	

C.9.1 Integration Readiness Considerations

Utilities should recognize that successful AMI deployment depends not only on meter installation, but also on the ability of existing enterprise systems to support operational integration, data exchange, and long-term scalability.

Particular attention should be given to:

- Billing system compatibility
- Customer information system integration
- GIS synchronization
- Data quality and mapping consistency
- Legacy database structure
- API and middleware capability
- Data migration planning
- Cybersecurity and access control integration

Utilities with limited integration capability may require:

- System upgrades
- Middleware implementation
- Data cleansing activities
- Additional cybersecurity controls
- Expanded IT infrastructure planning

Integration readiness assessments should therefore be treated as a critical component of pilot planning and long-term modernization strategy development.

C.10 Field Validation and Mapping Assessment

Objective

To validate field deployment conditions and infrastructure mapping accuracy.

Field Validation Assessment

Assessment Area	Current Status	Operational Concern	Recommended Action
Consumer-to-Meter Mapping Accuracy			
Meter-to-Transformer Mapping			
GIS Accuracy			
Pole and Service Mapping			
Installation Accessibility			

C.11 Baseline Findings and Operational Gaps

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

C.12 Recommended Improvement Priorities

Based on the Baseline Metering Assessment, the utility should identify:

- Meter replacement priorities
- Integration improvement requirements
- Billing improvement opportunities
- Communication infrastructure gaps
- Loss reduction priorities
- Data quality improvement areas
- IT modernization requirements
- Field operational improvement needs

The assessment results should support:

- Pilot planning
- CAPEX development
- Modernization prioritization
- Operational improvement planning
- Regulatory justification
- Long-term Smart Grid readiness planning

C.13 Continuous Baseline Monitoring

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that utility operational baselines will continue evolving as modernization initiatives progress.

Utilities are encouraged to periodically:

- Update meter inventories
- Reassess billing performance
- Monitor system loss trends
- Improve power quality visibility
- Review operational integration capability
- Validate infrastructure mapping
- Improve data quality and analytics capability

The Baseline Metering Assessment should therefore serve as a recurring operational reference supporting long-term modernization planning, deployment evaluation, and continuous operational improvement.

ANNEX D

Communication Feasibility Study Framework

D.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for evaluating communication technologies and network architectures supporting Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

Reliable communication infrastructure is one of the most critical components of successful AMI deployment. Communication performance directly affects:

- Meter reading reliability
- Operational visibility
- Outage monitoring
- Billing accuracy
- Remote operations
- Data availability
- System scalability
- Cybersecurity resilience
- Long-term operational sustainability

The Communication Feasibility Study is intended to help utilities:

- Identify suitable communication technologies
- Evaluate deployment feasibility

- Assess operational limitations
- Reduce communication-related deployment risks
- Support pilot planning and scaling strategies
- Improve long-term network reliability and maintainability

This annex supports:

- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Engineering teams
- Communication planning teams
- IT and cybersecurity personnel
- Project managers
- System integrators
- Utility modernization teams

D.2 Study Objectives

The Communication Feasibility Study aims to evaluate:

- Communication coverage capability
- Signal quality and penetration
- Bandwidth requirements
- Latency and reliability performance
- Scalability
- Cybersecurity capability
- Operational maintainability
- Lifecycle cost considerations
- Geographic suitability
- Infrastructure deployment requirements

The study should help utilities determine the most suitable communication architecture based on:

- Utility operational requirements
- Geographic conditions
- Meter density
- Existing infrastructure
- Budget limitations
- Long-term modernization objectives

D.3 Communication Technology Overview

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that no single communication technology is universally suitable for all utility environments.

Utilities may adopt:

- Single communication technologies
- Hybrid communication architectures
- Layered communication strategies

depending on operational requirements and deployment conditions.

The communication technologies discussed in this annex are intended as reference frameworks and may be adapted according to utility-specific operational realities.

D.4 Power Line Carrier (PLC) Assessment

Technology Overview

Power Line Carrier (PLC) communication utilizes existing electrical distribution lines to transmit communication signals between meters and utility systems.

PLC may be suitable for:

- Rural environments
- Areas with limited communication infrastructure
- Areas where RF deployment is difficult
- Utilities seeking to leverage existing electrical infrastructure

Potential Advantages

Potential Advantage	Operational Impact
Uses existing power infrastructure	Reduced communication infrastructure deployment requirements
Reduced dependency on telecom providers	Greater utility control over communication environment
Potentially cost-effective for certain rural deployments	Reduced communication infrastructure cost
No need for extensive tower infrastructure	Simplified deployment in selected environments

Potential Limitations

Potential Limitation	Operational Consideration
Electrical noise interference	Communication instability risk
Limited bandwidth	Reduced high-volume data capability
Signal attenuation over distance	Coverage limitation
Transformer bypass challenges	Additional communication design requirements

PLC Assessment Matrix

Assessment Area	Evaluation Result	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Signal Stability			
Electrical Noise Exposure			
Coverage Capability			
Scalability			
Deployment Cost			

D.5 Radio Frequency (RF) Mesh Assessment

Technology Overview

RF Mesh communication utilizes interconnected communication nodes that relay data across a mesh network architecture.

RF Mesh networks are commonly used in:

- Urban areas
- Suburban areas
- High-density metering environments
- Large-scale AMI deployments

The mesh architecture allows communication paths to dynamically reroute in response to network changes or node failures.

Potential Advantages

Potential Advantage	Operational Impact
Self-healing communication architecture	Improved network resilience
Scalable deployment capability	Suitable for large deployments
Reduced dependency on telecom providers	Greater utility communication control
Supports dense meter environments	Improved communication efficiency

Potential Limitations

Potential Limitation	Operational Consideration
Signal obstruction risks	Terrain and building interference
Tower placement requirements	Infrastructure planning complexity
RF interference exposure	Signal reliability concerns
Coverage limitations in isolated areas	Additional infrastructure requirements

RF Mesh Assessment Matrix

Assessment Area	Evaluation Result	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Signal Coverage			
Line-of-Sight Availability			
Interference Exposure			
Scalability			
Tower Infrastructure Requirements			

D.6 Cellular Communication Assessment

Technology Overview

Cellular communication utilizes public telecommunications infrastructure such as:

- 3G
- 4G
- LTE
- 5G

to transmit meter and operational data between field devices and utility systems.

Cellular communication may be suitable for:

- Remote locations
- Low-density deployments
- Pilot projects
- Areas lacking utility-owned communication infrastructure

Potential Advantages

Potential Advantage	Operational Impact
Wide geographic coverage	Reduced infrastructure deployment
High bandwidth capability	Improved data transmission capability
Faster deployment potential	Reduced implementation timeline
Suitable for isolated deployment areas	Expanded communication reach

Potential Limitations

Potential Limitation	Operational Consideration
Recurring subscription costs	Increased operational expenditure
Dependence on telecom provider quality	Reduced communication control
SIM lifecycle management requirements	Operational management complexity

Potential Limitation	Operational Consideration
Variable signal quality	Potential communication instability

Cellular Assessment Matrix

Assessment Area	Evaluation Result	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Cellular Coverage			
Signal Reliability			
Telecom Provider Stability			
SIM Management Capability			
Lifecycle Cost Sustainability			

D.7 Fiber Optic Communication Assessment

Technology Overview

Fiber optic communication provides high-speed, high-bandwidth, and low-latency communication infrastructure for utility operations.

Fiber communication is commonly used for:

- Substation interconnection
- Control center communication
- Data center connectivity
- Backbone communication infrastructure
- High-reliability operational environments

Potential Advantages

Potential Advantage	Operational Impact
Very high bandwidth	Supports advanced operational systems
Low latency	Improved real-time operational visibility
High reliability	Improved communication stability
Immunity to electromagnetic interference	Improved communication integrity

Potential Limitations

Potential Limitation	Operational Consideration
High deployment cost	Significant CAPEX requirement
Right-of-way requirements	Regulatory and permitting complexity
Trenching and civil works requirements	Extended deployment timelines
Maintenance complexity	Specialized operational requirements

Fiber Communication Assessment Matrix

Assessment Area	Evaluation Result	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Backbone Connectivity Capability			
Deployment Feasibility			
CAPEX Requirement			
Scalability			
Long-Term Sustainability			

D.8 Hybrid Communication Architecture Assessment

Objective

To evaluate the feasibility of combining multiple communication technologies within the AMI environment.

Utilities may consider hybrid communication architectures to improve:

- Coverage flexibility
- Operational resilience
- Scalability
- Redundancy
- Geographic adaptability

Hybrid Architecture Assessment Matrix

Communication Combination	Operational Benefit	Complexity Level	Recommended Usage
RF + Fiber			
PLC + Cellular			
RF + Cellular			
Fiber + Cellular			

D.9 Communication Evaluation Criteria

Objective

To establish standardized evaluation criteria for communication technology selection.

Communication Technology Evaluation Matrix

Evaluation Criteria	PLC	RF Mesh	Cellular	Fiber
Coverage Capability				
Signal Reliability				
Scalability				

Evaluation Criteria	PLC	RF Mesh	Cellular	Fiber
Latency Performance				
Cybersecurity Capability				
Deployment Cost				
Operational Cost				
Ease of Maintenance				
Geographic Suitability				
Long-Term Sustainability				

D.9.1 Recommended Communication Technology Deployment Considerations

Utilities should recognize that communication technology selection should be based on operational, geographic, financial, and scalability considerations rather than a single standardized approach.

Different deployment environments may require different communication architectures depending on:

- Meter density
- Terrain conditions
- Existing infrastructure
- Operational objectives
- Budget availability
- Scalability requirements
- Communication reliability requirements
- Cybersecurity considerations

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate communication technologies according to actual field conditions and long-term modernization objectives.

Recommended Communication Technology Considerations

Communication Technology	Generally Suitable Deployment Environment	Key Operational Considerations
PLC	Rural areas, low-density deployment areas, areas with limited communication infrastructure	Requires electrical noise analysis and transformer communication planning
RF Mesh	Urban and suburban areas with high meter density	Requires proper RF planning, tower placement, and interference analysis
Cellular	Remote or isolated deployment areas, pilot deployments, low-density consumers	Dependent on telecom coverage quality and recurring operational costs
Fiber Optic	Substation backbone networks, control centers, high-reliability operational environments	Requires higher CAPEX investment and civil infrastructure deployment

Hybrid Communication Architecture Considerations

Utilities may also adopt hybrid communication architectures combining multiple technologies to improve:

- Coverage flexibility
- Network redundancy
- Operational resilience
- Scalability
- Geographic adaptability

Examples may include:

- RF Mesh combined with Fiber backbone infrastructure
- PLC combined with Cellular backup communication
- Cellular communication for remote deployment areas
- Mixed communication environments for geographically diverse service territories

Communication architecture decisions should therefore be aligned with:

- Long-term Smart Grid objectives
- Operational sustainability
- Future scalability
- Cybersecurity requirements
- Consumer service reliability
- Total lifecycle cost considerations

D.10 Cybersecurity and Communication Security Assessment

Objective

To assess communication network security readiness.

Communication Security Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Communication encryption implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Authentication mechanisms established	☐	☐	☐	
Network segregation implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Communication monitoring capability established	☐	☐	☐	
Vendor communication security evaluated	☐	☐	☐	
Secure remote access procedures established	☐	☐	☐	

D.11 Deployment Feasibility and Operational Planning

Objective

To evaluate operational deployment requirements and implementation feasibility.

Deployment Planning Assessment

Assessment Area	Current Status	Operational Concern	Recommended Action
Tower Location Availability			
Pole Infrastructure Availability			
Telecom Coordination Requirements			
Right-of-Way Requirements			
Field Maintenance Capability			
Spare Parts Availability			

D.12 Communication Feasibility Findings Summary

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

D.13 Recommended Communication Strategy

Based on the Communication Feasibility Study results, the utility should identify:

- Preferred communication architecture
- High-risk deployment areas
- Required infrastructure upgrades
- Cybersecurity improvement priorities
- Scalability considerations
- Redundancy and backup communication strategies
- Operational support requirements
- Long-term communication sustainability plans

The communication strategy should support:

- Pilot deployment
- Large-scale scalability
- Operational resilience
- Interoperability
- Cybersecurity readiness

- Long-term Smart Grid modernization objectives

D.14 Continuous Communication Network Evaluation

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that communication technologies and operational requirements will continue evolving over time.

Utilities are encouraged to periodically:

- Reassess communication performance
- Monitor network reliability
- Evaluate emerging communication technologies
- Improve cybersecurity capability
- Optimize communication architecture
- Improve operational redundancy
- Expand scalability capability

The Communication Feasibility Study should therefore serve as a recurring operational planning tool supporting continuous communication infrastructure improvement and long-term Smart Grid modernization readiness.

ANNEX E

Cybersecurity and Privacy Readiness Framework

E.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for evaluating cybersecurity maturity, data privacy readiness, operational resilience, and information security governance associated with Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

As utilities transition toward increasingly digital and interconnected operations, cybersecurity and data privacy become critical operational, regulatory, and consumer protection requirements.

The Cybersecurity and Privacy Readiness Framework is intended to help utilities:

- Assess cybersecurity maturity
- Identify operational vulnerabilities
- Strengthen information security governance
- Improve incident response capability
- Protect consumer information
- Reduce operational and cybersecurity risks
- Improve regulatory readiness
- Support secure Smart Grid modernization

This annex supports:

- Cybersecurity teams
- IT departments
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Data Protection Officers (DPOs)
- Utility management
- Regulatory compliance personnel
- Operational technology teams
- System integrators

E.2 Assessment Objectives

The Cybersecurity and Privacy Readiness Assessment aims to evaluate:

- Cybersecurity governance maturity
- Identity and access management capability
- Data protection controls
- Network security readiness
- Monitoring and incident response capability
- Vendor and supply chain security
- Workforce cybersecurity awareness
- Data privacy compliance readiness
- Operational resilience capability

The assessment should help utilities:

- Identify cybersecurity gaps
- Improve operational resilience
- Strengthen governance controls
- Reduce exposure to cyber threats
- Improve compliance readiness
- Support secure long-term modernization

E.3 Cybersecurity Maturity Levels

Utilities may assess cybersecurity readiness using the following maturity scale:

Maturity Level	Description
Level 1 - Basic Security Controls	Limited cybersecurity governance and minimal operational security capability.

Maturity Level	Description
Level 2 - Developing Security Program	Initial cybersecurity controls and governance structures established.
Level 3 - Operationally Secure Utility	Established cybersecurity governance, monitoring, and operational security capability.
Level 4 - Advanced Cyber Resilience	Mature cybersecurity integration, continuous monitoring, proactive threat management, and resilient digital operations.

E.4 Overall Cybersecurity Readiness Summary

Assessment Category	Current Maturity Level	Key Findings	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Policy and Governance				
Access Control and Identity Management				
Data Protection				
Network Security				
Monitoring and Incident Response				
Vendor and Supply Chain Security				
Training and Awareness				

E.5 Policy and Governance Assessment

Objective

To evaluate the utility's cybersecurity governance structure and policy maturity.

Cybersecurity Governance Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Cybersecurity framework adopted	☐	☐	☐	
Framework aligned with DICT and NPC guidance	☐	☐	☐	
Chief Information Security Officer appointed	☐	☐	☐	
Data Protection Officer appointed	☐	☐	☐	
Cybersecurity governance committee established	☐	☐	☐	
Security policies documented	☐	☐	☐	
Incident management policy established	☐	☐	☐	
Data classification policy established	☐	☐	☐	
Remote access policy established	☐	☐	☐	

Governance Risk Assessment

Governance Area	Current Status	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Governance Oversight			
Policy Maturity			
Compliance Readiness			
Executive Support			

E.6 Access Control and Identity Management Assessment

Objective

To evaluate access governance and identity management capability for AMI and Smart Grid systems.

Access Control Assessment

Assessment Area	Current Status	Maturity Level	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Role-Based Access Control				
Multi-Factor Authentication				
Single Sign-On Capability				
Privileged Access Management				
Vendor Access Management				
User Access Review Process				

Identity Management Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
User roles documented	☐	☐	☐	
Least privilege policy implemented	☐	☐	☐	
User account review conducted regularly	☐	☐	☐	
Vendor access monitored	☐	☐	☐	
Audit logging enabled	☐	☐	☐	

E.7 Data Protection Assessment

Objective

To evaluate the utility's capability to protect sensitive operational and consumer data.

Data Protection Capability Assessment

Assessment Area	Current Capability	Maturity Level	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Data Encryption at Rest				
Data Encryption in Transit				
Secure Key Management				
Data Retention Management				
Data Minimization Controls				
Data Anonymization Capability				

Data Protection Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Encryption standards implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Hardware Security Modules utilized	☐	☐	☐	
Data retention policy established	☐	☐	☐	
Privacy impact assessments conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer data access controls implemented	☐	☐	☐	

E.8 Network Security Assessment

Objective

To assess network security architecture and operational protection capability.

Network Security Assessment Matrix

Security Area	Current Status	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Network Segmentation			
Firewall Protection			
Intrusion Detection Capability			
Secure Remote Access			
Device Monitoring Capability			
Communication Security			

Network Security Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
AMI network segmented from corporate IT	☐	☐	☐	
Firewall policies implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Intrusion detection systems deployed	☐	☐	☐	

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Secure VPN gateways implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Continuous traffic monitoring enabled	☐	☐	☐	

E.9 Monitoring and Incident Response Assessment

Objective

To evaluate operational monitoring capability and incident response readiness.

Security Monitoring Assessment

Monitoring Area	Current Capability	Maturity Level	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
SIEM Capability				
Real-Time Threat Monitoring				
Log Management				
Threat Intelligence Integration				
Operational Alerting Capability				

Incident Response Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Incident response plan documented	☐	☐	☐	
Escalation procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Incident communication plan established	☐	☐	☐	
Cybersecurity drills conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Tabletop exercises performed	☐	☐	☐	
Recovery procedures tested	☐	☐	☐	

E.9.1 Recommended Cybersecurity Incident Severity Classification

Utilities are encouraged to establish formal cybersecurity incident classification procedures to support:

- Timely escalation
- Operational response coordination
- Regulatory reporting readiness
- Risk prioritization
- Business continuity management
- Consumer impact mitigation

Cybersecurity incidents may vary significantly in operational impact and therefore require structured classification and response procedures.

The following incident classification model is intended as a recommended operational reference framework.

Recommended Incident Severity Classification

Severity Level	Description	Recommended Response
Low Severity	Minor operational issue with limited impact and no confirmed compromise of sensitive systems or consumer data.	Routine investigation and corrective action.
Medium Severity	Operational disruption or suspicious activity affecting limited systems or operational functions.	Escalated investigation, monitoring, and corrective action implementation.
High Severity	Significant operational disruption, attempted unauthorized access, or potential compromise of operational systems or sensitive information.	Immediate escalation to cybersecurity leadership and incident response activation.
Critical Severity	Confirmed compromise affecting critical infrastructure, large-scale operational disruption, ransomware, widespread unauthorized access, or major consumer data exposure.	Full incident response activation, executive escalation, regulatory coordination, and business continuity procedures initiated.

Recommended Incident Response Considerations

Utilities are encouraged to establish documented procedures covering:

- Incident detection and reporting
- Escalation workflows
- Internal communication protocols
- External communication and regulatory coordination
- Consumer notification procedures where applicable
- Evidence preservation and forensic investigation
- System recovery and restoration
- Post-incident review and corrective action implementation

Incident response procedures should align with:

- Utility operational requirements
- Data privacy obligations
- National cybersecurity guidelines
- Business continuity planning
- Operational resilience objectives

E.10 Vendor and Supply Chain Security Assessment

Objective

To evaluate third-party cybersecurity governance and vendor risk management capability.

Vendor Security Assessment Matrix

Vendor Security Area	Current Status	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Vendor Security Evaluation			
Contractual Security Requirements			
Third-Party Access Monitoring			
Vendor Audit Rights			
Supply Chain Risk Monitoring			

Vendor Security Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Security clauses included in contracts	☐	☐	☐	
Vendor cybersecurity reviews conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Third-party access approval process established	☐	☐	☐	
Vendor incident reporting obligations established	☐	☐	☐	

E.11 Training and Awareness Assessment

Objective

To evaluate workforce cybersecurity awareness and operational security readiness.

Workforce Cybersecurity Readiness Assessment

Training Area	Current Capability	Maturity Level	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Cybersecurity Awareness Training				
Data Privacy Training				
Phishing Awareness Programs				
Incident Reporting Awareness				
Technical Security Training				

Workforce Awareness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Mandatory cybersecurity training implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Phishing simulations conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Technical security training provided	☐	☐	☐	

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Incident reporting procedures communicated	☐	☐	☐	
Security awareness campaigns conducted	☐	☐	☐	

E.12 Cybersecurity Risk Register

Risk	Category	Impact	Probability	Mitigation Strategy	Owner

E.13 Cybersecurity Findings and Recommendations

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

E.14 Recommended Cybersecurity Improvement Priorities

Based on the Cybersecurity and Privacy Readiness Assessment, the utility should identify:

- Critical cybersecurity gaps
- Immediate operational security priorities
- Network security improvement requirements
- Identity and access management improvements
- Data protection enhancement priorities
- Incident response capability improvements
- Vendor security governance requirements
- Workforce cybersecurity development priorities

The assessment results should support:

- Cybersecurity investment planning
- Regulatory compliance improvement
- Secure AMI deployment
- Operational resilience enhancement
- Long-term Smart Grid cybersecurity readiness

E.15 Continuous Cybersecurity Improvement

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that cybersecurity threats, technologies, and operational risks continue evolving over time.

Utilities are encouraged to continuously:

- Reassess cybersecurity maturity
- Monitor emerging threats
- Improve operational security controls
- Conduct periodic security assessments
- Strengthen workforce awareness
- Improve incident response capability
- Review third-party security exposure
- Enhance operational resilience

The Cybersecurity and Privacy Readiness Framework should therefore serve as a recurring operational security assessment tool supporting long-term digital utility protection, operational resilience, and secure Smart Grid modernization.

ANNEX F

Pilot Planning and Design Framework

F.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for planning, designing, managing, and evaluating Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) pilot deployments.

Pilot implementation is one of the most critical phases of AMI modernization because it allows utilities to validate:

- Technology performance
- Communication reliability
- Operational integration
- Consumer acceptance
- Cybersecurity readiness
- Billing accuracy
- Vendor capability
- Financial assumptions
- Long-term deployment feasibility

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that properly designed pilot programs significantly reduce operational, financial, technical, and organizational risks associated with large-scale AMI deployment.

This annex is intended to support:

- Distribution Utilities (DUs)

- Electric Cooperatives (ECs)
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Project managers
- Engineering teams
- IT personnel
- Billing departments
- Regulatory teams
- System integrators
- Utility modernization teams

F.2 Pilot Planning Objectives

The Pilot Planning and Design Framework aims to help utilities:

- Develop structured pilot implementation strategies
- Establish measurable pilot objectives
- Validate communication technologies
- Assess operational readiness
- Evaluate consumer response
- Improve deployment methodologies
- Strengthen regulatory justification
- Support future scaling decisions

Pilot projects should be treated as:

- Controlled operational validation environments
- Strategic modernization learning platforms
- Risk reduction mechanisms
- Decision-making tools for future deployment planning

F.3 Pilot Governance Structure

Objective

To establish governance, accountability, and operational coordination for pilot implementation.

Recommended Pilot Governance Structure

Governance Role	Key Responsibility
Executive Sponsor	Strategic oversight and executive decision-making
Steering Committee	Governance, policy direction, and escalation management
Project Manager	Day-to-day pilot management and coordination

Governance Role	Key Responsibility
Technical Working Group	Technical planning, testing, and evaluation
Cybersecurity Team	Security oversight and incident management
Billing and Consumer Service Team	Billing validation and consumer support
Vendor / System Integrator	Technical deployment and support
Quality Assurance Team	Audit, validation, and compliance review

F.4 Pilot Objectives and Scope Definition

Objective

To define the operational goals, boundaries, and expected outcomes of the pilot deployment.

Pilot Objective Assessment

Pilot Objective	Priority Level	Success Indicator	Responsible Team
Technical Validation			
Communication Reliability			
Billing Accuracy Validation			
Consumer Acceptance			
Cybersecurity Validation			
Operational Integration			
Cost Evaluation			

Functional Scope Definition

AMI Function	Included in Pilot	Planned for Future Phase	Remarks
Remote Meter Reading	☐	☐	
Remote Connect / Disconnect	☐	☐	
Outage Notification	☐	☐	
Tamper Detection	☐	☐	
Time-of-Use Pricing	☐	☐	
Load Profile Monitoring	☐	☐	
Consumer Portal Access	☐	☐	

Pilot Scope Summary

Scope Area	Description
Pilot Duration	
Geographic Coverage	
Number of Consumers	
Consumer Categories	

Scope Area	Description
Communication Technology	
Meter Types	
Operational Objectives	

F.5 Site Selection and Sampling Framework

Objective

To ensure pilot deployment areas properly represent operational and consumer conditions.

Pilot Area Selection Criteria

Selection Criteria	Evaluation Result	Risk Level	Remarks
Load Diversity			
Communication Feasibility			
Consumer Mix			
Geographic Accessibility			
Network Complexity			
Historical System Losses			
Operational Visibility Needs			

Consumer Sampling Matrix

Consumer Type	Target Quantity	Justification	Remarks
Residential			
Commercial			
Industrial			
Government			

Community Coordination Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Barangay coordination conducted	☐	☐	☐	
LGU coordination completed	☐	☐	☐	
Community consultations conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer information campaign prepared	☐	☐	☐	

F.6 Technical Planning and System Design

Objective

To establish technical specifications and deployment architecture aligned with long-term modernization goals.

Technical Architecture Assessment

Technical Area	Selected Solution	Operational Justification	Remarks
Meter Technology			
Communication Technology			
HES Platform			
MDMS Platform			
Billing Integration Method			
Cybersecurity Architecture			

Technical Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Interoperability requirements defined	☐	☐	☐	
Cybersecurity requirements defined	☐	☐	☐	
Firmware management strategy established	☐	☐	☐	
Network management procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Device maintenance strategy established	☐	☐	☐	

F.7 Project Management and Risk Mitigation

Objective

To establish structured project execution, coordination, and risk management processes.

Pilot Project Plan

Project Activity	Responsible Team	Start Date	Target Completion	Status
Pilot Planning				
Procurement				
Consumer Engagement				
System Deployment				
Integration Testing				
Acceptance Testing				
Pilot Evaluation				

Pilot Risk Register

Risk	Category	Impact	Probability	Mitigation Strategy	Owner
Technical Failure					
Communication Failure					
Vendor Delay					
Consumer Resistance					
Billing Dispute					
Cybersecurity Incident					

F.8 Stakeholder Engagement and Communication Plan

Objective

To establish structured communication and stakeholder coordination throughout the pilot lifecycle.

Stakeholder Communication Matrix

Stakeholder Group	Communication Objective	Communication Method	Frequency	Responsible Team
Consumers				
Regulators				
LGUs				
Barangays				
Utility Personnel				
Vendors				

Consumer Support Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Consumer hotline established	☐	☐	☐	
Help desk operational	☐	☐	☐	
Educational materials distributed	☐	☐	☐	
FAQ documentation prepared	☐	☐	☐	
Complaint handling workflow established	☐	☐	☐	

F.9 Budget and Procurement Planning

Objective

To establish structured financial planning and procurement governance for pilot implementation.

Pilot Budget Framework

Budget Category	Estimated Cost	Funding Source	Remarks
Smart Meters			
Communication Infrastructure			
HES / MDMS			
Installation Labor			
Cybersecurity Controls			
Training			
Consumer Engagement			

Procurement Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Procurement strategy established	☐	☐	☐	
Vendor evaluation criteria established	☐	☐	☐	
Technical specifications finalized	☐	☐	☐	
Cybersecurity requirements included	☐	☐	☐	
Warranty requirements established	☐	☐	☐	
Service level agreements included	☐	☐	☐	

F.10 Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

Objective

To establish measurable pilot evaluation criteria, operational performance monitoring mechanisms, and baseline reference indicators for evaluating AMI pilot performance.

The following KPIs are intended to serve as recommended baseline reference targets based on commonly adopted AMI pilot performance benchmarks and industry practices.

Utilities may adjust target values based on:

- Pilot size
- Geographic conditions
- Communication technology
- Operational maturity
- Network conditions
- Regulatory requirements
- Utility-specific modernization objectives

F.10.1 Technical Performance Indicators

KPI	Recommended Baseline Target	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Meter Reading Success Rate	≥ 98% daily successful reads			
Communication Reliability	≥ 97% communication uptime			
Data Completeness	≥ 98% complete meter data records			
Signal Quality	≥ -85 dBm average signal strength (RF/Cellular) or equivalent acceptable communication threshold			
System Latency	≤ 15 minutes for standard interval data retrieval			
Remote Connect / Disconnect Success Rate	≥ 95% successful execution			
Tamper Detection Accuracy	≥ 95% detection validation accuracy			
Firmware Update Success Rate	≥ 95% successful remote firmware deployment			
Outage Detection Visibility	≥ 95% outage event capture accuracy			
Time Synchronization Accuracy	≤ ±5 seconds deviation across devices			

F.10.2 Consumer Experience Indicators

KPI	Recommended Baseline Target	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Billing Accuracy	≥ 99% billing accuracy			
Consumer Satisfaction	≥ 85% positive consumer feedback rating			
Complaint Volume	≤ 5% of pilot participants submitting complaints			
Complaint Resolution Time	≤ 5 working days average resolution period			
Consumer Portal Usage	≥ 60% active consumer utilization during pilot			
Consumer Awareness Level	≥ 80% consumer understanding of pilot objectives and AMI functions			
Estimated Billing Reduction	≥ 90% reduction in estimated billing within pilot area			
Consumer Support Response Time	≤ 24 hours for initial response to inquiries			

F.10.3 Operational and Financial Indicators

KPI	Recommended Baseline Target	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Field Visit Reduction	≥ 50% reduction in manual meter reading visits			
Meter Reading Operational Efficiency	≥ 70% improvement compared to manual reading process			
System Loss Visibility Improvement	Improved feeder and transformer-level visibility established			
Revenue Recovery Improvement	Measurable reduction in unbilled or irregular consumption			
Billing Cycle Efficiency	Reduced billing processing time compared to			

KPI	Recommended Baseline Target	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
	legacy process			
Operational Downtime	≤ 2% system downtime during pilot			

F.10.4 Cybersecurity and Data Governance Indicators

KPI	Recommended Baseline Target	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Unauthorized Access Incidents	Zero critical unauthorized access incidents			
Data Encryption Compliance	100% encrypted sensitive data transmission			
Security Event Detection Capability	Real-time monitoring operational			
Incident Response Readiness	Incident escalation process validated			
Backup and Recovery Validation	Successful backup recovery testing completed			

F.10.5 Pilot Evaluation Summary

Evaluation Area	Overall Assessment	Key Findings	Recommended Action
Technical Performance			
Consumer Experience			
Operational Impact			
Cybersecurity Readiness			
Financial Sustainability			

F.11 Pilot Evaluation and Lessons Learned

Objective

To document pilot outcomes, operational findings, and future deployment recommendations.

Pilot Findings Summary

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

Lessons Learned Assessment

Lesson Learned	Operational Impact	Future Improvement Recommendation

F.12 Scaling Readiness Assessment

Objective

To evaluate readiness for expansion beyond pilot deployment.

Scaling Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Pilot objectives achieved	☐	☐	☐	
Communication performance validated	☐	☐	☐	
Billing integration validated	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer acceptance validated	☐	☐	☐	
Cybersecurity controls validated	☐	☐	☐	
Operational support capability established	☐	☐	☐	
CAPEX justification prepared	☐	☐	☐	

F.12.1 Pilot Evaluation and Deployment Decision Guidance

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI pilot programs should serve as structured decision-making mechanisms prior to large-scale deployment.

Pilot evaluation should therefore assess not only technical performance, but also:

- Operational sustainability
- Consumer acceptance
- Financial viability
- Cybersecurity readiness
- Organizational capability
- Integration readiness
- Scalability feasibility

Utilities are encouraged to establish formal pilot evaluation reviews prior to approving:

- Pilot expansion
- Multi-phase deployment
- Full-scale AMI rollout
- CAPEX scaling initiatives

Recommended Pilot Evaluation Areas

Evaluation Area	Key Consideration
Technical Performance	Communication reliability, data completeness, meter functionality
Billing Integrity	Billing accuracy, VEE effectiveness, reduction of estimated billing
Consumer Experience	Complaint levels, consumer acceptance, portal usage
Operational Impact	Reduction in manual processes, outage visibility, operational efficiency

Evaluation Area	Key Consideration
Cybersecurity Readiness	Incident monitoring, access control validation, operational security
Financial Sustainability	CAPEX viability, operational savings potential, scalability economics
Integration Capability	Billing, GIS, OMS, SCADA, and enterprise system interoperability
Organizational Readiness	Workforce capability, operational coordination, support readiness

Recommended Pilot Deployment Decision Classification

Evaluation Result	Recommended Deployment Action
Successful Pilot Validation	Proceed with phased deployment planning and scaling strategy development
Partial Validation with Corrective Actions	Implement corrective actions and conduct limited retesting prior to scaling
Limited Operational Validation	Extend pilot duration and reassess deployment readiness
Major Operational or Technical Deficiencies	Reevaluate technology, operational processes, or deployment strategy before expansion

Regulatory and Governance Considerations

Utilities are encouraged to document:

- Pilot findings
- Operational lessons learned
- Corrective actions
- Deployment recommendations
- CAPEX implications
- Consumer impact observations
- Cybersecurity findings
- Long-term operational considerations

These findings may support:

- Internal governance approvals
- Regulatory submissions
- CAPEX applications
- Future deployment planning
- Long-term Smart Grid modernization strategies

F.13 Continuous Pilot Improvement

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that pilot programs should serve as continuous learning and operational improvement environments.

Utilities are encouraged to:

- Continuously evaluate pilot performance

- Improve deployment methodologies
- Refine technical standards
- Improve consumer engagement strategies
- Enhance cybersecurity controls
- Improve operational coordination
- Strengthen vendor governance
- Incorporate lessons learned into future deployment phases

The Pilot Planning and Design Framework should therefore serve as a foundational operational tool supporting successful AMI pilot implementation, modernization risk reduction, and long-term Smart Grid deployment readiness.

ANNEX G

Acceptance Testing and Validation Framework

G.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for acceptance testing, operational validation, interoperability verification, cybersecurity assessment, and performance evaluation associated with Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) pilot and deployment activities.

Acceptance testing is critical to ensuring that deployed AMI systems operate reliably, securely, accurately, and consistently before full operational acceptance and large-scale rollout.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that acceptance testing should validate not only individual devices, but also:

- End-to-end operational functionality
- Communication performance
- System interoperability
- Billing integrity
- Cybersecurity controls
- Consumer-facing functionality
- Operational sustainability
- Scalability readiness

This annex is intended to support:

- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Engineering teams
- Metering teams
- IT and cybersecurity personnel
- Billing teams
- Quality assurance personnel

- System integrators
- Utility modernization teams
- Regulatory review groups

G.2 Acceptance Testing Objectives

The Acceptance Testing and Validation Framework aims to:

- Verify technical compliance
- Validate operational performance
- Confirm interoperability readiness
- Validate billing accuracy
- Assess communication reliability
- Verify cybersecurity controls
- Evaluate operational scalability
- Reduce deployment risk
- Support pilot acceptance decisions

Testing activities should ensure that deployed systems are:

- Operationally stable
- Technically compliant
- Secure
- Scalable
- Interoperable
- Consumer-ready
- Sustainable for long-term utility operations

G.3 Acceptance Testing Governance

Objective

To establish accountability, coordination, and approval responsibilities for testing and validation activities.

Recommended Testing Governance Structure

Role	Key Responsibility
Project Manager	Overall testing coordination and reporting
Technical Working Group	Technical validation oversight
Metering Team	Meter functionality and field validation
IT and Integration Team	System integration and interoperability testing
Cybersecurity Team	Security assessment and incident validation

Role	Key Responsibility
Billing Team	Billing and VEE validation
Vendor / Integrator	Technical support and corrective action implementation
Quality Assurance Team	Documentation and compliance verification

G.4 Meter Testing and Validation

Objective

To verify meter accuracy, functionality, operational reliability, and compliance with deployment requirements.

Meter Accuracy Testing Matrix

Test Condition	Target Requirement	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Light Load Accuracy Test	Within approved accuracy class tolerance			
Rated Load Accuracy Test	Within approved accuracy class tolerance			
Heavy Load Accuracy Test	Within approved accuracy class tolerance			
Voltage Variation Test	Stable operational performance			
Current Variation Test	Stable operational performance			

Tamper Detection Validation

Tamper Scenario	Detection Expected	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Reverse Polarity	Yes			
Magnetic Interference	Yes			
Meter Cover Opening	Yes			
Neutral Disturbance	Yes			
Current Bypass	Yes			

Firmware and Functional Testing

Function	Expected Result	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Remote Meter Reading	Successful execution			
Remote Connect / Disconnect	Successful execution			
Outage Notification	Event successfully captured			
Load Profile Recording	Data properly stored			
Event Logging	Events properly recorded			

G.5 Communication Network Testing

Objective

To validate communication reliability, stability, coverage, and operational performance.

Communication Performance Testing

Test Parameter	Recommended Baseline Target	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Communication Uptime	≥ 97%			
Signal Strength	Within acceptable threshold			
Packet Loss Rate	≤ 2%			
Retransmission Rate	≤ 5%			
Data Delivery Success Rate	≥ 98%			
Communication Latency	≤ 15 minutes interval retrieval			

Coverage Validation Assessment

Assessment Area	Result	Operational Concern	Recommended Action
Urban Coverage			
Rural Coverage			
High-Density Areas			
Weak Signal Areas			
RF Interference Exposure			

G.6 Head-End System (HES) and MDMS Testing

Objective

To validate system interoperability, data integrity, scalability, and operational performance.

System Integration Testing Matrix

Integration Area	Expected Result	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Billing System Integration	Successful data transfer			
Customer Information System Integration	Successful synchronization			
OMS Integration	Event visibility operational			
GIS Integration	Mapping synchronization operational			
SCADA Integration	Operational visibility established			

Data Integrity Validation

Validation Area	Expected Result	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Time Stamp Accuracy	Accurate synchronization			

Validation Area	Expected Result	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Duplicate Data Handling	Proper duplicate management			
Message Formatting	Correct format validation			
Data Completeness	≥ 98% completeness			
Event Logging Accuracy	Accurate event capture			

Scalability Testing

Scalability Area	Test Target	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Concurrent Meter Data Processing				
Peak Data Volume Handling				
Database Performance				
Reporting System Performance				
Dashboard Response Time				

G.7 Security and Privacy Testing

Objective

To validate cybersecurity controls, privacy protections, and operational security readiness.

Security Validation Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Authentication controls validated	☐	☐	☐	
Authorization controls validated	☐	☐	☐	
Encryption functionality verified	☐	☐	☐	
Secure key management validated	☐	☐	☐	
Audit logging operational	☐	☐	☐	
Network segmentation validated	☐	☐	☐	

Vulnerability and Penetration Testing

Security Assessment Area	Result	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Vulnerability Scanning			
Penetration Testing			
Remote Access Security			
API Security Validation			
Device Hardening Validation			

G.8 VEE and Billing Validation Testing

Objective

To validate billing integrity, data validation capability, and VEE operational readiness.

VEE Validation Assessment

Validation Area	Expected Result	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Missing Data Detection	Successful identification			
Anomalous Reading Detection	Successful identification			
Estimation Logic Validation	Accurate estimation			
Manual Editing Audit Trail	Proper logging established			
Billing Data Integrity	Accurate billing data			

Billing Validation Testing

Billing Test Area	Expected Result	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Billing Calculation Accuracy	≥ 99% accuracy			
TOU Billing Validation	Successful tariff application			
Billing Data Synchronization	Successful integration			
Exception Handling	Proper processing			

G.9 User Acceptance Testing (UAT)

Objective

To validate operational usability and end-user readiness.

User Readiness Assessment

User Group	Training Completed	Operational Readiness	Remarks
Customer Service Personnel			
Field Technicians			
Billing Personnel			
Data Analysts			
IT Personnel			

End-to-End Process Validation

Operational Process	Expected Result	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Meter Read to Billing Process	Successful end-to-end execution			
Outage Detection Workflow	Successful event processing			
Consumer Inquiry Handling	Successful resolution workflow			

Operational Process	Expected Result	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Remote Service Operations	Successful execution			

Consumer Portal and Mobile Application Testing

Assessment Area	Expected Result	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Consumer Login Capability	Functional			
Usage Data Visibility	Accurate display			
Billing Information Access	Successful access			
Mobile Responsiveness	Functional			
Notification Capability	Functional			

G.10 Acceptance Testing Findings Summary

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

G.11 Corrective Action and Retesting

Objective

To establish structured remediation and validation procedures for identified deficiencies.

Corrective Action Tracking

Issue Identified	Root Cause	Corrective Action	Responsible Team	Retest Status

G.12 Final Acceptance Recommendation

Objective

To document overall pilot acceptance readiness and operational validation outcome.

Acceptance Recommendation Summary

Evaluation Area	Assessment Result	Acceptance Status	Remarks
Meter Performance			
Communication Reliability			
System Integration			
Cybersecurity Readiness			
Billing Integrity			

Evaluation Area	Assessment Result	Acceptance Status	Remarks
User Readiness			

Final Recommendation

Recommendation Category	Status
Accepted for Pilot Operations	☐
Accepted with Corrective Actions	☐
Requires Retesting	☐
Not Recommended for Deployment	☐

G.12.1 Recommended Deployment Acceptance Classification

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that acceptance testing outcomes should support structured operational and deployment decision-making.

Utilities are encouraged to formally classify pilot and deployment validation outcomes based on:

- Technical performance
- Communication reliability
- Billing integrity
- Cybersecurity readiness
- Operational sustainability
- Consumer-facing functionality
- Integration capability
- Corrective action status

The following classifications are intended as recommended operational guidance references.

Recommended Acceptance Classification Framework

Acceptance Classification	Description	Recommended Action
Fully Accepted	All critical operational, technical, cybersecurity, and integration requirements successfully validated with no major unresolved deficiencies.	Proceed with operational deployment or scaling activities.
Accepted with Minor Corrective Actions	Core operational requirements successfully validated with minor deficiencies requiring corrective action and monitoring.	Proceed with deployment while implementing corrective actions.
Conditionally Accepted	Significant operational or technical deficiencies identified requiring remediation and partial retesting prior to broader deployment.	Implement corrective actions and conduct focused validation activities before scaling.
Not Accepted	Critical technical, operational, cybersecurity,	Reevaluate deployment readiness,

Acceptance Classification	Description	Recommended Action
	or integration deficiencies identified preventing safe or reliable deployment.	technology design, or operational processes before proceeding.

Recommended Acceptance Review Considerations

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate:

- Critical unresolved technical issues
- Communication reliability stability
- Billing accuracy performance
- VEE operational effectiveness
- Cybersecurity validation results
- Consumer complaint trends
- System integration performance
- Scalability readiness
- Operational support capability
- Vendor corrective action responsiveness

Final deployment recommendations should be supported by:

- Technical test reports
- Operational findings
- Corrective action documentation
- Risk assessments
- Pilot KPI results
- Cybersecurity validation reports
- User acceptance findings

G.13 Continuous Validation and Operational Monitoring

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that testing and validation activities should continue throughout operational deployment phases and not end after initial acceptance.

Utilities are encouraged to continuously:

- Monitor communication performance
- Validate billing accuracy
- Review cybersecurity posture
- Reassess interoperability
- Improve operational workflows
- Validate firmware and system updates

- Monitor consumer-facing systems
- Conduct periodic retesting activities

The Acceptance Testing and Validation Framework should therefore serve as a continuous operational assurance mechanism supporting reliable, secure, scalable, and sustainable AMI and Smart Grid modernization.

ANNEX H

VEE Operations and Billing Accuracy Framework

H.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for Validation, Estimation, and Editing (VEE) operations and billing accuracy management within Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) environments.

VEE processes are critical to ensuring that meter data used for billing, operational analytics, outage monitoring, and consumer services remains:

- Accurate
- Reliable
- Transparent
- Traceable
- Auditable
- Operationally consistent

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that billing integrity and consumer trust are among the most critical success factors in AMI modernization initiatives.

This annex is intended to support:

- Billing departments
- Meter data management teams
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- IT and integration teams
- Consumer service personnel
- Internal audit teams
- Regulatory compliance teams
- Utility modernization teams

H.2 VEE Operational Objectives

The VEE Operations and Billing Accuracy Framework aims to:

- Improve billing accuracy
- Reduce estimated billing

- Improve consumer trust
- Strengthen operational transparency
- Improve data quality
- Support accurate operational analytics
- Improve auditability and traceability
- Reduce operational disputes
- Strengthen regulatory compliance readiness

VEE processes should support:

- Reliable billing operations
- Consumer protection
- Accurate operational reporting
- Long-term Smart Grid analytics capability
- Sustainable AMI operations

H.3 VEE Governance and Operational Responsibility

Objective

To establish governance, accountability, and operational control for VEE activities.

Recommended VEE Governance Structure

Role	Key Responsibility
Billing Department	Billing validation and billing cycle management
MDMS Team	Data validation, estimation, and editing operations
IT and Integration Team	System integration and data synchronization
Consumer Service Team	Consumer inquiry handling and dispute coordination
Internal Audit Team	Audit trail validation and compliance review
Technical Working Group	Oversight of operational VEE policies and procedures

H.4 Data Validation Framework

Objective

To validate the accuracy, completeness, and operational reliability of meter data.

Data Validation Assessment

Validation Area	Expected Validation Requirement	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Missing Reading Detection	Successful identification of missing readings			
Invalid Reading Detection	Successful identification of invalid readings			
Duplicate Reading Detection	Successful duplicate identification			

Validation Area	Expected Validation Requirement	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Time Stamp Validation	Accurate synchronization and sequencing			
Consumption Threshold Validation	Readings within expected operational range			
Historical Comparison Validation	Consumption aligned with historical trends			

Anomaly Detection Assessment

Anomaly Type	Detection Capability	Investigation Procedure Established	Remarks
Zero Consumption			
Negative Consumption			
Sudden Consumption Spike			
Sudden Consumption Drop			
Communication Interruption			
Potential Tampering Indicators			

H.5 Estimation Operations Framework

Objective

To establish transparent and controlled estimation procedures for missing or invalid meter data.

Recommended Estimation Methods

Estimation Method	Recommended Usage Scenario	Operational Consideration
Historical Average Consumption	Temporary communication failure	Requires sufficient historical data
Similar Consumption Profile	Limited historical data availability	Requires validated consumer segmentation
Neighbor Averaging	Short-term estimation scenarios	Requires geographically comparable consumers
Seasonal Consumption Modeling	Long-duration estimation events	Requires validated seasonal trends

Estimation Governance Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Estimation rules documented	☐	☐	☐	
Estimation approval workflow established	☐	☐	☐	
Estimation audit trail maintained	☐	☐	☐	
Estimation duration limits established	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer dispute handling process established	☐	☐	☐	

Recommended Estimation Operational Controls

Operational Area	Recommended Baseline Practice
Estimation Usage Frequency	Minimized whenever feasible
Estimation Duration	Limited to shortest operationally practical period
Estimation Transparency	Fully documented and auditable
Consumer Notification	Provided where applicable
Estimation Review	Periodically reviewed and validated

H.6 Editing and Manual Correction Procedures

Objective

To establish controlled and auditable manual editing procedures.

Editing Governance Assessment

Editing Control Area	Current Status	Risk Level	Recommended Action
Authorized Editing Access			
Approval Workflow			
Audit Trail Logging			
Dual Approval Controls			
Billing Synchronization			

Manual Editing Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Editing restricted to authorized personnel	☐	☐	☐	
Original readings preserved	☐	☐	☐	
Corrected values documented	☐	☐	☐	
Edit justification recorded	☐	☐	☐	
Approval records maintained	☐	☐	☐	
Audit trail protected from modification	☐	☐	☐	

Recommended Editing Operational Controls

Control Area	Recommended Practice
Significant Billing Adjustments	Dual approval recommended
Audit Trail Retention	Maintained according to regulatory and operational requirements
Manual Editing Frequency	Periodically monitored and reviewed
Unauthorized Editing Attempts	Logged and investigated
Editing Accountability	Assigned to authorized operational personnel

H.7 Billing Integration and Data Transfer Framework

Objective

To ensure accurate, timely, and secure transfer of validated meter data into billing systems.

Billing Integration Assessment

Assessment Area	Expected Result	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Data Transfer Accuracy	Accurate billing data synchronization			
Billing Cycle Alignment	Meter data availability aligned with billing schedule			
Cut-Off Time Management	Clearly defined and operationally enforced			
Exception Handling	Proper identification and processing			
Billing System Synchronization	Successful integration validation			

Billing Accuracy Reference Indicators

Billing KPI	Recommended Baseline Target
Billing Accuracy	≥ 99%
Estimated Billing Frequency	≤ 5% of consumers
Billing Data Completeness	≥ 98%
Billing Data Transfer Success Rate	≥ 99%
Billing Complaint Resolution Time	≤ 5 working days
Meter Data Availability Before Billing Cut-Off	≥ 98%

H.8 Consumer Billing Transparency

Objective

To improve billing transparency and strengthen consumer trust.

Consumer Billing Information Assessment

Billing Information Area	Available	Partial	Not Available	Remarks
Consumption Details	☐	☐	☐	
Tariff Breakdown	☐	☐	☐	
Taxes and Charges Breakdown	☐	☐	☐	
Historical Consumption Comparison	☐	☐	☐	
Estimated Billing Identification	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer Usage Visibility	☐	☐	☐	

Recommended Consumer Billing Practices

Utilities are encouraged to:

- Provide itemized billing details
- Clearly identify estimated consumption where applicable
- Provide accessible consumer usage information
- Improve billing transparency
- Establish consumer-friendly dispute resolution procedures
- Provide timely billing support channels

H.9 VEE Operational Risk Assessment

Objective

To identify and manage risks affecting billing integrity and operational reliability.

VEE Risk Register

Risk	Operational Impact	Probability	Mitigation Strategy	Responsible Team
Missing Meter Data				
Incorrect Estimation				
Unauthorized Data Editing				
Billing Synchronization Failure				
Consumer Billing Disputes				
Data Corruption				

H.10 VEE Findings and Operational Recommendations

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

H.11 Recommended VEE Operational Improvement Priorities

Based on the VEE Operations and Billing Accuracy Assessment, utilities should identify:

- Billing integrity improvement priorities
- Data quality improvement requirements
- Estimation reduction opportunities
- Operational transparency improvements
- Auditability enhancement requirements
- Consumer communication improvements
- System integration enhancements
- Workforce operational training requirements

The assessment results should support:

- Billing modernization
- Consumer protection initiatives
- Regulatory compliance readiness
- Operational analytics improvement
- Long-term Smart Grid operational capability

H.12 Continuous VEE Improvement

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that VEE operations should continuously evolve as utilities modernize operational systems and analytics capability.

Utilities are encouraged to continuously:

- Improve validation rules
- Reduce dependency on estimated billing
- Improve anomaly detection capability
- Enhance billing transparency
- Strengthen auditability
- Improve operational analytics
- Improve billing integration reliability
- Enhance consumer trust and operational accountability

The VEE Operations and Billing Accuracy Framework should therefore serve as a continuous operational governance and billing integrity framework supporting reliable, transparent, scalable, and consumer-centric AMI operations.

ANNEX I

Consumer Education and Engagement Framework

I.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for consumer education, communication, engagement, and public awareness activities associated with Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

Consumer understanding and public trust are critical to the success of AMI deployment programs. Effective education and engagement programs help utilities:

- Improve consumer acceptance
- Reduce misinformation
- Improve transparency
- Strengthen consumer trust
- Reduce billing disputes

- Improve digital participation
- Encourage responsible energy usage
- Support long-term modernization initiatives

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI modernization is not solely a technical transformation, but also a consumer-facing operational transition requiring sustained communication and engagement.

This annex is intended to support:

- Consumer service teams
- Public relations and communications teams
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Utility management
- Billing departments
- Community relations personnel
- Regulatory communication teams
- Utility modernization teams

I.2 Consumer Education and Engagement Objectives

The Consumer Education and Engagement Framework aims to:

- Improve public awareness of AMI modernization
- Strengthen transparency and consumer confidence
- Improve understanding of billing and consumption visibility
- Support digital consumer participation
- Improve responsiveness to consumer concerns
- Encourage adoption of Smart Grid consumer programs
- Improve long-term consumer engagement

Consumer engagement programs should support:

- Consumer protection
- Operational transparency
- Digital transformation
- Improved utility-consumer relationships
- Long-term modernization sustainability

I.3 Consumer Communication Strategy

Objective

To establish structured communication channels and consumer information delivery mechanisms.

Consumer Communication Channels Assessment

Communication Channel	Available	Planned	Not Available	Remarks
Web Portal	☐	☐	☐	
Mobile Application	☐	☐	☐	
SMS Notifications	☐	☐	☐	
Email Notifications	☐	☐	☐	
Call Center	☐	☐	☐	
Chatbot Support	☐	☐	☐	
Help Desk Support	☐	☐	☐	
Social Media Platforms	☐	☐	☐	

Communication Capability Assessment

Communication Area	Current Capability	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Near Real-Time Usage Visibility			
Billing History Access			
Payment Channel Accessibility			
Outage Notification Capability			
Consumer Alert Notifications			
Consumer Inquiry Handling			

I.4 Consumer Education Materials Framework

Objective

To establish consistent and accessible educational materials supporting consumer understanding of AMI modernization.

Recommended Education Materials

Education Material	Purpose	Target Audience	Distribution Method
AMI Brochure	General AMI awareness	General consumers	Printed and digital
Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)	Address common concerns	General consumers	Website and printed
Consumer User Guides	Explain system usage	Pilot participants	Digital and printed
Instructional Videos	Demonstrate AMI functions	General consumers	Social media and portal
Privacy and Data Protection Guide	Explain consumer data protection	General consumers	Digital and printed
Billing Explanation Guide	Explain billing changes and transparency	Consumers	Printed and digital

Education Material Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Consumer-friendly language utilized	☐	☐	☐	
Local language translations available where applicable	☐	☐	☐	
Billing explanations simplified	☐	☐	☐	
Data privacy information included	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer support information included	☐	☐	☐	

I.5 Public Awareness and Community Engagement

Objective

To improve public understanding and stakeholder participation through structured outreach activities.

Community Engagement Activities

Engagement Activity	Target Audience	Frequency	Responsible Team	Remarks
Community Meetings	Consumers and barangays			
Public Consultations	Stakeholders and consumers			
Demonstration Events	General public			
Roadshows and Exhibits	Communities and consumers			
Webinars	Digital consumers			
Social Media Campaigns	General consumers			

Stakeholder Coordination Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
LGU coordination established	☐	☐	☐	
Barangay coordination conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Public consultation schedule established	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer feedback channels established	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer concerns documentation process established	☐	☐	☐	

I.6 Consumer Engagement Programs

Objective

To encourage consumer participation and improve long-term consumer engagement with Smart Grid initiatives.

Consumer Program Assessment

Consumer Program	Available	Planned	Not Available	Remarks
Time-of-Use Programs	☐	☐	☐	
Demand Response Programs	☐	☐	☐	
Prepaid Metering Programs	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer Usage Analytics	☐	☐	☐	
Digital Self-Service Programs	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer Incentive Programs	☐	☐	☐	

Special Consumer Support Programs

Consumer Group	Support Program	Operational Status	Remarks
Senior Citizens			
Persons with Disabilities			
Low-Income Consumers			
Medically Dependent Consumers			

I.7 Consumer Support and Complaint Handling

Objective

To establish responsive and transparent consumer support mechanisms.

Consumer Support Capability Assessment

Support Area	Current Capability	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Hotline Availability			
Complaint Resolution Workflow			
Consumer Inquiry Tracking			
Escalation Procedures			
Digital Support Capability			

Recommended Consumer Service Reference Indicators

Consumer Service KPI	Recommended Baseline Target
Initial Consumer Inquiry Response Time	≤ 24 hours
Complaint Resolution Time	≤ 5 working days
Consumer Satisfaction Rating	≥ 85% positive feedback
Consumer Portal Availability	≥ 98% uptime
Consumer Education Participation Rate	Increasing participation trend monitored

I.8 Consumer Education and Engagement Monitoring

Objective

To measure effectiveness of education and engagement initiatives.

Consumer Engagement Monitoring Indicators

KPI	Recommended Baseline Target	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Consumer Participation Rate	Increasing participation trend			
Consumer Satisfaction Rating	≥ 85% positive feedback			
Consumer Portal Usage	≥ 60% active participation in pilot areas			
Reduction in Billing Complaints	Measurable reduction during pilot period			
Self-Service Transaction Growth	Increasing trend monitored			
Consumer Awareness Level	≥ 80% consumer understanding of pilot objectives			

I.9 Consumer Education and Engagement Risk Assessment

Objective

To identify risks affecting consumer trust, participation, and public acceptance.

Consumer Engagement Risk Register

Risk	Operational Impact	Probability	Mitigation Strategy	Responsible Team
Consumer Resistance				
Misinformation				
Billing Confusion				
Digital Access Limitations				
Privacy Concerns				
Low Participation Rates				

I.10 Consumer Engagement Findings and Recommendations

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

I.11 Recommended Consumer Engagement Improvement Priorities

Based on the Consumer Education and Engagement Assessment, utilities should identify:

- Consumer awareness improvement priorities
- Communication enhancement opportunities
- Digital participation improvement areas

- Complaint reduction strategies
- Consumer support capability improvements
- Vulnerable consumer assistance priorities
- Public trust strengthening initiatives
- Long-term consumer engagement strategies

The assessment results should support:

- Consumer protection initiatives
- Public communication planning
- AMI deployment readiness
- Smart Grid modernization programs
- Consumer-centric operational transformation

I.12 Continuous Consumer Engagement Improvement

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that consumer education and engagement should remain continuous throughout all phases of AMI and Smart Grid modernization.

Utilities are encouraged to continuously:

- Improve consumer communication strategies
- Expand digital engagement capability
- Improve billing transparency
- Strengthen consumer support systems
- Monitor consumer perception trends
- Improve responsiveness to consumer concerns
- Expand outreach activities
- Strengthen long-term consumer trust

The Consumer Education and Engagement Framework should therefore serve as a continuous public engagement and consumer trust framework supporting transparent, consumer-centric, and sustainable Smart Grid modernization.

ANNEX J

Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution Framework

J.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for complaint handling, dispute resolution, consumer communication, and issue escalation associated with Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

As utilities transition toward more digital and data-driven operations, structured complaint handling and dispute resolution mechanisms become critical to:

- Consumer trust
- Billing transparency
- Operational accountability
- Consumer protection
- Regulatory compliance
- Public acceptance of modernization initiatives

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that effective complaint handling is not only a consumer service function, but also an operational feedback mechanism that helps utilities identify:

- Systemic operational issues
- Billing concerns
- Communication gaps
- Technical failures
- Consumer education deficiencies
- Process improvement opportunities

This annex is intended to support:

- Consumer service departments
- Billing teams
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Utility management
- Regulatory compliance personnel
- Public relations teams
- IT and operational support teams
- Utility modernization teams

J.2 Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution Objectives

The Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution Framework aims to:

- Improve consumer trust and transparency
- Ensure fair and timely complaint resolution
- Strengthen accountability and operational responsiveness
- Improve documentation and auditability
- Reduce recurring operational issues
- Improve consumer communication
- Support regulatory compliance

- Improve long-term consumer satisfaction

Complaint handling processes should support:

- Consumer protection
- Billing transparency
- Operational improvement
- Public trust
- Sustainable AMI modernization

J.3 Complaint Handling Governance Structure

Objective

To establish accountability, escalation authority, and operational ownership for complaint handling and dispute resolution activities.

Recommended Complaint Handling Governance Structure

Role	Key Responsibility
Consumer Service Team	Initial complaint intake and consumer communication
Billing Team	Billing-related investigation and resolution
Technical Team	Technical investigation and field validation
IT and System Support Team	System-related investigation and troubleshooting
Escalation Committee	Review of unresolved or high-impact complaints
Regulatory Compliance Team	Coordination for regulatory reporting and compliance matters
Utility Management	Oversight of major operational or reputational issues

J.4 Complaint Intake and Workflow Design

Objective

To establish accessible and standardized complaint intake and case management procedures.

Complaint Intake Channel Assessment

Intake Channel	Available	Planned	Not Available	Remarks
Call Center	☐	☐	☐	
Website Portal	☐	☐	☐	
Mobile Application	☐	☐	☐	
Email Support	☐	☐	☐	
Social Media Channels	☐	☐	☐	
Physical Office Intake	☐	☐	☐	

Complaint Handling Workflow

Workflow Step	Responsible Team	Expected Action
Complaint Intake	Consumer Service Team	Receive and log complaint
Initial Assessment	Assigned Investigation Team	Determine complaint category and severity
Investigation	Relevant Operational Team	Conduct technical or billing investigation
Resolution Development	Assigned Resolution Team	Develop corrective action or explanation
Consumer Feedback	Consumer Service Team	Communicate findings and resolution
Closure and Documentation	Complaint Management Team	Record final resolution and close case

J.5 Service Level Agreements (SLAs)

Objective

To establish measurable response and resolution timelines for consumer complaints.

Recommended Complaint Handling SLA Targets

Complaint Handling KPI	Recommended Baseline Target
Initial Complaint Acknowledgement	≤ 24 hours
Standard Complaint Investigation	≤ 5 working days
Complex Complaint Resolution	≤ 15 working days
Escalated Complaint Review	≤ 3 working days after escalation
Consumer Status Update Frequency	At least every 3 working days for unresolved cases
Complaint Closure Confirmation	Required prior to final closure

Escalation Criteria Assessment

Escalation Trigger	Recommended Escalation Action
Billing disputes exceeding defined thresholds	Escalate to billing review committee
Repeated unresolved complaints	Escalate to management review
Potential cybersecurity or privacy incidents	Immediate escalation to cybersecurity and compliance teams
Operational incidents affecting multiple consumers	Escalate to operational response team
Regulatory complaints or legal disputes	Escalate to regulatory and legal teams

J.6 Complaint Documentation and Reporting

Objective

To establish centralized documentation and reporting procedures for complaints and disputes.

Complaint Documentation Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Centralized complaint database established	☐	☐	☐	
Complaint categorization process established	☐	☐	☐	

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Investigation findings documented	☐	☐	☐	
Resolution actions documented	☐	☐	☐	
Audit trail maintained	☐	☐	☐	
Complaint analytics conducted	☐	☐	☐	

Complaint Monitoring and Reporting Indicators

Complaint KPI	Recommended Baseline Target
Complaint Resolution Rate	≥ 95% resolved cases
Repeat Complaint Rate	Decreasing trend monitored
Average Resolution Time	≤ 5 working days for standard complaints
Consumer Satisfaction After Resolution	≥ 85% positive feedback
Escalated Complaint Percentage	Monitored and minimized
Billing-Related Complaint Reduction	Measurable reduction during deployment phases

Complaint Trend Analysis

Complaint Category	Frequency	Operational Impact	Recommended Action
Billing Concerns			
Communication Issues			
Consumer Portal Access			
Meter Accuracy Concerns			
Service Interruption Complaints			
Data Privacy Concerns			

J.7 Consumer Communication and Transparency

Objective

To improve transparency and consumer confidence throughout complaint handling processes.

Consumer Communication Assessment

Communication Area	Current Capability	Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Complaint Filing Instructions			
Consumer Status Updates			
Resolution Explanation Clarity			
Multi-Channel Communication Capability			
Consumer Feedback Collection			

Recommended Consumer Communication Practices

Utilities are encouraged to:

- Provide clear complaint filing procedures
- Inform consumers of required supporting information
- Provide regular status updates for ongoing investigations
- Explain resolutions in clear and consumer-friendly language
- Maintain transparency regarding investigation outcomes
- Provide escalation pathways for unresolved disputes

J.8 Mediation and Escalation Procedures

Objective

To establish structured escalation and dispute resolution mechanisms for unresolved complaints.

Escalation and Mediation Assessment

Assessment Area	Available	Planned	Not Available	Remarks
Internal Escalation Committee	☐	☐	☐	
Formal Dispute Resolution Procedures	☐	☐	☐	
Mediation Process	☐	☐	☐	
Regulatory Coordination Procedures	☐	☐	☐	
Legal Referral Procedures	☐	☐	☐	

Recommended Escalation Procedures

Utilities are encouraged to establish:

- Multi-level escalation workflows
- Independent review mechanisms for disputed cases
- Mediation options for unresolved complaints
- Regulatory coordination procedures
- Documentation retention for escalated disputes
- Executive review procedures for high-impact cases

J.9 Complaint Handling Risk Assessment

Objective

To identify operational and reputational risks associated with complaint handling deficiencies.

Complaint Handling Risk Register

Risk	Operational Impact	Probability	Mitigation Strategy	Responsible Team
Delayed Complaint Resolution				
Poor Consumer Communication				

Risk	Operational Impact	Probability	Mitigation Strategy	Responsible Team
Incomplete Investigation				
Repeated Billing Disputes				
Reputational Impact				
Regulatory Escalation				

J.10 Complaint Handling Findings and Recommendations

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

J.11 Recommended Complaint Handling Improvement Priorities

Based on the Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution Assessment, utilities should identify:

- Consumer communication improvement priorities
- Complaint response capability enhancements
- Billing dispute reduction opportunities
- Escalation workflow improvements
- Documentation and reporting enhancements
- Consumer trust strengthening initiatives
- Workforce training requirements
- Operational transparency improvements

The assessment results should support:

- Consumer protection initiatives
- Operational accountability
- Regulatory compliance readiness
- Consumer trust enhancement
- Sustainable AMI modernization

J.12 Continuous Complaint Handling Improvement

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that complaint handling and dispute resolution processes should continuously evolve as utilities modernize operational systems and consumer engagement capability.

Utilities are encouraged to continuously:

- Improve complaint response efficiency
- Strengthen consumer communication

- Improve billing transparency
- Analyze complaint trends
- Improve escalation workflows
- Enhance operational accountability
- Reduce recurring operational issues
- Improve consumer trust and public confidence

The Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution Framework should therefore serve as a continuous operational accountability and consumer protection framework supporting transparent, responsive, and consumer-centric Smart Grid modernization.

ANNEX K

Performance Monitoring and Reporting Framework

K.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for enterprise-level performance monitoring, operational reporting, KPI governance, and continuous improvement associated with Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI modernization requires continuous operational monitoring to ensure:

- Technical reliability
- Billing integrity
- Consumer satisfaction
- Cybersecurity readiness
- Financial sustainability
- Regulatory compliance
- Long-term operational improvement

This annex focuses on how utilities should:

- Monitor operational performance
- Consolidate KPI reporting
- Govern performance reviews
- Identify operational gaps
- Support decision-making
- Drive continuous improvement initiatives

This annex is intended to support:

- Utility management
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)

- Regulatory reporting teams
- Billing and operational teams
- Consumer service departments
- IT and cybersecurity teams
- Internal audit personnel
- Utility modernization teams

K.2 Performance Monitoring Objectives

The Performance Monitoring and Reporting Framework aims to:

- Improve operational visibility
- Strengthen performance governance
- Support evidence-based decision-making
- Improve accountability
- Support regulatory reporting readiness
- Identify operational gaps and risks
- Improve service quality
- Strengthen long-term modernization planning

Performance monitoring should support:

- Operational transparency
- Continuous improvement
- Consumer protection
- Regulatory compliance
- Long-term Smart Grid operational sustainability

K.3 Enterprise Performance Monitoring Structure

Objective

To establish a structured enterprise-level performance monitoring framework across all AMI operational domains.

Recommended Performance Monitoring Areas

Monitoring Area	Primary Operational Focus
Technical Performance	Communication reliability, meter performance, system stability
Billing and VEE Operations	Billing integrity, data validation, estimation management
Consumer Experience	Consumer satisfaction, complaint trends, portal usage
Operational Efficiency	Remote operations, outage visibility, field efficiency
Cybersecurity and Data Governance	Security monitoring, access control, incident management
Financial and Commercial Performance	Revenue improvement, operational savings, loss visibility

Monitoring Area	Primary Operational Focus
Regulatory and Compliance Monitoring	Reporting obligations, audit readiness, policy compliance

K.4 KPI Governance and Ownership

Objective

To establish accountability and governance for operational KPI monitoring and reporting.

Recommended KPI Ownership Structure

KPI Category	Primary Responsible Team	Supporting Teams
Technical KPIs	Engineering / IT Teams	Vendors, Operations
Billing and VEE KPIs	Billing Department	MDMS Team
Consumer KPIs	Consumer Service Team	Communications Team
Financial KPIs	Finance Department	Operations and Billing
Cybersecurity KPIs	Cybersecurity Team	IT Department
Regulatory KPIs	Compliance Team	TWG and Management

KPI Governance Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
KPI ownership assigned	☐	☐	☐	
Reporting responsibilities defined	☐	☐	☐	
Performance review schedule established	☐	☐	☐	
Escalation procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Corrective action tracking process established	☐	☐	☐	

K.5 Data Collection and Quality Assurance

Objective

To establish reliable and auditable performance data collection processes.

Data Collection Assessment

Data Source	Automated Collection Available	Manual Collection Required	Remarks
Smart Meters			
Communication Devices			
HES / MDMS			
Billing Systems			
Consumer Platforms			
Cybersecurity Monitoring Systems			

Data Quality Assurance Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Data validation procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Data completeness monitoring implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Time synchronization validated	☐	☐	☐	
Duplicate data handling procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Audit trail retention established	☐	☐	☐	

K.6 Reporting and Dashboard Framework

Objective

To establish structured reporting processes and operational visibility mechanisms.

Recommended Reporting Structure

Report Type	Recommended Frequency	Primary Audience
Operational Performance Report	Monthly	Utility Management
Technical Performance Report	Monthly	Engineering and IT Teams
Billing and VEE Report	Monthly	Billing Department
Consumer Engagement Report	Quarterly	Consumer Service and Management
Cybersecurity Monitoring Report	Monthly	Cybersecurity and IT Teams
Regulatory Compliance Report	Quarterly or as required	Regulators and Management
Pilot Evaluation Report	End of Pilot Phase	Management and Regulators

Dashboard and Visualization Assessment

Dashboard Area	Available	Planned	Not Available	Remarks
Technical Performance Dashboard	☐	☐	☐	
Billing and VEE Dashboard	☐	☐	☐	
Consumer Service Dashboard	☐	☐	☐	
Cybersecurity Monitoring Dashboard	☐	☐	☐	
Financial Performance Dashboard	☐	☐	☐	

K.7 Performance Review and Corrective Action Management

Objective

To establish structured operational review and improvement processes.

Recommended Performance Review Process

Review Area	Review Frequency	Responsible Team
Technical Performance Review	Monthly	Engineering / IT

Review Area	Review Frequency	Responsible Team
Billing Accuracy Review	Monthly	Billing Team
Consumer Complaint Review	Monthly	Consumer Service Team
Cybersecurity Review	Monthly	Cybersecurity Team
Financial Performance Review	Quarterly	Finance and Management
Enterprise Modernization Review	Quarterly	Executive Management

Corrective Action Tracking

Issue Identified	Operational Impact	Corrective Action	Responsible Team	Status

K.8 Trend Analysis and Operational Insights

Objective

To support long-term operational improvement through performance trend analysis.

Recommended Trend Analysis Areas

Utilities are encouraged to monitor:

- Communication reliability trends
- Billing accuracy trends
- Estimated billing reduction trends
- Consumer complaint trends
- Outage visibility improvements
- Operational efficiency improvements
- Cybersecurity incident trends
- Consumer digital participation trends
- Revenue recovery improvements
- System loss visibility improvements

Trend analysis should support:

- CAPEX planning
- Operational optimization
- Workforce planning
- Consumer engagement strategy
- Long-term Smart Grid modernization planning

K.9 Regulatory and Compliance Reporting

Objective

To support regulatory reporting readiness and operational transparency.

Regulatory Reporting Assessment

Reporting Area	Reporting Process Established	Remarks
ERC Reporting		
NEA Reporting		
DOE Coordination		
NPC Compliance Reporting		
Internal Audit Reporting		

Recommended Regulatory Reporting Practices

Utilities are encouraged to:

- Maintain auditable operational records
- Establish periodic compliance reviews
- Retain performance documentation
- Maintain corrective action records
- Support transparency and accountability
- Coordinate with regulators when significant operational issues arise

K.10 Performance Monitoring Findings and Recommendations

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

K.11 Recommended Performance Improvement Priorities

Based on the Performance Monitoring and Reporting Assessment, utilities should identify:

- Operational monitoring improvement priorities
- Reporting enhancement opportunities
- KPI governance improvements
- Dashboard and analytics enhancement requirements
- Corrective action management improvements
- Data quality improvement opportunities
- Regulatory reporting readiness improvements
- Long-term operational optimization initiatives

The assessment results should support:

- Operational transparency
- Continuous improvement
- Regulatory readiness
- Consumer protection
- Long-term Smart Grid modernization sustainability

K.12 Continuous Performance Improvement

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that performance monitoring and reporting processes should continuously evolve as utilities modernize operational systems, analytics capability, and digital infrastructure.

Utilities are encouraged to continuously:

- Improve operational visibility
- Enhance reporting capability
- Improve data quality
- Strengthen KPI governance
- Improve dashboard and analytics capability
- Improve corrective action responsiveness
- Strengthen enterprise operational coordination
- Support long-term Smart Grid operational maturity

The Performance Monitoring and Reporting Framework should therefore serve as a continuous enterprise operational governance and improvement framework supporting reliable, transparent, measurable, and sustainable Smart Grid modernization.

ANNEX L

Post-Deployment Evaluation and Scaling Framework

L.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for post-deployment evaluation, operational review, lessons learned documentation, deployment scaling readiness, and regulatory coordination following Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) pilot implementation.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that pilot deployment is not the final objective of AMI modernization. Pilot programs should serve as:

- Operational validation environments
- Strategic decision-making mechanisms
- Risk reduction platforms
- Financial and technical assessment tools

- Foundations for scalable modernization initiatives

Post-deployment evaluation is critical to ensuring that scaling decisions are:

- Evidence-based
- Operationally justified
- Financially sustainable
- Technically validated
- Organizationally supported
- Regulatorily aligned

This annex is intended to support:

- Utility management
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Regulatory coordination teams
- Engineering departments
- Billing and operational teams
- Consumer service teams
- Finance and CAPEX planning teams
- Utility modernization teams

L.2 Post-Deployment Evaluation Objectives

The Post-Deployment Evaluation and Scaling Framework aims to:

- Evaluate pilot performance against objectives
- Validate operational sustainability
- Identify deployment gaps and improvement opportunities
- Support scaling decisions
- Improve deployment strategies
- Strengthen regulatory readiness
- Improve long-term modernization planning
- Support continuous operational improvement

Post-deployment evaluation should support:

- Evidence-based modernization planning
- Risk reduction
- Operational optimization
- Consumer protection
- Regulatory transparency

- Long-term Smart Grid deployment readiness

L.3 Pilot Evaluation Framework

Objective

To evaluate pilot deployment performance across technical, operational, consumer, financial, and organizational areas.

Pilot Evaluation Assessment

Evaluation Area	Target Outcome	Actual Result	Status	Remarks
Technical Performance				
Communication Reliability				
Billing Accuracy				
VEE Effectiveness				
Consumer Satisfaction				
Cybersecurity Readiness				
Operational Efficiency				
Financial Performance				

Recommended Pilot Evaluation Areas

Evaluation Category	Key Considerations
Technical Validation	Meter functionality, communication performance, system stability
Operational Performance	Billing operations, outage visibility, field operational improvements
Consumer Experience	Complaint trends, portal usage, consumer understanding
Cybersecurity and Data Governance	Security monitoring, access controls, operational resilience
Financial Sustainability	Cost savings, operational efficiencies, revenue recovery
Organizational Readiness	Workforce capability, operational coordination, support readiness

L.4 Technical and Operational Performance Review

Objective

To assess overall operational effectiveness and technical sustainability following pilot implementation.

Technical Performance Assessment

Technical KPI Area	Operational Assessment	Recommended Action
Meter Reading Reliability		
Communication Stability		
Data Completeness		
Outage Detection Capability		
Remote Operations Capability		

Technical KPI Area	Operational Assessment	Recommended Action
System Integration Stability		

Operational Performance Assessment

Operational Area	Assessment Result	Operational Impact	Recommended Action
Billing Accuracy			
Estimated Billing Reduction			
Field Operational Efficiency			
Consumer Support Capability			
Complaint Resolution Performance			
VEE Operational Performance			

L.5 Financial and Commercial Evaluation

Objective

To evaluate financial sustainability and operational value realization from pilot implementation.

Financial Performance Assessment

Financial Area	Assessment Result	Operational Impact	Remarks
Operational Cost Reduction			
Meter Reading Cost Savings			
Revenue Recovery Improvement			
Billing Efficiency Improvement			
Loss Visibility Improvement			
Asset Management Improvement			

Recommended Financial Evaluation Considerations

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate:

- CAPEX sustainability
- Operational savings potential
- Revenue collection improvements
- Long-term maintenance requirements
- Workforce operational impact
- Consumer service improvement benefits
- Long-term scalability economics

Financial evaluation results should support:

- CAPEX planning

- Scaling decisions
- Regulatory submissions
- Long-term modernization strategies

L.6 Lessons Learned and Continuous Improvement

Objective

To document operational insights, deployment challenges, and improvement opportunities.

Lessons Learned Assessment

Area Identified	Key Finding	Root Cause	Corrective Action	Preventive Recommendation
Technical Operations				
Communication Systems				
Billing Operations				
Consumer Engagement				
Cybersecurity				
Vendor Coordination				

Recommended Improvement Areas

Utilities are encouraged to identify:

- Technical specification improvements
- Communication architecture enhancements
- Billing process optimization opportunities
- Workforce training improvements
- Consumer engagement strategy enhancements
- Cybersecurity strengthening opportunities
- Vendor governance improvements
- Deployment methodology refinements

L.7 Scaling Readiness Assessment

Objective

To evaluate readiness for transition from pilot implementation to broader AMI deployment.

Scaling Readiness Checklist

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Pilot objectives achieved	☐	☐	☐	
Technical performance validated	☐	☐	☐	
Billing accuracy validated	☐	☐	☐	

Assessment Item	Yes	No	Partial	Remarks
Consumer acceptance validated	☐	☐	☐	
Cybersecurity readiness validated	☐	☐	☐	
Workforce readiness established	☐	☐	☐	
Financial sustainability assessed	☐	☐	☐	
Regulatory coordination completed	☐	☐	☐	

Recommended Scaling Evaluation Areas

Scaling Area	Key Consideration
Technical Scalability	Communication capacity, system expansion capability
Operational Scalability	Workforce readiness, operational support capability
Financial Scalability	CAPEX sustainability and deployment funding
Consumer Readiness	Public acceptance and engagement capability
Cybersecurity Scalability	Security monitoring and operational resilience
Vendor Capability	Long-term vendor support and deployment capability

L.8 Deployment Prioritization and Rollout Planning

Objective

To establish structured prioritization and phased deployment planning procedures.

Recommended Deployment Prioritization Factors

Prioritization Area	Key Consideration
High System Loss Areas	Operational and revenue recovery priority
Communication Readiness	Deployment feasibility
Consumer Density	Operational efficiency and scalability
Operational Visibility Needs	Outage and network monitoring improvements
Billing Improvement Potential	Billing accuracy and operational impact
Infrastructure Readiness	Existing utility modernization capability

Phased Deployment Planning Assessment

Deployment Phase	Coverage Area	Estimated Timeline	Responsible Team	Remarks
Phase 1				
Phase 2				
Phase 3				

L.9 Regulatory Submission and Coordination

Objective

To support structured regulatory coordination and deployment approval processes.

Regulatory Submission Checklist

Submission Requirement	Completed	Pending	Remarks
Pilot Evaluation Report	☐	☐	
Technical Performance Summary	☐	☐	
Financial Evaluation Summary	☐	☐	
Updated Technical Specifications	☐	☐	
Scaling Plan	☐	☐	
Consumer Impact Assessment	☐	☐	
Cybersecurity Readiness Summary	☐	☐	

Recommended Regulatory Coordination Practices

Utilities are encouraged to:

- Maintain transparent communication with regulators
- Document operational findings and lessons learned
- Retain auditable deployment records
- Address regulator feedback promptly
- Align scaling plans with regulatory requirements
- Support evidence-based CAPEX justification

L.10 Post-Deployment Findings and Recommendations

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

L.11 Recommended Post-Deployment Improvement Priorities

Based on the Post-Deployment Evaluation and Scaling Assessment, utilities should identify:

- Technical improvement priorities
- Operational optimization opportunities
- Consumer engagement enhancements
- Cybersecurity strengthening initiatives
- Workforce development requirements
- Financial sustainability improvements
- Regulatory readiness enhancements
- Long-term Smart Grid deployment priorities

The assessment results should support:

- Scaling decisions
- CAPEX planning
- Regulatory submissions
- Operational improvement initiatives
- Long-term modernization sustainability

L.12 Continuous Modernization and Scaling Improvement

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that post-deployment evaluation and scaling activities should remain continuous as utilities expand AMI and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

Utilities are encouraged to continuously:

- Evaluate operational performance
- Improve deployment methodologies
- Refine technical specifications
- Improve workforce readiness
- Strengthen consumer engagement
- Enhance cybersecurity capability
- Improve operational analytics
- Strengthen long-term modernization planning

The Post-Deployment Evaluation and Scaling Framework should therefore serve as a continuous operational evaluation, deployment governance, and modernization scaling framework supporting sustainable, scalable, and consumer-centric Smart Grid transformation.

ANNEX M

Business Case and CAPEX Development Framework

M.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured framework for developing business cases, capital expenditure (CAPEX) plans, financial justifications, investment evaluations, and regulatory submissions associated with Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that AMI modernization represents a major long-term operational and financial transformation requiring:

- Strategic investment planning
- Financial sustainability evaluation
- Operational justification
- Regulatory coordination
- Consumer impact assessment

- Risk management
- Long-term modernization alignment

Business case development should not focus solely on equipment procurement costs, but also on:

- Operational transformation
- Long-term utility modernization
- Consumer service improvement
- System efficiency
- Digital infrastructure development
- Operational resilience
- Future Smart Grid readiness

This annex is intended to support:

- Utility management
- Finance and CAPEX planning teams
- Technical Working Groups (TWGs)
- Regulatory coordination teams
- Engineering departments
- Procurement teams
- Utility modernization teams
- Strategic planning teams

M.2 Business Case and CAPEX Development Objectives

The Business Case and CAPEX Development Framework aims to:

- Support evidence-based investment planning
- Strengthen CAPEX justification
- Improve financial sustainability evaluation
- Support regulatory submission readiness
- Improve modernization planning
- Strengthen operational and financial risk management
- Support long-term Smart Grid investment strategies

Business case development should support:

- Sustainable modernization planning
- Operational improvement
- Consumer protection
- Regulatory transparency

- Financial accountability
- Long-term utility resilience

M.3 Investment Scope and Modernization Planning

Objective

To define the operational and strategic scope of AMI modernization investments.

Recommended Investment Planning Areas

Investment Area	Primary Operational Purpose
Smart Meter Deployment	Meter modernization and operational visibility
Communication Infrastructure	Reliable and scalable data communication
HES and MDMS Systems	Data collection, management, and analytics
Billing and Enterprise Integration	Operational synchronization and billing modernization
Cybersecurity and Data Governance	Operational security and consumer data protection
Consumer Engagement Platforms	Consumer visibility and digital interaction
Workforce Development	Operational capability and modernization readiness
Operational Analytics and Reporting	Performance monitoring and decision support

M.4 Cost Component Assessment

Objective

To establish comprehensive identification of project cost components associated with AMI modernization.

CAPEX Cost Component Assessment

Cost Component	Estimated Cost	Operational Purpose	Remarks
Smart Meters			
Communication Modules			
Routers / Gateways / Towers			
Fiber or Communication Leases			
HES and MDMS Licenses			
Servers and Storage Infrastructure			
Software Integration			
Installation and Commissioning			
Testing and Validation			
Consumer Migration Activities			
Training and Workforce Development			
Consumer Education Programs			
Cybersecurity Solutions			
Monitoring and Support Services			

Cost Component	Estimated Cost	Operational Purpose	Remarks
Warranty and Maintenance Contracts			
Decommissioning and Disposal Costs			

Recommended CAPEX Planning Considerations

Utilities are encouraged to consider:

- Scalability requirements
- Long-term maintenance costs
- Technology lifecycle considerations
- Future integration requirements
- Cybersecurity investment needs
- Workforce operational impact
- Consumer engagement requirements
- Sustainability and resilience considerations

M.5 Benefits and Operational Value Assessment

Objective

To evaluate expected operational, financial, and consumer-related benefits from AMI modernization.

Benefits Assessment Matrix

Benefit Area	Expected Operational Benefit	Measurement Method	Remarks
Non-Technical Loss Reduction			
Billing Accuracy Improvement			
Remote Meter Reading Capability			
Remote Connect / Disconnect Operations			
Outage Visibility Improvement			
Consumer Service Improvement			
Operational Cost Reduction			
Revenue Collection Improvement			
Asset Management Improvement			

Recommended Operational Benefit Considerations

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate:

- Reduction in manual operational activities
- Reduced truck rolls and field visits

- Improved outage response capability
- Improved operational visibility
- Enhanced consumer engagement
- Improved billing transparency
- Support for future Smart Grid programs
- Improved operational analytics capability

M.6 Financial Analysis Framework

Objective

To establish structured financial evaluation methodologies for AMI investments.

Recommended Financial Evaluation Areas

Financial Evaluation Area	Assessment Requirement
Net Present Value (NPV)	Evaluate long-term project value
Internal Rate of Return (IRR)	Assess investment efficiency
Payback Period	Estimate investment recovery timeline
Lifecycle Cost Analysis	Evaluate total long-term ownership cost
Operational Savings Analysis	Quantify operational efficiency improvements
Revenue Recovery Analysis	Estimate collection and loss reduction impact

Financial Sensitivity Analysis

Variable	Sensitivity Assessment	Operational Impact
Meter Cost Variations		
Communication Infrastructure Cost		
Exchange Rate Fluctuations		
Energy Price Variations		
System Loss Reduction Assumptions		
Consumer Participation Levels		

Financing Assessment

Financing Option	Applicability	Operational Considerations
Utility CAPEX Funding		
Debt Financing		
Vendor Financing		
Government Grants or Programs		
Public-Private Partnership Structures		

M.7 Risk Management Framework

Objective

To identify and manage operational, financial, regulatory, and organizational risks associated with AMI modernization investments.

Risk Assessment Matrix

Risk Category	Risk Description	Operational Impact	Mitigation Strategy
Technical Risk			
Interoperability Risk			
Cybersecurity Risk			
Financial Risk			
Regulatory Risk			
Consumer Acceptance Risk			
Vendor Performance Risk			
Operational Transition Risk			

Recommended Risk Management Considerations

Utilities are encouraged to evaluate:

- Technology obsolescence risks
- Vendor dependency risks
- Exchange rate exposure
- Budget overrun risks
- Data privacy and cybersecurity exposure
- Consumer resistance risks
- E-waste and environmental considerations
- Regulatory policy changes

M.8 Consumer and Public Interest Assessment

Objective

To evaluate consumer impact and public interest considerations associated with AMI modernization investments.

Consumer Impact Assessment

Consumer Impact Area	Expected Impact	Mitigation or Support Strategy
Billing Transparency		
Consumer Access to Usage Data		
Service Reliability		
Consumer Education Requirements		

Consumer Impact Area	Expected Impact	Mitigation or Support Strategy
Vulnerable Consumer Impact		
Data Privacy Considerations		

Recommended Consumer Protection Considerations

Utilities are encouraged to:

- Improve billing transparency
- Protect consumer data privacy
- Strengthen consumer communication
- Support vulnerable consumers
- Provide accessible dispute resolution mechanisms
- Improve public trust and operational accountability

M.9 CAPEX Proposal Development

Objective

To support structured preparation of regulatory-ready CAPEX proposals.

CAPEX Proposal Checklist

Proposal Requirement	Completed	Pending	Remarks
Technical Justification	☐	☐	
Financial Analysis	☐	☐	
Pilot Results Summary	☐	☐	
Consumer Impact Assessment	☐	☐	
Cybersecurity Considerations	☐	☐	
Deployment Timeline	☐	☐	
Regulatory Coordination Documentation	☐	☐	
Risk Assessment Summary	☐	☐	

Recommended CAPEX Proposal Components

Utilities are encouraged to include:

- Technical deployment rationale
- Operational improvement objectives
- Pilot findings and lessons learned
- Financial justification
- Consumer impact considerations
- Cybersecurity and data governance considerations

- Long-term scalability planning
- Regulatory compliance alignment

M.10 Regulatory Submission and Coordination

Objective

To support structured regulatory coordination and approval readiness.

Regulatory Coordination Assessment

Regulatory Area	Coordination Status	Remarks
ERC Coordination		
NEA Coordination		
DOE Alignment		
NPC Compliance Considerations		
Internal Governance Approval		

Recommended Regulatory Coordination Practices

Utilities are encouraged to:

- Maintain transparent communication with regulators
- Support evidence-based investment justification
- Document pilot performance and operational benefits
- Align CAPEX planning with modernization objectives
- Retain auditable technical and financial records
- Address regulatory feedback promptly

M.11 Business Case Findings and Recommendations

Key Finding	Operational Impact	Recommended Action	Priority Level

M.12 Recommended Investment and Modernization Priorities

Based on the Business Case and CAPEX Development Assessment, utilities should identify:

- Technical modernization priorities
- Financial sustainability improvement opportunities
- Consumer engagement enhancement requirements
- Cybersecurity investment priorities
- Workforce development requirements

- Operational optimization opportunities
- Regulatory readiness improvements
- Long-term Smart Grid investment priorities

The assessment results should support:

- CAPEX planning
- Regulatory submissions
- Operational modernization
- Consumer protection initiatives
- Long-term Smart Grid transformation

M.13 Continuous Investment and Modernization Planning

The AMPERE Protocol recognizes that business case development and CAPEX planning should continuously evolve as technologies, operational requirements, and regulatory environments change over time.

Utilities are encouraged to continuously:

- Reassess modernization priorities
- Improve investment planning methodologies
- Refine financial assumptions
- Improve operational analytics
- Strengthen cybersecurity investment planning
- Improve consumer engagement strategies
- Enhance workforce readiness
- Support long-term Smart Grid operational sustainability

The Business Case and CAPEX Development Framework should therefore serve as a continuous strategic investment and modernization planning framework supporting sustainable, scalable, financially responsible, and consumer-centric Smart Grid transformation.

ANNEX N

Technical Requirements and Compliance Checklist

N.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured technical compliance and verification checklist for Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid deployment components.

The purpose of this annex is to assist utilities in:

- Technical specification preparation
- Procurement evaluation

- Vendor qualification
- Technical compliance verification
- Deployment readiness assessment
- Interoperability planning
- Long-term scalability evaluation

This annex is intended to serve as:

- A technical reference checklist
- A procurement support tool
- A deployment verification guide
- A compliance validation framework

The checklist may be adjusted depending on:

- Utility operational requirements
- Deployment scale
- Communication architecture
- Regulatory requirements
- Geographic conditions
- Technology strategy

N.2 Smart Meter Technical Requirements

Objective

To verify that deployed smart meters support required operational, interoperability, and scalability functions.

Smart Meter Compliance Checklist

Technical Requirement	Compliant	Partial	Non-Compliant	Remarks
Compliance with ANSI C12 and/or IEC metering standards	☐	☐	☐	
Accuracy class 0.2s or better	☐	☐	☐	
Remote meter reading capability	☐	☐	☐	
Remote connect/disconnect functionality	☐	☐	☐	
Firmware upgrade capability	☐	☐	☐	
Load profile recording capability	☐	☐	☐	
Event logging capability	☐	☐	☐	
Tamper detection capability	☐	☐	☐	
Net metering / export measurement capability	☐	☐	☐	
Near real-time interval data support	☐	☐	☐	

N.3 Communication Module Technical Requirements

Objective

To verify communication flexibility, reliability, and security capability.

Communication Module Compliance Checklist

Technical Requirement	Compliant	Partial	Non-Compliant	Remarks
Support for PLC communication	☐	☐	☐	
Support for RF communication	☐	☐	☐	
Support for Cellular communication	☐	☐	☐	
Support for Ethernet communication	☐	☐	☐	
Modular communication upgrade capability	☐	☐	☐	
AES-128 or stronger encryption support	☐	☐	☐	
Authentication protocol support	☐	☐	☐	
Mesh networking capability	☐	☐	☐	
Self-healing communication capability	☐	☐	☐	

N.4 Head-End System (HES) Technical Requirements

Objective

To verify HES operational capability and interoperability readiness.

HES Compliance Checklist

Technical Requirement	Compliant	Partial	Non-Compliant	Remarks
Large-scale device management capability	☐	☐	☐	
Command and control functionality	☐	☐	☐	
Operational dashboard capability	☐	☐	☐	
MDMS integration capability	☐	☐	☐	
Billing system integration APIs	☐	☐	☐	
OMS / DMS integration capability	☐	☐	☐	
Device provisioning capability	☐	☐	☐	
Firmware management capability	☐	☐	☐	
Event processing capability	☐	☐	☐	

N.5 Meter Data Management System (MDMS) Requirements

Objective

To verify data management scalability, operational reliability, and analytics capability.

MDMS Compliance Checklist

Technical Requirement	Compliant	Partial	Non-Compliant	Remarks
Scalable data storage architecture	☐	☐	☐	
Validation, Estimation, and Editing (VEE) capability	☐	☐	☐	
Data aggregation capability	☐	☐	☐	
Analytics and reporting capability	☐	☐	☐	
Customer information system integration	☐	☐	☐	
Regulatory reporting capability	☐	☐	☐	
Audit trail capability	☐	☐	☐	

N.6 VEE and Billing Integration Requirements

Objective

To verify billing integrity and operational synchronization capability.

VEE and Billing Integration Checklist

Technical Requirement	Compliant	Partial	Non-Compliant	Remarks
Configurable validation rules	☐	☐	☐	
Estimation algorithm capability	☐	☐	☐	
Editing workflow support	☐	☐	☐	
MDMS-HES-billing reconciliation capability	☐	☐	☐	
Billing synchronization capability	☐	☐	☐	
Audit trail logging capability	☐	☐	☐	

N.7 Outage Detection and Management Requirements

Objective

To verify outage visibility and operational restoration support capability.

Outage Management Compliance Checklist

Technical Requirement	Compliant	Partial	Non-Compliant	Remarks
Real-time outage notification capability	☐	☐	☐	
Voltage event monitoring capability	☐	☐	☐	
Meter tamper alert capability	☐	☐	☐	
OMS integration capability	☐	☐	☐	
DMS integration capability	☐	☐	☐	
Restoration verification capability	☐	☐	☐	

N.8 Cybersecurity and Privacy Technical Requirements

Objective

To verify minimum cybersecurity and data protection capability.

Cybersecurity Compliance Checklist

Technical Requirement	Compliant	Partial	Non-Compliant	Remarks
Encrypted communication channels	☐	☐	☐	
Firmware signing capability	☐	☐	☐	
Secure boot capability	☐	☐	☐	
Role-based access control	☐	☐	☐	
Identity management capability	☐	☐	☐	
Audit logging capability	☐	☐	☐	
Data privacy compliance support	☐	☐	☐	

N.9 Interoperability and Scalability Verification

Objective

To verify long-term interoperability and modernization readiness.

Interoperability and Scalability Checklist

Technical Requirement	Compliant	Partial	Non-Compliant	Remarks
Open standards support	☐	☐	☐	
Multi-vendor interoperability capability	☐	☐	☐	
Future communication upgrade capability	☐	☐	☐	
DER integration readiness	☐	☐	☐	
EV integration readiness	☐	☐	☐	
Scalability for large deployment volumes	☐	☐	☐	

N.10 Technical Compliance Summary

Compliance Area	Assessment Result	Key Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Smart Meter Compliance			
Communication Systems			
HES and MDMS			
Billing Integration			
Cybersecurity			
Interoperability and Scalability			

N.11 Recommended Technical Compliance Review Practices

Utilities are encouraged to:

- Conduct structured technical evaluations prior to procurement
- Verify interoperability and scalability requirements
- Validate cybersecurity and privacy capability
- Require technical documentation from vendors
- Conduct pilot validation prior to large-scale deployment
- Periodically reassess technical requirements as technologies evolve

The Technical Requirements and Compliance Checklist should therefore serve as a structured technical verification and procurement support framework supporting interoperable, secure, scalable, and sustainable Smart Grid modernization.

ANNEX O

CAPEX and Investment Planning Submission Template

O.1 Purpose

This annex provides a standardized template framework for preparing AMI and Smart Grid CAPEX and investment planning submissions.

The template is intended to assist utilities in organizing:

- Technical justifications
- Financial evaluations
- Operational objectives
- Risk assessments
- Deployment schedules
- Regulatory submission requirements

This template may be adapted depending on:

- Utility size
- Deployment scale
- Regulatory requirements
- Technology strategy
- Financing structure

O.2 Project Identification Summary

Project Information	Details
Project Title	
Utility Name	
Project Sponsor	
Project Manager	
Proposed Deployment Area	

Project Information	Details
Project Duration	
Estimated Deployment Scale	
Proposed Communication Technology	
Proposed Meter Technology	
Submission Date	

O.3 Project Objectives

Operational Objectives

Objective	Expected Outcome
Billing Improvement	
System Loss Visibility	
Operational Efficiency	
Consumer Service Improvement	
Outage Visibility	
Smart Grid Readiness	

Strategic Alignment

Alignment Area	Description
DOE Smart Grid Roadmap Alignment	
ERC AMI Modernization Alignment	
NEA Modernization Alignment	
Consumer Protection Objectives	
Cybersecurity and Data Privacy Objectives	

O.4 Current Operational Challenges Assessment

Current Challenge	Operational Impact	Proposed AMI Improvement
Manual Meter Reading		
Estimated Billing		
System Loss Visibility		
Consumer Complaints		
Outage Visibility Limitations		
Legacy Infrastructure Limitations		

O.5 Proposed Project Scope

Scope Area	Description
Meter Deployment Scope	
Communication Infrastructure Scope	
HES and MDMS Scope	
Billing Integration Scope	
Cybersecurity Scope	
Consumer Engagement Scope	
Workforce Development Scope	

O.6 CAPEX Cost Summary

Cost Component	Estimated Cost	Remarks
Smart Meters		
Communication Infrastructure		
HES and MDMS Systems		
Software Integration		
Installation and Commissioning		
Training and Change Management		
Consumer Education Programs		
Cybersecurity Solutions		
Maintenance and Support		
Contingency Allowance		

O.7 Financing Plan Summary

Financing Area	Details
Funding Source	
Financing Structure	
Repayment Terms	
Estimated Cash Flow Impact	
Regulatory Recovery Mechanism	
Tariff Impact Consideration	

O.8 Risk Assessment Summary

Risk	Probability	Operational Impact	Mitigation Strategy
Technical Risk			

Risk	Probability	Operational Impact	Mitigation Strategy
Financial Risk			
Regulatory Risk			
Cybersecurity Risk			
Consumer Acceptance Risk			
Vendor Performance Risk			

O.9 Implementation Schedule Summary

Major Milestone	Target Timeline	Responsible Team
Procurement Phase		
Pilot Deployment		
System Integration		
Acceptance Testing		
Pilot Evaluation		
Scaling Decision		
Full Deployment		

O.10 Expected Benefits Summary

Expected Benefit	Expected Operational Impact
Billing Accuracy Improvement	
Operational Efficiency Improvement	
Revenue Recovery Improvement	
System Loss Visibility Improvement	
Consumer Satisfaction Improvement	
Outage Management Improvement	

O.11 Regulatory and Governance Approval Summary

Approval Area	Status	Remarks
Internal Technical Review		
Management Approval		
Board Approval		
ERC Submission		
NEA Coordination		
DOE Alignment Review		

O.12 Supporting Attachments Checklist

Supporting Document	Attached	Remarks
Pilot Evaluation Results	☐	
Technical Specifications	☐	
Financial Analysis	☐	
Cybersecurity Assessment	☐	
Consumer Impact Assessment	☐	
Risk Assessment	☐	
Deployment Timeline	☐	

ANNEX P

Cybersecurity and Data Governance Audit Checklist

P.1 Purpose

This annex provides a structured cybersecurity and data governance audit checklist for utilities implementing Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid modernization initiatives.

The checklist is intended to support:

- Operational cybersecurity reviews
- Data governance verification
- Internal audit activities
- Regulatory readiness assessments
- Compliance monitoring
- Continuous operational improvement

This annex serves as:

- A cybersecurity self-assessment tool
- A governance verification framework
- An operational audit checklist
- A compliance support reference

The checklist may be adapted depending on:

- Utility size
- Deployment scale
- Operational complexity
- Regulatory obligations
- Cybersecurity maturity level

P.2 Data Classification and Governance Verification

Objective

To verify that utilities maintain structured data governance and classification controls.

Data Governance Checklist

Governance Requirement	Implemented	Partial	Not Implemented	Remarks
Data classification policy established	☐	☐	☐	
Data ownership assigned	☐	☐	☐	
Personal data identification procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Data flow documentation maintained	☐	☐	☐	
Data retention policy established	☐	☐	☐	
Data disposal procedures established	☐	☐	☐	

P.3 Access Management Verification

Objective

To verify implementation of secure access management controls.

Access Management Checklist

Access Management Requirement	Implemented	Partial	Not Implemented	Remarks
User provisioning procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Account revocation procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Least privilege principles implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Segregation of duties implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Multi-factor authentication implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Periodic access reviews conducted	☐	☐	☐	

P.4 Encryption and Key Management Verification

Objective

To verify implementation of encryption and cryptographic management controls.

Encryption and Key Management Checklist

Security Requirement	Implemented	Partial	Not Implemented	Remarks
Data encryption at rest implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Data encryption in transit implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Secure key rotation procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Key backup and recovery procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Hardware security modules utilized where applicable	☐	☐	☐	
Access to cryptographic materials restricted	☐	☐	☐	

P.5 Security Monitoring and Logging Verification

Objective

To verify operational monitoring and audit logging capability.

Monitoring and Logging Checklist

Monitoring Requirement	Implemented	Partial	Not Implemented	Remarks
Meter event logging enabled	☐	☐	☐	
HES and MDMS logging enabled	☐	☐	☐	
Network device logging enabled	☐	☐	☐	
Centralized log collection implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Security event correlation capability established	☐	☐	☐	
Log retention policy established	☐	☐	☐	

P.6 Incident Response and Notification Verification

Objective

To verify operational cybersecurity incident response readiness.

Incident Response Checklist

Incident Response Requirement	Implemented	Partial	Not Implemented	Remarks
Incident response plan established	☐	☐	☐	
Escalation procedures documented	☐	☐	☐	
Breach notification procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Incident communication workflow established	☐	☐	☐	
After-action review procedures established	☐	☐	☐	
Incident response drills conducted	☐	☐	☐	

P.7 Vendor and Third-Party Security Verification

Objective

To verify cybersecurity governance for vendors and third-party service providers.

Vendor Security Checklist

Vendor Security Requirement	Implemented	Partial	Not Implemented	Remarks
Vendor cybersecurity assessments conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Data protection clauses included in contracts	☐	☐	☐	
Incident reporting obligations established	☐	☐	☐	
Third-party access controls implemented	☐	☐	☐	
Vendor compliance monitoring conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Vendor audit rights established	☐	☐	☐	

P.8 Cybersecurity Training and Awareness Verification

Objective

To verify workforce cybersecurity and data governance awareness readiness.

Training and Awareness Checklist

Training Requirement	Implemented	Partial	Not Implemented	Remarks
General cybersecurity training conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Data privacy awareness training conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Phishing awareness activities conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Specialized technical security training conducted	☐	☐	☐	
Incident reporting awareness established	☐	☐	☐	
Leadership cybersecurity awareness initiatives conducted	☐	☐	☐	

P.9 Cybersecurity Audit Findings Summary

Audit Area	Compliance Status	Key Gap Identified	Recommended Action
Data Governance			
Access Management			
Encryption and Key Management			
Monitoring and Logging			
Incident Response			
Vendor Security			
Training and Awareness			

P.10 Recommended Cybersecurity Review Practices

Utilities are encouraged to:

- Conduct periodic cybersecurity self-assessments
- Maintain auditable security documentation
- Continuously review access privileges
- Validate incident response readiness
- Monitor third-party cybersecurity compliance
- Periodically reassess cybersecurity maturity
- Improve workforce awareness and operational readiness

The Cybersecurity and Data Governance Audit Checklist should therefore serve as a structured cybersecurity verification and operational audit framework supporting secure, resilient, compliant, and sustainable Smart Grid modernization.

ANNEX Q

Glossary and Acronyms

Q.1 Purpose

This annex provides definitions of technical terms, abbreviations, and acronyms used throughout the AMPERE Protocol.

The glossary is intended to support:

- Consistent interpretation
- Technical clarity
- Regulatory alignment
- Operational understanding
- Cross-functional coordination

Definitions may be updated as technologies, standards, and regulatory frameworks evolve.

Q.2 Acronyms and Definitions

Acronym / Term	Definition
AMI	Advanced Metering Infrastructure. An integrated system of smart meters, communication networks, and data management systems enabling two-way communication between utilities and consumers.
HES	Head-End System. A centralized platform used to communicate with smart meters, collect data, and manage field devices.
MDMS	Meter Data Management System. A platform used to store, validate, process, and analyze meter data for billing and operational purposes.
CMDC	Consumer Meter Data Channel. An ERC-mandated consumer access channel for near real-time usage visibility.
DER	Distributed Energy Resources. Small-scale energy resources such as solar PV systems, battery storage systems, and electric vehicles connected to the distribution network.
VEE	Validation, Estimation, and Editing. Operational processes used to validate and correct meter data for billing and reporting purposes.
DPA	Data Privacy Act of 2012 (RA 10173). Philippine law governing the protection and processing of personal data.
NIST CSF	National Institute of Standards and Technology Cybersecurity Framework. A cybersecurity governance framework used for risk management and operational security improvement.
PLC	Power Line Carrier. A communication technology utilizing electrical distribution lines for data transmission.
RF	Radio Frequency. Wireless communication technology utilizing radio waves for data transmission.
RCOA	Retail Competition and Open Access. A Philippine energy market reform allowing eligible consumers to select power suppliers.
GEOP	Green Energy Option Program. A DOE program allowing consumers to source electricity from renewable energy providers.

Acronym / Term	Definition
IEC	International Electrotechnical Commission. International organization responsible for electrical and electronic standards development.
ANSI	American National Standards Institute. Organization responsible for oversight of voluntary technical standards development.
EV	Electric Vehicle. A vehicle powered partially or entirely through electric propulsion systems.
NPC	National Privacy Commission. Philippine regulatory agency responsible for implementation and enforcement of the Data Privacy Act.
DICT	Department of Information and Communications Technology. Philippine government agency responsible for ICT development and governance.
OMS	Outage Management System. A platform used to monitor, manage, and coordinate outage detection and restoration activities.
DMS	Distribution Management System. A system used to monitor and control distribution network operations and grid performance.
GIS	Geographic Information System. A mapping and spatial analysis platform used for utility asset and network management.
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition. A system used for remote monitoring and operational control of utility infrastructure and field equipment.
TOU	Time-of-Use Pricing. A tariff structure where electricity prices vary depending on the time and period of energy consumption.
KPI	Key Performance Indicator. A measurable value used to evaluate operational, technical, financial, or consumer-related performance.
CAPEX	Capital Expenditure. Long-term investment used for acquisition, deployment, or modernization of utility infrastructure and systems.
OPEX	Operational Expenditure. Ongoing operational costs associated with maintaining and operating utility systems and services.
SIEM	Security Information and Event Management. A cybersecurity platform used for centralized monitoring, event correlation, and incident detection.
HSM	Hardware Security Module. A secure hardware device used for protection and management of cryptographic keys and security operations.
API	Application Programming Interface. A software interface enabling communication and data exchange between systems and applications.
TWG	Technical Working Group. A cross-functional team responsible for technical coordination, evaluation, implementation, and oversight activities.

Q.3 Glossary Maintenance and Updates

Utilities and stakeholders are encouraged to periodically review and update glossary definitions to ensure alignment with:

- Emerging technologies
- Updated regulatory requirements
- New operational practices
- Evolving Smart Grid standards

- Cybersecurity and data governance developments

Additional terms and definitions may be incorporated in future revisions of the AMPERE Protocol as modernization initiatives and industry practices continue to evolve.

END OF DOCUMENT

The AMPERE Protocol serves as a structured national-level guidance framework intended to support the responsible, secure, scalable, and consumer-centric modernization of Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) and Smart Grid initiatives within the Philippine power sector.

Utilities, regulators, policymakers, technology providers, and stakeholders are encouraged to apply this framework in a manner aligned with operational realities, regulatory requirements, consumer protection principles, cybersecurity obligations, and long-term modernization objectives.

This protocol is intended to remain a living document and may continue evolving alongside technological advancements, operational experiences, regulatory developments, and future Smart Grid modernization initiatives.